

6. Literaturverzeichnis

1. Akhter MP, Cullen DM, Pedersen EA, Kimmel DB, Recker RR: Bone response to in vivo mechanical loading in two breeds of mice. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;63:442-449.
2. Alho A, Lepisto J, Ylinen P, Paavilainen T: Cemented Lubinus and Furlog total hip endoprosthesis: a 12-year follow-up study of 175 hips comparing the cementing technique. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2000; 120 (5-6):276-280.
3. Bagi CM, Wilkie D, Georgelos K, Williams D, Bertolini D: Morphological and structural characteristics of the proximal femur in human and rat. *Bone* 1997;21:261-267.
4. Bayton JA, Morrisson E, Neilson M, et al: Architecture of the femoral neck in osteoarthritis. *Bone* 1996;19:689-689.
5. Beck JD, Canfield BL, Haddock SM, Chen TJ, Kothari M, Keaveny TM: Three-dimensional imaging of trabecular bone using the computer numerically controlled milling technique. *Bone* 1997;21:281-287.
6. Bergmann G, Graichen F, Rohlmann A: Messungen der Hüftgelenkbelastung und ihre Bedeutung für die Fixation von Endoprothesen, *Der Unfallchirurg* 1997, Heft 261, pp 66-72.
7. Brockhaus, Wiesbaden (2), 1974.
8. Brown TD, Ferguson ABJ: Mechanical property distributions in the cancellous bone of the human proximal femur. *Acta Orthop Scand* 1980;51:429-437.
9. Carter DR, Spengler DM: Mechanical properties and composition of cortical bone. *Clin Orthop* 1978;192-217.
10. Carter DR: Mechanical loading histories and cortical bone remodeling. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1984;36 Suppl 1:S19-S24.
11. Carter DR: Mechanical loading history and skeletal biology. *J Biomech* 1987;20:1095-1109.
12. Chevalier F, Laval-Jeantet AM, Laval-Jeantet M, Bergot C: CT image analysis of the vertebral trabecular network in vivo. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1992;51:8-13.
13. Cody DD, McCubbrey DA, Divine GW, Gross GJ, Goldstein SA: Predictive value of proximal femoral bone densitometry in determining local orthogonal material properties. *J Biomech* 1996;29:753-761.
14. Cruz-Orive LM, Karlson LM, Larsen SE, Wainsstein F: Characterizing anisotropy: A new concept. *Micron and Microscopica Acta* 1992;23:75-76.

15. Durand EP, Ruegsegger P: High-contrast resolution of CT images for bone structure analysis. *Med Phys* 1992;19:569-573.
16. Engh CA, McGovern TF, Bobyn JD, Harris WH: A quantitative evaluation of periprosthetic bone-remodeling after cementless total hip arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg [Am]* 1992;74:1009-1020.
17. Feldkamp LA, Goldstein SA, Parfitt AM, Jesion G, Kleerekoper M: The direct examination of three-dimensional bone architecture in vitro by computed tomography. *J Bone Miner Res* 1989;4:3-11.
18. Fiala P, Hert J: Principal types of functional architecture of cancellous bone in man. *Funct Dev Morphol* 1993;3:91-99.
19. Firoozbakhsh K, Aleyaasin M: The effect of stress concentration on bone remodeling: theoretical predictions. *J Biomech Eng* 1989;111:355-360.
20. Ford CM, Keaveny TM: The dependence of shear failure properties of trabecular bone on apparent density and trabecular orientation. *J Biomech* 1996;29:1309-1317.
21. Frost HM: Bone „mass“ and the „mechanostat“: a proposal; *Anat Rec*; 219: 1-9, 1987
22. Frost HM: Perspectives: On a „paradigm shift“ developing in skeletal science. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1995;56:1-4.
23. Fuchs S, Wieder J: Überlebensraten von zementierten Charnley-Hüfttotalendoprothesen und ihre Beeinflussungsparameter: *Biomed Tech (Berl)* 2000 Dec; 45(12):362-369.
24. Genant HK, Gordon C, Jiang Y, Lang TF, Link TM, Majumdar S: Advanced imaging of bone macro and micro structure. *Bone* 1999;25:149-152.
25. Geraets WG: Comparison of two methods for measuring orientation. *Bone* 1998;23:383-388.
26. Goldstein SA, Goulet R, McCubbrey D: Measurement and significance of three-dimensional architecture to the mechanical integrity of trabecular bone. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1993;53 Suppl 1:S127-S132.
27. Gordon CL, Webber CE, Christoforou N, Nahmias C: In vivo assessment of trabecular bone structure at the distal radius from high-resolution magnetic resonance images. *Med Phys* 1997;24:585-593.
28. Gordon JE: *The science of structures and materials*, New York, Scientific American Library; 1988.
29. Goulet RW, Goldstein SA, Ciarelli MJ, Kuhn JL, Brown MB, Feldkamp LA: The relationship between the structural and orthogonal compressive properties of trabecular bone. *J Biomech* 1994;27:375-389.

30. Gross U: Handbook of Biomaterials evaluation, Scientific, Technical, and Clinical Testing of Implant Materilas; Editor: von Recum AF; Taylor & Francis 1999.
31. Heilmann P, Wuster C, Prolingheuer C, Gotz M, Ziegler R: Measurement of forearm bone mineral density: comparison of precision of five different instruments. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;62:383-387.
32. Heinert K, Enegembrecht E: Langzeitvergleich der Knie-Endoprothesensysteme "St. Georg". 10-Jahres-Uberlebensraten von 2236 Schlitten- und Scharnier-Endoprothesen. *Chirurg* 1988 Nov; 59 (11):755-762.
33. Hert J: A new explanation of the cancellous bone architecture. *Funct Dev Morphol* 1992;2:15-21.
34. Huiskes R, Rulmerman R, van Lenthe, GH, Janssen JD: Effects of mechanical forces on maintenance and adaptation of forarm in trabecular bone. *Nature* 2000;405 (8):704-706.
35. Ito M, Nakamura T, Matsumoto T, Tsurusaki K, Hayashi K: Analysis of trabecular microstructure of human iliac bone using microcomputed tomography in patients with hip arthrosis with and without vertebral fracture. *Bone* 1998;23:163-169.
36. Keaveny TM, Hayes WC: A 20-year perspective on the mechanical properties of trabecular bone. *J Biomech Eng* 1993;115:534-542.
37. Keaveny TM, Wachtel EF, Ford CM, Hayes WC: Differences between the tensile and compressive strength of bovine trabecular bone depend on modulus. *J Biomech* 1994; 27 (9): 1137-1146.
38. Kleerekoper M, Villanueva AR, Stanciu J, Rao DS, Parfitt AM: The role of three-dimensional trabecular microstructure in the pathogenesis of vertebral compression fractures. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1985;37:594-597.
39. Korstjens CM, Geraets WG, van Ginkel FC, Prah-Andersen B, van der Stelt PF, Burger EH: An analysis of the orientation of the radiographic trabecular pattern in the distal radius of children. *Growth Dev Aging* 1994;58:211-221.
40. Korstjens CM, Geraets WG, van Ginkel FC, Prah-Andersen B, van der Stelt PF, Burger EH: Longitudinal changes in the orientation of trabecular bone in relation to the location in the distal radius. *Growth Dev Aging* 1994;58:223-231.
41. Lang TF, Keyak JH, Heitz MW, et al: Volumetric quantitative computed tomography of the proximal femur: precision and relation to bone strength. *Bone* 1997;21:101-108.
42. LoCascio V, Ballanti P, Milani S, et al: A histomorphometric long-term longitudinal study of trabecular bone loss in glucocorticoid-treated patients: prednisone versus deflazacort. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;62:199-204.

43. Majumdar S, Genant HK: A review of the recent advances in magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of osteoporosis. *Osteoporos Int* 1995;5:79-92.
44. Majumdar S, Kothari M, Augat P, et al: High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging: three-dimensional trabecular bone architecture and biomechanical properties. *Bone* 1998;22:445-454.
45. Malchan H, Herberts H: Prognosis of Total Hip Replacement: Scientific Exhibition presented at the 65th Annual Meeting of the American Academy of orthopaedic Surgeons, March 19-23, 1998, New Orleans, USA.
46. Martens M, Van Audekercke R, Delpont P, De Meester P, Mulier JC: The mechanical characteristics of cancellous bone at the upper femoral region. *J Biomech* 1983;16:971-983.
47. Mattheck C.: Engineering components grow like trees. *Mat.-wiss. u. Werkstofftech.* 1990; 21, 143-168.
48. Mayoux-Benhamou MA, Leyge JF, Roux C, Revel M: Cross-sectional study of weight-bearing activity on proximal femur bone mineral density. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1999;64:179-183.
49. Mitton D, Cendre E, Roux JP, et al: Mechanical properties of ewe vertebral cancellous bone compared with histomorphometry and high-resolution computed tomography parameters. *Bone* 1998;22:651-658.
50. Mullender M, Huiskes R: Proposal for the regulatory mechanism of Wolff's Law. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research* 1995;13:503-512.
51. Mullender M, van Rietbergen B, Ruegsegger P, Huiskes R: Effect of mechanical set point of bone cells on mechanical control of trabecular bone architecture. *Bone* 1998;22:125-131.
52. Müller R, Hahn M, Vogel M, Delling G, Ruegsegger P: Morphometric analysis of noninvasively assessed bone biopsies: comparison of high-resolution computed tomography and histologic sections [published erratum appears in *Bone* 1996 Sep;19(3):299]. *Bone* 1996;18:215-220.
53. Müller R, Van Campenhout H, Van Damme B, et al: Morphometric analysis of human bone biopsies: a quantitative structural comparison of histological sections and micro-computed tomography. *Bone* 1998;23:59-66.
54. O'Kelly K, Tancred B, McCormac A, Carr A: A quantitative technique for comparing synthetic hydroxylapatite structures and cancellous bone. *Materials science* 1996;7:207-213.
55. Oden ZM, Selvitelli DM, Hayes WC, Myers ER: The effect of trabecular structure on DXA-based predictions of bovine bone failure. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;63:67-73.

56. Osborne D, Effmann E, Broda K, Harrelson J: The development of the upper end of the femur, with special reference to its internal architecture. *Radiology* 1980;137:71-76.
57. Parfitt, AM: The Contribution of bone histology to understanding pathogenesis and improving the management of osteoporosis. *Clin Invest Med* 1982 (5): 163-167.
58. Parfitt AM: Age-related structural changes in trabecular and cortical bone: cellular mechanisms and biomechanical consequences. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1984;36 Suppl 1:S123-S128.
59. Parfitt AM: Trabecular bone architecture in the pathogenesis and prevention of fracture. *Am J Med* 1987;82:68-72.
60. Parfitt AM, Drezner MK, Glorieux FH, et al: Bone histomorphometry: standardization of nomenclature, symbols, and units. Report of the ASBMR Histomorphometry Nomenclature Committee. *J Bone Miner Res* 1987;2:595-610.
61. Polig E, Jee WS: Automated trabecular bone histomorphometry. *Bone* 1985;6:357-359.
62. Powley G, Kulick J, Gallerneault CE: Automatic description of microstructures. *J microsc* 1986;144, Pt2:127-136.
63. Raux P, Townsend PR, Miegel R, Rose RM, Radin EL: Trabecular architecture of the human patella. *J Biomech* 1975;8:1-7.
64. Rockoff SD, Scandrett J, Zacher R: Quantitation of relevant image information: automated radiographic bone trabecular characterization. *Radiology* 1971;101:435-439.
65. Sabo D, Reiter A, Simank HG, Thomsen M, Lukoschek M, Ewerbeck V: Periprosthetic mineralization around cementless total hip endoprosthesis: longitudinal study and cross-sectional study on titanium threaded acetabular cup and cementless Spotorno stem with DEXA. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;62:177-182.
66. Sandborn PM, Cook SD, Harding AF, Kester MA, Haddad RJ Jr: Clinical performance of endoprosthetic and total hip replacement systems. *J Rehabil Res Dev* 1987 Summer; 24 (3): 49-56.
67. Singh I: The architecture of cancellous bone. *J Anat* 1978;127:305-310.
68. Sokiranski R: Metallartefaktreduzierung (MAR) in der Computertomographie am Beispiel der Hüftendoprothese: Inaugural-Dissertation, Freie Universität Berlin 1999.
69. Synder BD, Hayes WC: Multiaxial structure-property relations in trabecular bone, in Mow VC, Woo SLY (eds): *Biomechanics of diarthroidal joints*. 0 AD:31-59.

70. Trevisan C, Bigoni M, Denti M, Marinoni EC, Ortolani S: Bone assessment after total knee arthroplasty by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry: analysis protocol and reproducibility. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;62:359-361.
71. Turner CH: On Wolff's law of trabecular architecture. *J Biomech* 1992;25:1-9.
72. Uchiyama T, Tanizawa T, Muramatsu H, Endo N, Takahashi HE, Hara T: A morphometric comparison of trabecular structure of human ilium between microcomputed tomography and conventional histomorphometry. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1997;61:493-498.
73. Von Meyer GH: Die Architektur der Spongiosa. *Arch Anat Physiol Wiss Med* 1867;34:615-28.
74. Wehrli FW, Ford JC, Chung HW, et al: Potential role of nuclear magnetic resonance for the evaluation of trabecular bone quality. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1993;53 Suppl 1:S162-S169.
75. Wehrli FW, Ford JC, Haddad JG: Osteoporosis: clinical assessment with quantitative MR imaging in diagnosis. *Radiology* 1995;196:631-641.
76. Wehrli FW, Hwang SN, Song HK: New architectural parameters derived from micro-MRI for the prediction of trabecular bone strength. *Technol Health Care* 1998;6:307-320.
77. Whitehouse WJ, Dyson ED, Jackson CK: The scanning electron microscope in studies of trabecular bone from a human vertebral body. *J Anat* 1971;108:481-496.
78. Whitehouse WJ, Dyson ED: The scanning electron microscope in studies of trabecular bone from a human femur. *J Anat* 1994;118:417-444.
79. Wigianto R, Ichikawa T, Kanitani H, Kawamoto N, Matsumoto N, Ishizuka H: Three-dimensional bone structure around hydroxyapatite and titanium implants in rabbits. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 1999;10:219-225.
80. Wolff J: *Das Gesetz der Transformation des Knochens*. Berlin, Hirschwald 1892.
81. Wu Y, Ackerman JL, Chesler DA, et al: Evaluation of bone mineral density using three-dimensional solid state phosphorus-31 NMR projection imaging. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;62:512-518.
82. Yang RS, Wang SS, Lin HJ, Liu TK, Hang Y.S., Tsai KS: Differential effects of bone mineral content and bone area on vertebral strength in a swine model. *Calcif Tissue Int* 1998;63:86-90.
83. Zenz Z, Pospisil C, Fertschak W, Schwagerl W: 10 Jahre zementfreie Implantation von Hüfttotalendoprothesen unter Verwendung des Zweymüller-Schaftes. *Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb* 1995 Nov-Dec; 133(6): 558-561.