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Stamps are one of the most successful mass media of visual communication and cultural memory. This stamp, edited in 1997, reminded the German citizens of a heritage which reflects the contradictory transatlantic relation with the United States. During World War II, allied forces bombed the cities of Nazi Germany; after the war, the Marshall Plan essentially helped West Germany recover from this serious damage. Fifty years after the Marshall Plan, collective memory, on both sides, the German and the US-American, may recover the importance of city and architecture planning in the political processes. While now, after the definitive end of cold war, city production faces other problems like the loss of spatial identity caused by global, and in many cases "American" enterprise, the study of the early postwar period reveals the important role of architectural mass education. Those bombed out German citizens, who received new apartments sponsored by the Marshall Plan, did understand the message, that every political system must be legitimated by its concrete living conditions. In the specific German cold war confrontations of the systems, architecture and urban planning have reached high symbolic importance, probably more than today. So the Marshall Plan stamp may invite us to a retrospective of US-American influences, ecomonic and aesthetical, in German postwar architecture.

The city of Hamburg, after the "operation Gomorra", a systematical bombing of British and US-American air forces in 1943, radically changed its urban and architectural structure. When, only a few months after the war had ended, Hamburg planners debated about the outlines of future reconstructions, two positions stood against each other: the modernization, equated with Americanization, focussed in the skyscraper building type; and, the recovery of prewar structures. The latter position, explained by one of the Hamburg preservationists had certain anti-American connotations. "There is a great danger, that our city will be americanized, that our city's face will appear like any other metropolis." Aware of the New York images which
fascinated generations all through the 20th century, the preservationist warned: "The big concrete
structures will cause the horrible danger of getting an American outfit and not the one we like to
have." This quote from a crucial situation of postwar urban planning in Hamburg examplifies a typical, and
contradictory habit towards US-American architecture in Germany. A certain fascination for New
York skyscrapers was not effective enough against romantic desires of reconstructing old German
town structures.

If we compare the aerial views of 1929, and here of 1971, we can detect an object
of anxiety and affirmation, which affected the debates on US-American influences
on West German city reconstruction. Focusing on the thin slab with green glass
curtain wall, vis-a-vis the church ruin
(point of orientation during the bombing
of 1943), we see a pure application of
modern architectural principles as
elaborated by the big US-American architectural firm Skidmore, Owings & Merrill (SOM), and by the
German emigrant Mies van der Rohe, materialized in Lever House and Seagram Building, both
constructed in the 1950s at Park Avenue in New York.

The building of the shipping company "Hamburg Süd", like no other
architectural structure in Hamburg, contains the controversy symbolic
meanings of postwar "Americanization". The architect, Cäsar Pinnau,
was interior designer of Hitler's new chancellery in Berlin before the
war, and architect of business men like Dr. Oetker and Onasis after
the war. For his Hamburg client, Pinnau travelled to New York and
Chicago to study the new monuments of postwar "International Style". Study trips were a very important, though elitist (for the high cost),
way of cultural transfer between the two countries. I have argued
elsewhere, that these study trips, and the more, there public reports in
West Germany have fostered a naive reception of US-American
architectural trends, mainly reduced to skyscraper admiration. A former
Nazi-architect was made responsible for one of the most striking
symbolic representations of the so called "economic miracle", using a
model from the culturally most influential occupying power in West Germany, the US.

The Pinnau case may contain specific conditions, but reflects a general tendency of “Americanizing” West German architecture, a type of cultural transfer not only reduced to the architects’ discussion circles.

[ill. 5: skyscraper skyline at night, scene from a film which promoted atomic energy in the United States in the 1950s]

The collective imagination of modernity expressed by New York-skyscraper skylines, has a long tradition in Germany, so that architectural re-education could be based on visual (and cultural) experiences. If we recall a wider frame of German cultural history, the modern illuminated skyline of the Metropolis seems like a logical continuation of gothic feelings watching the monlightted mountains. Threatened and fascinated -- like romantic mountain spectators of the late 18th century -- were many postwar contemporaries of popular information material like this film which tried to promote atomic energy. The skyscrapers were illuminated thanks to a technology which, in Hiroshima and Nagasaki, had claimed its absolute destructive potential.

[ill. 6: transmission of electric energy at night, scene from a film which promoted atomic energy in the United States in the 1950s]

But here, the spectators are shown the civil use of letal war technology: Electric energy for the metropolis enlighting is transferred from the Atomic Power Plant.

[ill. 7: Atomic Power Plant, scene from a film which promoted atomic energy in the United States in the 1950s]

The design of the power plant displayed here in the US-american propagandistic film of the 1950s, probably is less important than the effect which the debates about atomic energy and their military use had on the postwar urban planning proposals. Brightened up urban spaces with highrise buildings were considered as the best "proteccion" against aereal atomic attacks in a virtual third World War. This was exactly the opposite of the dense urbanization scheme in New York, which also displayed the film and many other popular brochures about modern US-American culture. The consequences of the "Manhattan project" required a dissolution of the city's space.
A brief flashback to the classical collective image of the metropolis, Fritz Lang’s film of 1927, explains the contradictions between the iconic power of dense skyscraper accumulation in New York and the continuing German myth of the isolated tower. Already in the 1920s, German architects admired the US-American skyscraper, but criticized their dense urban accommodation caused by economic imperatives. Nearly all skyscraper debates in Germany, until today, reflect such motives. One of the most prominent West German urban planners of the 1950s, Rudolf Hillebrecht, claimed, that the New York skyline is fascinating but no example for German cities, because it expressed a commercial spirit opposite to community values.

Not until the Manhattan skyscraper landscape was opened with a new scheme of urban accommodation of architectural volumes -- the rectangular slab situated in an open space to the street -- West German reception of US-American leitmotives was effective. But the United Nations-Building, Lever House, and here: Chase Manhattan Bank, introduced new standards which strongly influenced West German architectural aesthetic of progress. The design of 1955 from Gordon Bunshaft / SOM restructured Downtown Manhattan with a plaza setting for the transparent slab. The visual and spatial revolution, promoted as urban renewal, was received in West Germany with high attention. A German exhibition catalogue of SOM, published in 1962 poetically described the new qualities: in the introduction, the author stresses that the mountains of skyscrapers in downtown Manhattan are more striking than the overwhelming natural aesthetics of Niagara Falls or Grand Canyon; but nothing compared to the new shining, reflecting, rational appearance of Chase Manhattan Bank which raises between the old ornamented highrise buildings. It was this type of urban change which West German planners could integrate in their vision of the brightened up postwar urban landscape - without neglecting the eternal dream of the "Metropolis" New York.
The best copies of modern US-American architecture in West Germany were made by the architect Helmut Hentrich and his team of HPP architects. Hentrich frequently travelled to the US, established close professional contacts to the US-modernists, mainly Gordon Bunshaft of SOM, and with these experiences "educated" his West German clients of business and industry to accept modern architectural representation. One of the brilliant results of this cultural transfer is the administration building of the Bayer industries in Leverkusen. Here, the new US-American architectural spirit probably occurred more "American" than anywhere else. Already at the beginning of the 1950s, a publication of the American Institute of Architects (AIA) for West German readers had introduced some criteria for architectural re-education from Nazi-classizism to US-American modernism. New brightened spatial concepts and the expressive quality of modern skeleton steel and concrete buildings were praised by the authors as essentials of postwar US-American architecture. From the beginning, these qualities defined worldwide standards, the more, in West Germany, they had symbolical dimensions: prefabricated facades displayed the image of industrial recovery after severe war damage and demontage of industry. When in 1957, in the context of the West Berlin "Interbau" architectural exhibition, the Marshall House, presented a series of skyscraper models with curtain walls of glass and aluminum, a West German architectural magazine wrote, that the construction method and its aesthetic cannot deny the origins in standardized car production. Indeed, mass production, standardization, and structural repetitions became significant elements of modern US-architecture which caused both, admiration (of efficiency) and fears (of alienation).

Besides the "Metropolis" New York with its rich history of modern imagination, Chicago became focus of West German interest in postwar US-modernism. The presence of Mies van der Rohe obviously attracted many West German architects who hoped to recover Bauhaus-traditions as symbolic blueprints for postwar democracy.

Once Mies himself had been regarded as a messia, or as a colonizer, when he began to teach his rude aesthetic principles of modern architecture at IIT in 1938. Frank Lloyd Wright ironically remarked, that Mies coming from oversea, introduced the invasion of glass boxes in the US. Later, the writer Tom Wolfe in his satirical essay from "Bauhaus to our house" expressed similar cultural criticism of a seemingly German invasion in the field of US-American
architecture with strong consequences: "The term glass boxes and repetitious, first uttered as terms of opprobrium, became badges of honor."\textsuperscript{13}

[ill. 12: slum area in Chicago, 1965]

How these "badges of honor" also became the aesthetical tools of slum clearance projects in the US, was more than apparent in Chicago. A West German group of industrials interested in US-American rationalization processes, who in 1954 visited Chicago, stated that almost half of the urban population would live in slums.\textsuperscript{14} Slum clearance projects inspired by the Housing act of 1949 and subsequent federal laws, changed many of these areas in bright modern "dreams", one of them "Praerie Shores".

[ill. 13: slum clearing at Prairie Shores, Chicago, 1965]

Large housing units in modern construction and transparent outfit, accommodated in open spaces impressed the West German visitors in 1954, a time when the reconstruction boom in West Germany also used such urban and architectural models.\textsuperscript{15} The Praerie Shores project contained a series of 5 highrise buildings including almost 1,700 apartments, a shopping center, open green spaces and a large number of parking lots. Here West German architects and investment managers found the ideal solution. -- The illustration (no. 13), which I present here, is an important historical document: it was taken by a Hamburg architect during his study trip to Chicago, and than later shown to a Hamburg audience of educational evening lectures on modern architectural tendencies in the US.\textsuperscript{16} -- Such images of new US-American middle class lifestyle also served as stage prospects for innumerable action movies of the 1950s and 60s which visually "educated" a whole postwar West German generation.
Apart from this visual education via lectures and movies, the citizens of Bremen, Düsseldorf, and Munich, were able to evaluate US-American modernism in the most striking manner: new consulate buildings introduced US-American construction technology and aesthetic standards. Gordon Bunshaft in collaboration with Otto Apel (former architect of the Albert Speer staff) designed low rise office buildings with green spaces at central sites of the cities. At the same time when popular architectural taste in West Germany slowly began to incorporate the aesthetic of transparency, the US consulate buildings set new standards. The West German answer in architectural representation of the state came some years later with Egon Eiermann's transparent buildings for the Brussels Expo and the West German embassy in Washington.

An important function in American-German cultural transfer fulfilled the program of the "America houses", planned as a peaceful weapon of information in the cold war. Hugo Leipziger-Pearce, a German born architect, who emigrated to the US, in 1950 and 1951 was one of the advisers for the architectural design of the "America houses", which had to present an image of modernity and progress in contrast to Stalinist cultural policy and neoclassical design in East Germany.
Yet, post-stalinist changes of architectural ideology in the Eastern block also introduced international style in East-Berlin. The "house of the teacher", at the beginning of the 1960s, neutralized political codes of architecture: modernism was no longer a "western" form, but a global principle. Only applications at the facades, here a thematic mural of the socialist society, versus in West-Berlin the firms' logos (like Coca Cola, Mercedes Benz, etc.), allowed political understanding of modern, functionalist architecture, once promoted in the US.

Aware of such pluralistic, even excluding interpretations, the United States donated a more specific architecture parlante to West-Berlin in 1957. Designed by the US-architect Hugh Stubbins, former student of Walter Gropius, the congress hall of Berlin with its exaggerated shell construction symbolically served as a monument of free speech next to the urban border of East-Berlin. The building which contains the name of Benjamin Franklin in its foundations was spectacular but lacked stability. Already during the construction, architectural experts like Frei Otto criticized the inconsequent static structure.

In May 1980, the hall broke down and thus fulfilled all contemporary criticism. Its immediate reconstruction, with enormous costs of 50 million Deutschmarks, was the last act of political architecture documenting American-German relations.
before the Berlin wall was torn down. Political representatives of both countries would not accept an architectural ruin with fatal symbolic implications.

Similar structures like the Auditorium Maximum of the Hamburg University, built in 1959, although less spectacular, survived sin damage. The design of the Hamburg Audimax, as well as many other shell constructions of the 1950s in West Germany, had been inspired by the Kresge Auditorium at MIT, a design of Eero Saarinen in 1954. But also this striking form of "Americanization" had its parallels in Eastern Germany, especially in the Hanseatic city of Rostock. So, we have to state a certain dissolution of political references to modern architectural forms. The more, if we take the "Americanization" in its full geographical and cultural complexity, we must include Latin America, especially Mexico, a country of architectural avantgarde in shell buildings.

It is not only my present engagement at the Mexican University which makes me widen the bi-national frame of cultural transfers, and the dominat role of the US in these processes. Felix Candela, a Spanish emigrant in Mexico was able to experiment with light shell structures before he imported them to the US, and than to Europe. A fast flew of information via architectural magazines and study trips, allows almost arbitrary exchanges and connotations of architectural forms.

At present, large scale comercial projects like shopping malls, are the most succesful type of US-American architectural exportation. But also the most striking signature architecture, which has to compensate the cultural standardization of the neoliberal world, is made in the USA. Like no other architect, Frank Gehry's work is present in architectural magazines, and of course, in many cities, like here Bilbao. Corporate Identity via spectacular, but not specific regional or national architecture: this is the US-American lesson of today, which has no more special relations to Germany, like in the early postwar period. At the definitive end of the cold war remains only a business superstructure. Thus, it may seem reasonable, that the US government in post cold war Germany closes "America houses" as well as the very significant consulate buildings, and sell them to private investors.
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The Bremen consulate building now serves international trade firms; the Düsseldorf consulated closed in 1992, and was in 1998 remodeled for the office uses of a big lawyer firm, by the architects Ingenhoven, Overdiek, Kahlen und Partner. Symbolic representation of Corporate America in cold war contexts now are smoothly redefined for business purposes.