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Abstract — The second order elliptic problem with highly discontinuous coefficients is considered. The problem is discretized by two methods: 1) a continuous finite element method (FEM) and 2) a composite discretization given by a continuous FEM inside the substructures and a discontinuous Galerkin method (DG) across the boundaries of these substructures. The main goal of this paper is to design and analyze parallel algorithms for the resulting discretizations. These algorithms are additive Schwarz methods (ASMs) with special coarse spaces spanned by functions that are almost piecewise constant with respect to the substructures for the first discretization and by piecewise constant functions for the second discretization. It is established that the condition number of the preconditioned systems does not depend on the jumps of the coefficients across the substructure boundaries and outside of a thin layer along the substructure boundaries. The algorithms are very well suited for parallel computations.
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1. Introduction

In this paper a second order elliptic problem with highly discontinuous coefficient $\varrho(x)$ in a 2-D polygonal region $\Omega$ is considered. For the simplicity of the presentation we assume Dirichlet homogeneous boundary conditions. The region $\Omega$ is partitioned into disjoint polygonal substructures $\Omega_i$, $\bar{\Omega} = \bigcup_i \bar{\Omega}_i$, $i = 1, \cdots, N$, and denote by $\varrho_i(x)$ the restriction of $\varrho(x)$ to $\Omega_i$. Associated to this partition, let us denote by $\Omega_i^h$ the layer around $\partial\Omega_i$ with width $h_i$.

\footnote{This work was supported in part by the Polish Sciences Foundation under grant NN201006933}
and define $\overline{\alpha}_i = \sup_{x \in \Omega_i^h} \alpha_i(x)$ and $\underline{\alpha}_i = \inf_{x \in \Omega_i^h} \alpha_i(x)$. We say that the coefficient $\alpha_i(x)$ has moderate variations on $\Omega_i^h$ if $\overline{\alpha}_i/\underline{\alpha}_i = O(1)$. The coefficient $\alpha$ can be highly discontinuous in $\Omega_i \setminus \Omega_i^h$ and across $\partial \Omega_i$.

We consider two discretization methods: a standard continuous finite element method (FEM), see [3], and a composite discretization FEM with discontinuous Galerkin (DG), see [1,10]. The latter means that in each $\Omega_i$ the problem is discretized by a continuous FEM inside $\Omega_i$ and a DG method across $\partial \Omega_i$, see [4,5]. This discretization is determined by the regularity of $\alpha(x)$ and the regularity of the solution.

The main result is Theorem 6.1, where we estimate the condition number of the $\partial_i$ triangulation across $\partial_i$ the main result is Theorem 4.1, where we establish the estimate of the condition number of average Schwarz method (AASM) for the resulting discrete problem is designed and analyzed.

$\lVert \alpha \rVert$ on the triangulations and on the coefficients are introduced. In Section 3 the continuous finite element discretization on matching triangulation is formulated, and in Section 4 an additive average Schwarz method (AASM) for the resulting discrete problem is designed. The main result is Theorem 4.1, where we establish the estimate of the condition number of the preconditioned system. In Section 5 the original problem is discretized on nonmatching triangulation across $\partial \Omega_i$ by continuous FEM in each $\Omega_i$ and DG with interior penalty term across $\partial \Omega_i$, and in Section 6 we design and analyze the AASM for the resulting discrete problem. The main result is Theorem 6.1, where we estimate the condition number of the
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preconditioned system. In Section 7 we discuss the implementation of these preconditioned systems.

2. Differential problems and assumptions

In this section the differential problem with discontinuous coefficient is formulated and we describe some of the assumptions on the coefficients and triangulations.

2.1. Differential problem

Find \( u^* \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) such that

\[
a(u^*, v) = f(v), \quad v \in H^1_0(\Omega)
\]

where

\[
a(u, v) := (\varrho(\cdot) \nabla u, \nabla v)_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad f(v) := \int_{\Omega} f v \, dx.
\]

We assume that \( \varrho \in L^\infty(\Omega) \) and \( \varrho(x) \geq \varrho_0 > 0 \), \( f \in L^2(\Omega) \), and \( \Omega \) is a 2-D polygonal region. Under these assumptions the problem has a unique solution, see for example [3].

2.2. Assumptions

We suppose that \( \Omega \) is decomposed into disjoint polygons \( \Omega_i, \overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_i \overline{\Omega}_i, i = 1, \cdots , N \). Inside each \( \overline{\Omega}_i \) we introduce a shape regular and quasi-uniform triangulation \( T^h(\Omega_i) \) with mesh parameter \( h_i \) and \( H_i := \text{diam}(\Omega_i) \). For the first discretization we assume that the global mesh is regular (no hanging nodes) while for the second discretization we allow nonmatchig meshes across substructure boundaries. Denote \( \Omega_i^h \) as the layer around \( \partial \Omega_i \) which is the union of \( e_k^{(i)} \) triangles of \( T^h(\Omega_i) \) which touch \( \partial \Omega_i \), and we introduce

\[
\overline{\varrho}_i := \sup_{x \in \Omega_i^h} \varrho(x), \quad \underline{\varrho}_i := \inf_{x \in \Omega_i^h} \varrho(x).
\]

3. Discrete continuous problem

To define the first discretization, the continuous finite element method for problem (1), we introduce the space of piecewise linear continuous functions as

\[
V_h(\Omega) := \{v \in C_0(\Omega); v|e_k \in P_1(x)\}
\]

where \( e_k \) are the triangles of \( T^h(\Omega) \) and \( P_1(x) \) is the set of linear polynomials.

The discrete problem is defined as: Find \( u_h^* \in V_h(\Omega) \) such that

\[
a(u_h^*, v) = f(v), \quad v \in V_h(\Omega).
\]

4. Additive average Schwarz method for (4)

In this section we design and analyze an additive average Schwarz method for the discrete problem (4). For that we use the general theory of additive Schwarz methods (ASMs) described in [11].
4.1. Decomposition of $V_h(\Omega)$

Let us decompose

$$V_h(\Omega) = V_0(\Omega) + V_1(\Omega) + \cdots + V_N(\Omega) \quad (5)$$

where for $i = 1, \cdots, N$, we define $V_i(\Omega) = V_h(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega_i)$ on $\Omega_i$ and extended by zero outside of $\Omega_i$. The coarse space $V_0(\Omega)$ is defined as the range of the following interpolation operator $I_A$. For $u \in V_h(\Omega)$, let $I_A u \in V_h(\Omega)$ be defined such that on $\Omega_i$

$$I_A u := \begin{cases} u(x), & x \in \partial\Omega_{ih} \\ \bar{u}_i, & x \in \Omega_{ih} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\bar{u}_i := \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{x \in \partial\Omega_{ih}} u(x). \quad (7)$$

Here $\Omega_{ih}$ and $\partial\Omega_{ih}$ are the sets of nodal points of $\Omega_i$ (interior) and $\partial\Omega_i$, respectively, and $n_i$ is the number of nodal points of $\partial\Omega_{ih}$.

4.2. Inexact solvers

For $i = 1, \cdots, N$, let us introduce

$$b_i(u, v) := a_i(u, v), \quad u, v \in V_i(\Omega) \quad (8)$$

and $a_i(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the restriction of $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ to $\Omega_i$.

For $i = 0$ let us introduce

$$b_0(u, v) := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{x \in \partial\Omega_{ih}} \pi_i(u(x) - \bar{u}_i)(v(x) - \bar{v}_i), \quad u, v \in V_0(\Omega). \quad (9)$$

Note that (9) reduces to

$$b_0(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{x \in \partial\Omega_{ih}} (u(x) - \bar{u}_i)v(x). \quad (10)$$

4.3. The operator equation

For $i = 0, \cdots, N$, we define the operators $T_i^{(A)} : V_h(\Omega) \to V_i(\Omega)$ by

$$b_i(T_i^{(A)} u, v) = a(u, v), \quad v \in V_i(\Omega). \quad (11)$$

Of course each of these problems have a unique solution. Let us introduce

$$T_A := T_0^{(A)} + T_1^{(A)} + \cdots + T_N^{(A)}. \quad (12)$$

We replace (4) by the operator equation

$$T_A u^*_h = g_h \quad (13)$$

where

$$g_h = \sum_{i=0}^{N} g_i, \quad g_i = T_i^{(A)} u^*_h \quad (14)$$

and $u^*_h$ is the solution of (4). Note that to compute $g_i$ we do not need to know $u^*_h$, see (11).

We note also that the solution of (4) and (13) are the same. This follows from the first main result of this paper:
Theorem 4.1. For any \( u \in V_h(\Omega) \) the following holds:
\[
C_1 \beta_1^{-1} a(u, u) \leq a(T_A u, u) \leq C_2 a(u, u) \tag{15}
\]
where \( \beta_1 = \max_i (\bar{\omega}_i / \bar{\omega}_i) (H_i / h_i)^2 \) and the positive constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) do not depend on \( \rho_i, \bar{\omega}_i, H_i, \) and \( h_i, \) \( i = 1, \ldots, N. \)

Remark 4.1. The estimate (15) can be improved when \( \bar{\omega}_i \) and \( \bar{\omega}_i \) are of the same order and \( \rho_i \leq \rho_i(x) \) on \( \Omega_i \backslash \Omega^h_i. \) In this case \( \beta_1 = \max_i (H_i / h_i). \)

Remark 4.2. The layer \( \Omega^h_i \) can be replaced by \( \Omega^h_i, \) the layer around \( \partial \Omega_i \) with width \( \delta_i. \) In this case \( \beta_1 = \max_i (\frac{H_i^2}{\bar{\omega}_i h_i}) \) where \( \bar{\omega}_i \) and \( \bar{\omega}_i \) are defined on \( \Omega^h_i, \) see [6].

Proof of Theorem 3.1 For that we need to check the three key assumptions of the general theory of ASMs; see Theorem 2.7 of [11].

Assumption(i) We need to show that \( \eta(\varepsilon), \) the spectral radius of \( \varepsilon = \{ \varepsilon_{ij} \}_{i,j=1,\ldots,N}, \) defined by
\[
a(u_i, u_j) \leq \varepsilon_{ij} a^{1/2}(u_i, u_i) a^{1/2}(u_j, u_j) \quad \forall u_i \in V_i \quad \text{and} \quad \forall u_j \in V_j,
\]
is bounded by a constant that does not depend on the jumps of \( \rho_i(x), H_i \) and \( h_i. \) In our case \( V_i \) and \( V_j \) are orthogonal for \( i, j = 1, \ldots, N \) and \( i \neq j, \) therefore, \( \eta(\varepsilon) = 1. \)

Assumption (ii) We need to show that for \( i = 0, \ldots, N, \)
\[
a(u, u) \leq \omega_i b_i(u, u), \quad u \in V_i
\]
with \( \omega_i \leq C \) where \( C \) is independent of the jumps of \( \rho_i(x), H_i \) and \( h_i. \)

For \( i = 1, \ldots, N, \) it is obvious that \( \omega_i = 1. \) For \( i = 0 \) and \( u \in V_h(\Omega) \) we have
\[
a(I_A u, I_A u) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i(I_A u, I_A u)
\]
and, see (6),
\[
a_i(I_A u, I_A u) = (\rho_i(\cdot) \nabla I_A u, \nabla I_A u)_{L^2(\Omega)} =
\]
\[
= (\rho_i(\cdot) \nabla (I_A u - \bar{u}_i), \nabla (I_A u - \bar{u}_i))_{L^2(\Omega_i)} =
\]
\[
= (\rho_i(\cdot) \nabla (I_A u - \bar{u}_i), \nabla (I_A u - \bar{u}_i))_{L^2(\Omega^h_i)} \leq
\]
\[
\leq C \sum_{x \in \partial \Omega^h_i} \overline{\omega}_i (u_i(x) - \bar{u}_i)^2 \tag{16}
\]
where \( \overline{\omega}_i \) is defined in (3). We have used the inverse inequality. Hence
\[
a(I_A u, I_A u) \leq C b_0(u, u)
\]
with \( \omega_0 \leq C. \) Thus \( \max_{i=0}^N \omega_i \leq C. \)

Assumption(iii) We prove that for \( u \in V_h(\Omega) \) there exist \( u_i \in V_i, i = 0, \ldots, N, \) such that
\[
u = \sum_{i=0}^N u_i \text{ and } \sum_{i=0}^N b_i(u_i, u_i) \leq C \beta_1 a(u, u). \tag{17}
\]
Let \( u_0 := I_A u \) for \( u \in V_h(\Omega) \) and \( u_i := u - u_0 \) on \( \overline{\Omega}_i \) and \( u_i = 0 \) outside of \( \Omega_i \). Of course \( u_i \in V_i(\Omega) \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, N \), and \( u = \sum_{i=0}^N u_i \). We have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^N b_i(u_i, u_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i(u - u_0, u - u_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \{a_i(u, u) + a_i(u_0, u_0)\} = 2\{a(u, u) + a(u_0, u_0)\}.
\]

To obtain \( \beta_1 \) in (17) we only need to estimate \( a(u_0, u_0) \). We have
\[
a_i(u_0, u_0) \leq C \sum_{x \in \partial \Omega_i} \bar{\alpha}_i(u(x) - \bar{u}_i)^2 \leq C \frac{\bar{\alpha}_i}{h_i} \| u - \bar{u}_i \|^2_{L^2(\partial \Omega_i)} \leq C \frac{H_i^2}{\bar{\alpha}_i} |u|_{H^1(\partial \Omega_i)}^2,
\]
where we have used (16) and a Friedrich’s inequality. Note that
\[
|\alpha_i|_{\overline{\Omega}_i}^2 \leq \frac{|\alpha_i|_{\overline{\Omega}_i}}{\alpha_i} \frac{H_i^2}{h_i} (g_i(x) \nabla u, \nabla u)_{L^2(\Omega_i)}.
\]
Using this in (19) we obtain
\[
\sum_{i=1}^N a_i(u_0, u_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N C \frac{\bar{\alpha}_i}{\overline{\alpha}_i} \frac{H_i^2}{h_i^2} a_i(u, u) \leq C \beta_1 a(u, u).
\]
Using this in (18) we obtain (17). The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

5. Discrete discontinuous Galerkin problem

In this section the original problem (1) is discretized by a composite discretization. We decompose \( \Omega \) into disjoint polygonals \( \Omega_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \), so \( \overline{\Omega} = \cup_i \overline{\Omega}_i \) as in Section 4 and we define \( H_i = diam(\Omega_i) \). The problem (1) is discretized by a continuous FEM in each \( \Omega_i \) and by a DG across \( \partial \Omega_i \).

Let us introduce a triangulation \( T^h(\Omega_i) \) in each \( \Omega_i \) with triangular elements \( e_k^{(i)} \) and a mesh parameter \( h_i \). We assume that this triangulation is shape-regular on \( \overline{\Omega}_i \). The resulting triangulation is nonmatching across \( \partial \Omega_i \). Let \( X_i(\Omega_i) \) be the finite element space of piecewise linear continuous functions on \( \Omega_i \). We do not assume that functions of \( X_i(\Omega_i) \) vanish on \( \partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega_j \). Let us introduce
\[
X_h(\Omega) := X_1(\Omega_1) \times \cdots \times X_N(\Omega).
\]
Functions \( v \) of \( X_h(\Omega) \) are represented as \( v = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^N \) with \( v_i \in X_i(\Omega_i) \). Note that \( X_h(\Omega) \nsubseteq H^1(\Omega) \) but \( X_h(\Omega) \subset L_2(\Omega) \).

The coefficients \( g(x) \) on the introduced triangulation can be discontinuous. We assume that \( g(x) \) on each element \( e_k^{(i)} \subset \overline{\Omega}_i \) is a constant \( g_k^{(i)} \), which can be defined, for example, by \( |e_k^{(i)}|^{-1} \int_{e_k^{(i)}} g(x) ds \). It means that this is done in the formulation of the original problem.
Let $\Omega^h$, as in Section 2, denote a layer with width $h_i$ around $\partial \Omega_i$ which is the union of $e_k^{(i)}$ triangles which touch $\partial \Omega_i$. We will use also $\pi_i$ and $\alpha_i$ defined in (3). Note that this time $g(x)$ is piecewise constant on triangles of $\Omega^h$.

A discrete problem for (1) is obtained by a composite discretization, i.e., a regular continuous FEM in each $\Omega_i$ and a DG across of $\partial \Omega_i$, see [1,10,4,5]. The discretization is defined as follows: Find $u_h^i \in X_h(\Omega)$ such that

$$\hat{a}_h(u_h^i, v_h) = f(v_h), \quad v_h \in X_h(\Omega)$$

where

$$\hat{a}_h(u, v) := \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{a}_i(u, v), \quad f(v) := \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega_i} f v_i dx.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Each bilinear form $\hat{a}_i$ is given as the sum of three bilinear forms:

$$\hat{a}_i(u, v) := a_i(u, v) + s_i(u, v) + p_i(u, v)$$

where

$$a_i(u, v) := \int_{\Omega_i} g_i(x) \nabla u_i \nabla v_i dx,$$

$$s_i(u, v) := \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{1}{l_{ij}} \int_{E_{ij}} g_{ij}(x) \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial n_i} (v_j - v_i) + \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial n_i} (u_j - u_i) \right) ds.$$  \hspace{1cm} (27)

and

$$p_i(u, v) := \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{\delta}{l_{ij} h_{ij}} \int_{E_{ij}} g_{ij}(x)(u_j - u_i)(v_j - v_i) ds.$$  \hspace{1cm} (28)

Here, the bilinear form $p_i$ is called the penalty term with a positive penalty parameter $\delta$. In the above equations, we set $l_{ij} = 2$ when $E_{ij} := \partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega_j$ is a common edge (or part of an edge) of $\partial \Omega_i$ and $\partial \Omega_j$. On $E_{ij}$ we define $g_{ij}(x) = 2g_i(x)g_j(x)/(g_i(x) + g_j(x))$, i.e., as the harmonic average of $g_i(x)$ and $g_j(x)$ on $E_{ij}$. Similarly, we define $h_{ij} = 2h_i h_j/(h_i + h_j)$. In order to simplify notation we include the index $\beta$ when $E_{ij} := \partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega$ is an edge of $\partial \Omega$ and set $l_{ij} = 1$, $v_j = 0$ for all $v \in X_h(\Omega)$, $g_{ij}(x) = g_i(x)$ and $h_{ij} = h_i$. The outward normal derivative on $\partial \Omega_i$ is denoted by $\partial / \partial n_i$. Note that when $g_{ij}(x)$ is given by the harmonic average then $\min\{g_i, g_j\} \leq g_{ij} \leq 2 \min\{g_i, g_j\}$.

We also define the positive local bilinear form $d_i$ with weights $g_i(x)$ and $\delta g_{ij}(x)/(l_{ij} h_{ij})$ as

$$d_i(u, v) = a_i(u, v) + p_i(u, v)$$

and introduce the global bilinear form $d_h(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $X_h(\Omega)$ defined by

$$d_h(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^N d_i(u, v).$$

For $u = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \in X_h(\Omega)$ the associated broken norm is then defined by

$$\| u_h \|^2_h := d_h(u, u) = \sum_{i=1}^N \| g_i^{1/2} \nabla u_i \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_i)} + \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{\delta}{l_{ij} h_{ij}} \int_{E_{ij}} g_{ij}(x)(u_i - u_j)^2 ds.$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The discrete problem (23) has a unique solution for sufficiently large penalty parameter $\delta$. This follows from the following lemma:
Lemma 5.1. There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for $\delta \geq \delta_0$ and for all $u \in X_h(\Omega)$, it holds
\[
\gamma_0 d_i(u, u) \leq \hat{a}_i(u, u) \leq \gamma_1 d_i(u, u)
\] (32)
and
\[
\gamma_0 d_h(u, u) \leq \hat{a}_h(u, u) \leq \gamma_1 d_h(u, u)
\] (33)
where $\gamma_0$ and $\gamma_1$ are positive constants independent of the $\varrho_i$, $h_i$ and $H_i$.

Proof It is a slight modification of the proof given in [4,5], therefore, it is omitted here.

We will assume below that $\delta \geq \delta_0$; i.e., that (32) and (33) are valid. A priori error estimates for the discussed method are optimal for regular coefficients and when $h_i$ and $h_j$ are of the same order, see for example [1], [10]. For piecewise constant coefficients $\rho_i$ and/or when the mesh sizes $h_i$ and $h_j$ are not of the same order, the error estimates depend on the ratio $h_i/h_j$. There is also the question of regularity of the solution of (1). Assuming the regularity of solution we have the following result:

Lemma 5.2. Let $u^*$ and $u_h^*$ be the solutions of (1) and (23). For $u^* \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ and $u_h^* \in H^{1+r}(\Omega)$, $i = 1, \cdots, N$, we have
\[
\| u^* - u_h^* \|_2^2 \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} (h_i^{1+r} + \frac{h_i^{2+r}}{h_i}) |u^*|^2_{H^{1+r}(\Omega_i)}
\]
with $r \in (1/2, 1]$ and $C$ which is independent of $h_i, H_i$ and $u^*$.

For the proof see [1,10] and [4,5].

6. Additive average Schwarz method for (23)

In this section we design and analyze an additive average Schwarz method for the discrete problem (23). For that we use the general theory of additive Schwarz methods (ASMs) described in [11].

6.1. Decomposition of $X_h(\Omega)$

Let us decompose
\[
X_h(\Omega) = V^{(0)}(\Omega) + V^{(1)}(\Omega) + \cdots + V^{N}(\Omega)
\] (34)
where for $i = 1, \cdots, N$
\[
V^{(i)}(\Omega) := \{ v = \{ v_k \}_{k=1}^{N} \in X_h(\Omega) : v_k = 0 \text{ for } k \neq i \}.
\] (35)

This means that $V^{(i)}(\Omega)$ is the zero extension of $X_i(\Omega_i)$ to $\overline{\Omega}_j$ for $j \neq i$. The coarse space $V^{(0)}$ is defined as
\[
V^{(0)}(\Omega) = \text{span} \{ \phi^{(i)} \}_{i=1}^{N}
\] (36)
where $\phi^{(i)} = \{ \phi_k^{(i)} \}_{k=1}^{N} \in X_h(\Omega)$ with $\phi_k^{(i)} = 1$ for $k = i$ and $\phi_k^{(i)} = 0$ for $k \neq i$. This is a space of piecewise constant functions with respect to $\Omega_i, i = 1, \cdots, N$. Note that the introduced spaces $V^{(i)}(\Omega)$ satisfy (34).
6.2. Inexact solver

For \( u^{(i)} = \{u_k^{(i)}\}_{k=1}^N \) and \( v^{(i)} = \{v_k^{(i)}\}_{k=1}^N \) belonging to \( V^{(i)}(\Omega) \), \( i = 1, \ldots, N \), we set
\[
b_i(u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}) = d_i(u^{(i)}, v^{(i)})
\]
where in this case, see (29),
\[
d_i(u^{(i)}, v^{(i)}) = (g_i(\cdot)\nabla u^{(i)}_i, \nabla v^{(i)}_i)_{L^2(\Omega_i)} + \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{\delta}{h_{ij}} (g_{ij}(\cdot)u^{(i)}_i, v^{(i)}_i)_{L^2(E_{ij})}.
\]

For the coarse space \( V^{(0)} \) and \( u^{(0)} = \{u_i^{(0)}\}_{i=1}^N \) and \( v^{(0)} = \{v_i^{(0)}\}_{i=1}^N \) belonging to \( V^{(0)}(\Omega) \) we set
\[
b_0(u^{(0)}, v^{(0)}) = d_h(u^{(0)}, v^{(0)}).
\]

Note that in this case
\[
b_0(u^{(0)}, v^{(0)}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{\delta}{h_{ij}} (g_{ij}(\cdot)(u_j^{(0)} - u_i^{(0)}), (u_j^{(0)} - u_i^{(0)}))_{L^2(E_{ij})}
\]
since \( u^{(0)} \) and \( v^{(0)} \) are piecewise constant functions with respect to \( \Omega_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \).

6.3. The operator equation

For \( i = 0, \ldots, N \), let us define the operators \( T_i^{(DG)} : X_h(\Omega) \rightarrow V^{(i)}(\Omega) \) by
\[
b_i(T^{(DG)} u, v) = \hat{a}_h(u, v), \quad v \in V^{(i)}(\Omega).
\]

Of course each of these problems have a unique solution. Let us define
\[
T_{DG} = T_0^{(DG)} + T_1^{(DG)} + \cdots + T_N^{(DG)}.
\]

We replace (23) by the following operator equation:
\[
T_{DG}u_h^* = g_h
\]
where
\[
g_h = \sum_{i=0}^N g_i, \quad g_i = T_i^{(DG)} u_h^*
\]
and \( u_h^* \) is the solution of (23). Note that to compute \( g_i \) we do not need to know \( u_h^* \), see (41). The solutions (23) and (43) are the same. This follows from the following theorem, the second main result of this paper.

**Theorem 6.1.** For any \( u \in X_h(\Omega) \) the following holds:
\[
C_3 \beta_2^{-1} \hat{a}_h(u, u) \leq \hat{a}_h(T_{DG} u, u) \leq C_4 \hat{a}_h(u, u)
\]
where \( \beta_2 = \max_i \max_{j \in \mathcal{T}_i} \frac{1}{\bar{\tau}_i/\alpha_i} \left( \frac{H_i^2}{h_i h_{ij}} \right) \) and the positive constants \( C_3 \) and \( C_4 \) do not depend on \( \rho_i, \bar{\tau}_i/\alpha_i, H_i, \) and \( h_i, i = 1, \ldots, N \).
Proof We need to check three key assumptions of the general theory of ASMs, see Theorem 2.7 of [11].

Assumption(i) We check it in the same way as Assumption(i) in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Thus \( \eta(\varepsilon) = 1 \).

Assumption(ii) We need to prove that for \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, N \)
\[
\hat{a}_h(u, u) \leq \omega_i b_i(u, u), \quad u \in V^{(i)}(\Omega) \tag{46}
\]
with \( \omega_i \leq C \), where \( C \) is independent of the jumps of \( \varrho_i(x), \varrho_{ij}(x), H_i \) and \( h_i \). Using Lemma 5.1 it is enough to prove (46) for \( d_h(\cdot, \cdot) \), see (30). For \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) and \( u^{(i)} \in V^{(i)}(\Omega) \) we have:
\[
d_h(u^{(i)}, u^{(i)}) = (\varrho_i(\cdot)\nabla u^{(i)}_i, \nabla v^{(i)}_i)_{L^2(\Omega_i)} + \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{\delta}{l_{ij} h_{ij}} (\varrho_{ij}(\cdot)(u^{(i)}_i - u^{(j)}_j), (u^{(i)}_i - u^{(j)}_j))_{L^2(E_{ij})} = b_i(u^{(i)}, u^{(i)}). \tag{47}
\]

For the coarse space \( V^{(0)}(\Omega) \) and \( u^{(0)} \in V^{(0)}(\Omega) \)
\[
d_h(u^{(0)}, u^{(0)}) = \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{\delta}{l_{ij} h_{ij}} (\varrho_{ij}(\cdot)(u^{(0)}_i - u^{(0)}_j), (u^{(0)}_i - u^{(0)}_j))_{L^2(E_{ij})} = b_0(u^{(0)}, u^{(0)}). \tag{49}
\]

Thus \( \omega_i \leq C \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, N \) in view of Lemma 5.1.

Assumption(iii) We need to show that for \( u \in X_h(\Omega) \) there exist \( u^{(i)} \in V^{(i)}(\Omega), i = 0, \ldots, N \), such that \( u = \sum_{i=0}^N u^{(i)} \) and
\[
\sum_{i=0}^N b_i(u^{(i)}, u^{(i)}) \leq C \beta_2 \hat{a}_h(u, u). \tag{48}
\]

Using Lemma 5.1, it is enough to prove (48) for \( d_h(\cdot, \cdot) \).

For \( u = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^N \in X_h(\Omega) \) let
\[
u^{(0)} = \{\bar{u}_i\}_{i=1}^N, \quad \bar{u}_i := \frac{1}{|\partial \Omega_i|} \int_{\partial \Omega_i} u_i(x) ds \tag{49}
\]
and set
\[
u = u^{(0)} + (u - u^{(0)}) = u^{(0)} + \sum_{i=1}^N u^{(i)}
\]
where \( u^{(i)} := \{u^{(i)}_k\}_{k=1}^N \) with \( u^{(i)}_k := u_i - \bar{u}_i \) for \( k = i \) and \( u^{(i)}_k = 0 \) for \( k \neq i \). Of course \( u^{(i)} \in V^{(i)}(\Omega) \) and \( u = \sum_{i=0}^N u^{(i)} \).

We now check (48) for \( d_h(\cdot, \cdot) \). For \( i = 0 \), see (40), we have
\[
b_0(u^{(0)}, u^{(0)}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \frac{\delta}{l_{ij} h_{ij}} (\varrho_{ij}(\cdot)(\bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_i), \bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_i)_{L^2(E_{ij})}. \tag{50}
\]

Note that
\[
(\varrho_{ij}(\cdot)(\bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_i), \bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_i)_{L^2(E_{ij})} \leq C \| \varrho_{ij}^{1/2}(\cdot)(\bar{u}_j - u_j) \|_{L^2(E_{ij})}^2 + \| \varrho_{ij}^{1/2}(\cdot)(u_i - u_j) \|_{L^2(E_{ij})}^2 \tag{51}
\]
where $E_{ij} = E_{ji}, E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i, E_{ji} \subset \partial \Omega_j$. By a Friedrich's inequality we have

\[
\frac{1}{h_{ij}} \| g_{ij}^{1/2}(\cdot) (\bar{u}_i - u_i) \|^2_{L^2(E_{ij})} \leq C \frac{\alpha_i}{h_{ij}} \| u_i - \bar{u}_i \|^2_{L^2(\partial \Omega_i)} \leq
\]

\[
\leq C \frac{\alpha_i H_i^2}{h_{ij}} |u_i|_{H^1(\partial \Omega_i)} \leq C \frac{\alpha_i H_i^2}{\alpha_j h_{ij}} \| g_{ij}^{1/2} \nabla u_i \|^2_{L^2(E_{ij})} \leq
\]

\[
\leq C \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j} \frac{H_i^2}{h_{ij}} \| g_i(\cdot) \nabla u_i, \nabla u_j \|_{L^2(\Omega_i)}
\]

since $g_{ij}(x) \leq 2g_1(x) \leq 2\bar{\alpha}_i$ on $\partial \Omega_i$. In the same way we show that

\[
\frac{1}{h_{ij}} \| g_{ij}^{1/2}(\cdot) (\bar{u}_j - u_j) \|^2_{L^2(E_{ij})} \leq C \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_i} \frac{H_j^2}{h_{ij}} \| g_j(\cdot) \nabla u_j, \nabla u_i \|_{L^2(\Omega_j)}.
\]

Substituting (52), (53) into (51) and the resulting inequality into (50), we obtain

\[
b_0(u^{(0)}, u^{(0)}) \leq C \beta_2 d_h(u, u) \leq C \beta_2 \hat{a}_h(u, u).
\]

We have by (38) that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^N b_i(u^{(i)}, u^{(i)}) = \sum_{i=1}^N (g_i(\cdot) \nabla u_i, \nabla u_i)_{L^2(\Omega_i)} +
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{E_{ij} \subset \partial \Omega_i} \delta \frac{1}{h_{ij}} (g_{ij}(\cdot) (u_i - \bar{u}_i), (u_i - \bar{u}_i))_{E_{ij}}.
\]

Using (52) and Lemma 5.1 we obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^N b_i(u^{(i)}, u^{(i)}) \leq C \beta_2 d_h(u, u) \leq C \beta_2 \hat{a}_h(u, u).
\]

Adding the estimates (54) and (56) we get (48). The proof of Theorem 6.1 is complete.

**Remark 6.1.** The estimate (45) can be improved when $\bar{\alpha}_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_j$ are of the same order and $\bar{\alpha}_i \leq g_i(x)$ on $\bar{\Omega}_i \setminus \Omega_i$. In this case $\beta_2 = \max_i \max_{j} \in \mathcal{I}_i \left( H_i^2 / h_{ij} \right)$. 

**Remark 6.2.** The layer $\Omega_i^h$ can be replaced by $\Omega_i^\delta$, the layer around $\partial \Omega_i$ with width $\delta$. In this case $\beta_2 = \max_i \max_{j} \in \mathcal{I}_i \left( \frac{\alpha_i H_i^2}{h_{ij}^2} \right)$ where $\bar{\alpha}_i$ and $\bar{\alpha}_i$ here are defined on $\Omega_i^\delta$, see (3).

### 7. Implementation

To find the solution of (4), for the first discretization, and (23), for the second discretization, we need to solve the equations (13) and (43), respectively. The operators $T_A$ and $T_{DG}$ are symmetric positive definite and relatively well conditioned, see Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 6.1. To solve these equations a conjugate gradient method is used. We next discuss an implementation of the method for the equation (43) (for (13) is similar). For the simplicity of the presentation we discuss only the Richardson method.
The problem (43) is solved by the method

\[ u^{n+1} = u^n - \tau(T_{DG}u^n - g_h) = u^n - \tau T_{DG}(u^n - u_h^*) \]

where the relaxation parameter \( \tau \) can be chosen using the estimates in Theorem 6.1.

To compute

\[ r^n := T_{DG}(u^n - u_h^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} T_i^{(DG)}(u^n - u_h^n) \]

we need to find \( r_i^n := T_i^{(DG)}(u^n - u_h^n) \) by solving the following equations, see (41),

\[ b_i(T_i^{(DG)} r_i, v) = \hat{a}_h(r_i, v) = \hat{a}_h(u^n, v) - f(v), \quad v \in V^{(i)}(\Omega) \]

for \( i = 0, \cdots, N \). Note that these problems are independent to each other, therefore, they can be solved in parallel. The problems for \( i = 1, \cdots, N \) are local and defined on \( \Omega_i \) and reduce to discrete problems with continuous FEM and piecewise linear functions. The problem for \( i = 0 \) has a local and a global component, where the local problem involves a diagonal preconditioning while the global problem has the number of unknowns equals to the number of subregions \( \Omega_i \) and it reduces to a system with a mass matrix.

The above implementation shows that the proposed algorithm is very well suited for parallel computations.
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