

A Note on the Construction of L -Fold Sparse Tensor Product Spaces

Michael Griebel, Helmut Harbrecht

no. 531

Diese Arbeit ist mit Unterstützung des von der Deutschen Forschungsgemeinschaft getragenen Sonderforschungsbereichs 611 an der Universität Bonn entstanden und als Manuskript vervielfältigt worden.

Bonn, Mai 2012

A NOTE ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF L -FOLD SPARSE TENSOR PRODUCT SPACES

MICHAEL GRIEBEL AND HELMUT HARBRECHT

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we consider the construction of general sparse tensor product spaces in arbitrary space dimensions when the single subdomains are of different dimensionality and the associated ansatz spaces possess different approximation properties. Our theory extends the results from [9] for the construction of two-fold sparse tensor product space to arbitrary L -fold sparse tensor product spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many problems in science and engineering lead to problems which are defined on the tensor product of L domains $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \cdots \times \Omega_L$ where $\Omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$. Already for the simple situation of $L = 2$, there exists a large amount of problems. This includes for instance radiosity models and radiative transfer [24] where Ω_1 denotes the spatial three-dimensional domain of a geometric object under consideration and Ω_2 is the sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Then, there are the parabolic problems where Ω_1 is the time interval and Ω_2 is the spatial domain [5, 12, 13, 21], or various phase space problems, like e.g. the Boltzmann equation, kinetic equations or the Langevin equation [2], where both Ω_1 and Ω_2 are three-dimensional cubes or the full three-dimensional real space.

Even more applications can be found in case of a general $L > 2$. For example, non-Newtonian flow can be modeled by a coupled system which consists of the Navier Stokes equation for the flow in a three-dimensional geometry described by Ω_1 and of the Fokker-Planck equation in the $3(L-1)$ -dimensional configuration space on $\Omega_2 \times \Omega_3 \times \cdots \times \Omega_L$ where each domain Ω_i ($i \geq 2$) is a sphere. Here L denotes the number of atoms in a chain-like molecule which constitutes the non-Newtonian behavior of the flow, for details see [3, 19, 20]. Also the L -th moment of linear elliptic boundary value problems with stochastic source terms are known to satisfy a deterministic partial differential equation with the L -fold tensor product of the elliptic operator on the L -fold product of the physical domain [22, 23]. This approach extends to stochastic diffusion problems and to more general partial differential equations with stochastic coefficient functions or with stochastic domains [15, 16, 17]. Another example is

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 41A17, 41A25, 41A30, 41A65.

multiscale homogenization. After unfolding [1, 6, 7], it gives rise to the product of the macroscopic physical domain with the $L - 1$ periodic microscopic domains which correspond to the $L - 1$ different microscales [18]. Finally, we find the product of L domains in quantum mechanics for e.g. the electronic Schrödinger equation where each electron has its associated three-dimensional domain, see e.g. [10, 25, 26].

A naive, conventional discretization would use tensor products of all basis functions from suitable finite dimensional ansatz spaces $V_J^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$ which are defined on each domain separately. This leads to the *full tensor product space* $V_J^{(1)} \otimes V_J^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes V_J^{(L)}$. However, in general, the full tensor product space contains way too many degrees of freedom such that desirable realistic simulations are beyond current computing capacities. This relates to the well-known *curse of dimension* which states that the number of degrees of freedom for an approximation necessary to obtain a prescribed accuracy grows exponentially with the dimension.

To overcome the curse of dimension, we will focus in this paper on the construction of *sparse tensor product spaces*, also known as sparse grids [4, 27]. The starting point are multilevel decompositions of the ansatz spaces

$$V_J^{(i)} = W_0^{(i)} \oplus W_1^{(i)} \oplus \dots \oplus W_J^{(i)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$

which can be constructed via hierarchical bases, interpolets or wavelet-like bases. From this, the *regular sparse tensor product space* is defined according to

$$(1.1) \quad \widehat{V}_J^{reg} = \bigoplus_{j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_L \leq J} W_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes W_{j_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{j_L}^{(L)},$$

see e.g. [4, 11, 14, 27]. Its approximation power is nearly as good as that of the corresponding full tensor product space if the functions to be approximated provide additional smoothness in terms of bounded mixed derivatives. Note here that the space (1.1) is optimal with respect to the L^2 -norm if all domains have the *same* dimension, are equipped with the *same* type of functions and relate to the *same* regularity of the function under consideration with respect to its coordinates.

To also cover the more general cases, we will introduce in the following the special sparse tensor product space

$$\widehat{V}_J^\alpha := \bigoplus_{\alpha^T \mathbf{j} \leq J} W_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes W_{j_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{j_L}^{(L)}$$

for an *arbitrary* vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_L) > \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_L) \in \mathbb{N}_0^L$ and we will discuss various choices of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ for respective general situations of local dimensions and regularities of the L domains.

For the case $L = 2$ we already systematically studied in [9] what the most efficient construction of sparse tensor product spaces is if the spatial dimension of the underlying domains or the polynomial exactness (and thus the approximation power) of the ansatz spaces differ. In this paper, we will now extend these results to L -fold tensor product spaces. It will turn out that, in case of smooth functions, there is a whole range of sparse tensor product spaces which possess the same optimal convergence rate. However, in case of functions with limited regular or mixed Sobolev smoothness, it will turn out that the sparse tensor product space, which equilibrates the number of degrees of freedom (see Section 3), is superior to all the other sparse tensor product spaces under consideration.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we specify the requirements of the multiscale hierarchies on each subdomain. Then, in Section 3, we construct general sparse tensor product spaces. In Section 4, we study their properties. Section 5 is dedicated to the comparison of the cost complexities for the approximation of functions of different mixed Sobolev smoothness. Finally, in Section 6, we give some concluding remarks.

Throughout this paper, the notion “essential” in the context of complexity estimates means “up to logarithmic terms”. Moreover, to avoid the repeated use of generic but unspecified constants, we denote by $C \lesssim D$ that C is bounded by a multiple of D independently of parameters which C and D may depend on. Obviously, $C \gtrsim D$ is defined as $D \lesssim C$, and $C \sim D$ as $C \lesssim D$ and $C \gtrsim D$.

2. APPROXIMATION ON A SUBDOMAIN

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a sufficiently smooth, bounded domain. We consider a nested sequence of finite dimensional spaces

$$(2.2) \quad V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_j \subset \cdots \subset L^2(\Omega),$$

which consists of piecewise polynomial ansatz functions $V_j = \text{span}\{\varphi_{j,k} : k \in \Delta_j\}$ (Δ_j denotes a suitable index set), such that $\dim V_j \sim 2^{jn}$ and

$$(2.3) \quad L^2(\Omega) = \overline{\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} V_j}.$$

We will use the spaces V_j for the approximation of functions. To this end, we assume that the approximation property

$$(2.4) \quad \inf_{v_j \in V_j} \|u - v_j\|_{H^q(\Omega)} \lesssim h_j^{s-q} \|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)}, \quad u \in H^s(\Omega),$$

holds for $q < \gamma$, $q \leq s \leq r$ uniformly in j . Here we set $h_j := 2^{-j}$, i.e., h_j corresponds to the width of the mesh associated with the subspace V_j on Ω . The parameter $\gamma > 0$ refers to the *regularity* of the functions which are contained in V_j , i.e.,

$$\gamma := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{R} : V_j \subset H^s(\Omega)\}.$$

The integer $r > 0$ refers to the *polynomial exactness*, that is the maximal order of polynomials which are locally contained in the space V_j .

We now introduce a wavelet basis associated with the multiscale analysis (2.2) and (2.3) as follows. The wavelets $\Psi_j := \{\psi_{j,k} : k \in \nabla_j\}$, where $\nabla_j := \Delta_j \setminus \Delta_{j-1}$, are the bases of the complementary spaces W_j of V_{j-1} in V_j , i.e.,

$$V_j = V_{j-1} \oplus W_j, \quad V_{j-1} \cap W_j = \{0\}, \quad W_j = \text{span}\{\Psi_j\}.$$

Recursively we obtain

$$V_J = \bigoplus_{j=0}^J W_j, \quad W_0 := V_0,$$

and thus, with

$$\Psi_J := \bigcup_{j=0}^J \Psi_j, \quad \Psi_0 := \text{span}\{\varphi_{0,k} : k \in \Delta_0\},$$

we get a wavelet basis in V_J . A final requirement is that the infinite collection $\Psi := \bigcup_{j \geq 0} \Psi_j$ forms a Riesz basis of $L^2(\Omega)$. Then, there exists also a dual (or biorthogonal) wavelet basis $\tilde{\Psi} = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} \tilde{\Psi}_j = \{\tilde{\psi}_{j,k} : k \in \nabla_j\}$ which defines a dual multiscale analysis of regularity $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$, see e.g. [8] for further details. In particular, each function $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ admits the unique representation

$$(2.5) \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in \nabla_j} (f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k})_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_{j,k}.$$

With the definition of the projections

$$Q_j : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow W_j, \quad Q_j f = \sum_{k \in \nabla_j} (f, \tilde{\psi}_{j,k})_{L^2(\Omega)} \psi_{j,k},$$

the atomic decomposition (2.5) gives rise to the multilevel decomposition

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Q_j f.$$

Since for all $-\gamma < q < \tilde{\gamma}$ also a (properly scaled version of the) wavelet basis Ψ is a Riesz basis of $H^q(\Omega)$, we especially have

$$(2.6) \quad \|f\|_{H^q(\Omega)}^2 \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|Q_j f\|_{H^q(\Omega)}^2, \quad -\gamma < q < \tilde{\gamma},$$

see [8]. Finally, for any $f \in H^s(\Omega)$ the approximation property (2.4) induces the estimate

$$(2.7) \quad \|Q_j f\|_{H^q(\Omega)} \lesssim 2^{-j(s-q)} \|f\|_{H^s(\Omega)}, \quad q \leq s \leq r.$$

3. SPARSE TENSOR PRODUCT SPACES

Consider now L domains $\Omega_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. We aim at the approximation of functions in anisotropic Sobolev spaces

$$\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{\Omega}) := H^{s_1}(\Omega_1) \otimes H^{s_2}(\Omega_2) \otimes \dots \otimes H^{s_L}(\Omega_L)$$

where $\mathbf{\Omega} := \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \dots \times \Omega_L$. To this end, we assume to individually have for each subdomain Ω_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, the multiscale analysis

$$V_0^{(i)} \subset V_1^{(i)} \subset V_2^{(i)} \subset \dots \subset L^2(\Omega_i), \quad V_0^{(i)} = \text{span}\{\Phi_j^{(i)}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$

with associated complementary spaces

$$V_j^{(i)} = V_{j-1}^{(i)} \oplus W_j^{(i)}, \quad V_{j-1}^{(i)} \cap W_j^{(i)} = \{0\}, \quad W_j^{(i)} = \text{span}\{\Psi_j^{(i)}\}.$$

Furthermore, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, let us denote the polynomial exactnesses of the spaces $V_j^{(i)}$ by r_i , and the regularity of the primal and dual wavelet bases by γ_i and $\tilde{\gamma}_i$.

In this paper, we study the approximation of functions in the special sparse tensor product space¹

$$(3.8) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} := \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \mathbf{j} \leq J} W_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes W_{j_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{j_L}^{(L)}$$

for an *arbitrary* vector $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_L) > \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_L) \in \mathbb{N}_0^L$. Here and in the following, inequalities for vectors are to be understood componentwise, i.e., $\boldsymbol{\alpha} > \mathbf{0}$ means $\alpha_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. Moreover we set $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_L)$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_L)$, and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = (\tilde{\gamma}_1, \tilde{\gamma}_2, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_L)$.

Now, we can formulate the following problem: *How must $\boldsymbol{\alpha} > \mathbf{0}$ be chosen such that, for given parameters $-\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} < \mathbf{q} < \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{q} < \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{r}$, a function $f \in \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{\Omega})$ can be best approximated in $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathbf{j}}^{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ in the $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{\Omega})$ -norm ?*

Natural choices of the parameter $\boldsymbol{\alpha} > \mathbf{0}$ are:

¹Here and in the following, the summation limits are in general no natural numbers and must of course be rounded properly. We leave this to the reader to avoid cumbersome floor/ceil-notations.

- (i.) To equilibrate the accuracy in the involved univariate spaces $V_{J/\alpha_i}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, we obtain the condition

$$2^{-J(r_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} = 2^{-J(r_2-q_2)/\alpha_2} = \dots = 2^{-J(r_L-q_L)/\alpha_L}.$$

This means that we have to choose $\alpha_i = r_i - q_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$.

- (ii.) To equilibrate the number of degrees of freedom in the involved univariate spaces $V_{J/\alpha_i}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, we obtain the condition

$$2^{Jn_1/\alpha_1} = 2^{Jn_2/\alpha_2} = \dots = 2^{Jn_L/\alpha_L}.$$

This condition is satisfied if $\alpha_i = n_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$.

- (iii.) Following the idea of an *equilibrated cost-benefit rate* (see [4]), we get the condition

$$2^{j_1(n_1+r_1-q_1)} \cdot 2^{j_2(n_2+r_2-q_2)} \dots 2^{j_L(n_L+r_L-q_L)} = 2^{J \cdot \text{const.}} \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \mathbf{j} = J.$$

For $\text{const.} = 1$, we find $\alpha_i = n_i + r_i - q_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$.

In the next section, we will compute for these and other choices the cost and the error of the approximation in the related sparse tensor product spaces.

4. PROPERTIES OF THE SPARSE TENSOR PRODUCT SPACES

To compute the convergence rate of functions in the sparse tensor product spaces $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^\alpha$ with arbitrary $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \geq \mathbf{0}$, we first count the degrees of freedom which are contained in these spaces.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $\boldsymbol{\alpha} > \mathbf{0}$, the dimension of the sparse tensor product space*

$$\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^\alpha = \bigoplus_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \mathbf{j} \leq J} W_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes W_{j_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes W_{j_L}^{(L)}$$

is proportional to $2^{J \max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}} J^{R-1}$, where R counts how often the maximum is attained.

Proof. We shall use induction to prove this theorem. Consider first the case $L = 1$. Due to $\dim W_{j_1}^{(1)} \sim 2^{j_1 n_1}$, it holds

$$\dim \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^\alpha \sim \sum_{j_1=0}^{J/\alpha_1} 2^{j_1 n_1} \lesssim 2^{J n_1 / \alpha_1}.$$

Since $R = 1$, the desired result follows immediately.

We now show the induction step $L \mapsto L + 1$. To this end, we denote the $(L + 1)$ -fold sparse tensor product space by

$$(4.9) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})} = \bigoplus_{\alpha^T \mathbf{j} + \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1} \leq J} W_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{j_L}^{(L)} \otimes W_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)},$$

where, without loss of generality², we will assume

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} = \cdots = \frac{n_R}{\alpha_R} > \frac{n_{R+1}}{\alpha_{R+1}} \geq \frac{n_{R+2}}{\alpha_{R+2}} \geq \cdots \geq \frac{n_L}{\alpha_L} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n_L}{\alpha_L} \geq \frac{n_{L+1}}{\alpha_{L+1}}.$$

Obviously, there holds the identity

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})} &= \bigoplus_{j_L=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} \left[\bigoplus_{\alpha^T \mathbf{j} \leq J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}} W_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{j_L}^{(L)} \right] \otimes W_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} \\ &= \bigoplus_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}}^{\alpha} \otimes W_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

According to our induction hypothesis, the sparse tensor product space $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}}^{\alpha}$ consists of $\mathcal{O}(2^{(J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}) n_1 / \alpha_1} (J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1})^{R-1})$ degrees of freedom. Hence, since $\dim W_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} \sim 2^{j_{L+1} n_{L+1}}$, we get the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})} &\lesssim \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} 2^{j_{L+1} n_{L+1}} 2^{(J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}) n_1 / \alpha_1} (J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1})^{R-1} \\ &\lesssim 2^{J n_1 / \alpha_1} J^{R-1} \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} 2^{j_{L+1} (n_{L+1} - n_1 \alpha_{L+1} / \alpha_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the ordering (4.10), we have to distinguish two cases, namely $n_1 / \alpha_1 > n_{L+1} / \alpha_{L+1}$ and $n_1 / \alpha_1 = n_{L+1} / \alpha_{L+1}$. In the case $n_1 / \alpha_1 > n_{L+1} / \alpha_{L+1}$, i.e., if $R < L$, the exponent in the last sum is always negative which immediately implies

$$(4.12) \quad \dim \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})} \lesssim 2^{J n_1 / \alpha_1} J^{R-1}.$$

In the case $n_1 / \alpha_1 = n_{L+1} / \alpha_{L+1}$, which only happens if $R = L$, the exponent in the sum is zero and it follows that

$$(4.13) \quad \dim \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})} \lesssim 2^{J n_1 / \alpha_1} J^{L-1} \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} 1 \lesssim 2^{J n_1 / \alpha_1} J^L.$$

The combination of (4.12) and (4.13) yields the desired result in case of $L + 1$. This completes the proof. \square

²Otherwise, we apply the induction to an appropriate permutation of the spatial dimensions.

Next, we consider the approximation power in the sparse tensor product spaces $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^\alpha$. Obviously, the highest possible rate of convergence is attained in the space $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{r}}(\Omega)$ where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_L)$ is the vector of polynomial exactness of the underlying full tensor product spaces. Therefore, in the following theorem, we restrict ourselves without loss of generality to $\mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{r}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $-\tilde{\gamma} < \mathbf{q} < \gamma$ and $\mathbf{q} < \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{r}$ and $f \in \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)$. Then, the sparse grid projector*

$$(4.14) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^\alpha : \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{q}}(\Omega) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^\alpha, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^\alpha f = \sum_{\alpha^T \mathbf{j} \leq J} (Q_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes Q_{j_2}^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes Q_{j_L}^{(L)}) f$$

satisfies

$$(4.15) \quad \|(I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^\alpha) f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{q}}(\Omega)} \lesssim 2^{-J \min\{\frac{s_1 - q_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{s_2 - q_2}{\alpha_2}, \dots, \frac{s_L - q_L}{\alpha_L}\}} J^{(P-1)/2} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)}.$$

Here, P counts how often the minimum is attained in the exponent.

Proof. We show the assertion again by induction over L . For the case $L = 1$, the tensor product domain Ω is a single domain Ω_1 and the projector $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^\alpha$ onto the sparse grid is simply $\sum_{j_1=0}^{J/\alpha_1} Q_{j_1}^{(1)}$. Hence, the assertion follows immediately from (2.6) and (2.7) since

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{\alpha_1}) f\|_{H^{q_1}(\Omega_1)}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{j_1=J/\alpha_1+1}^{\infty} \|Q_{j_1}^{(1)} f\|_{H^{q_1}(\Omega_1)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j_1=J/\alpha_1+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2j_1(s_1 - q_1)} \|f\|_{H^{s_1}(\Omega_1)}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2J(s_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1} \|f\|_{H^{s_1}(\Omega_1)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $f \in H^{s_1}(\Omega_1)$.

We now assume that the assertion is shown for the product domain $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \dots \times \Omega_L$ and the sparse grid projector $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^\alpha$, given by (4.14). This means, the error estimate (4.15) is valid for any function from $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)$. To prove the induction step $L \mapsto L+1$, we shall assume without loss of generality³ that

$$\frac{s_1 - q_1}{\alpha_1} = \dots = \frac{s_P - q_P}{\alpha_P} < \frac{s_{P+1} - q_{P+1}}{\alpha_{P+1}} \leq \frac{s_{P+2} - q_{P+2}}{\alpha_{P+2}} \leq \dots \leq \frac{s_L - q_L}{\alpha_L}$$

and

$$\frac{s_L - q_L}{\alpha_L} \leq \frac{s_{L+1} - q_{L+1}}{\alpha_{L+1}}.$$

³Otherwise, we apply the induction to an appropriate permutation of the spatial dimensions.

The induction step then reads as follows. For any given function

$$f \in \mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{s}, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1}) := \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega) \otimes H^{s_{L+1}}(\Omega_{L+1}) = H^{s_1}(\Omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes H^{s_{L+1}}(\Omega_{L+1}),$$

we shall show that the sparse grid projection

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})} = \sum_{\alpha^T \mathbf{j} + \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1} \leq J} Q_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} : \mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{q}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})}$$

satisfies the error estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})}) f \|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{q}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})} \\ & \lesssim 2^{-J \min\{\frac{s_1 - q_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{s_2 - q_2}{\alpha_2}, \dots, \frac{s_{L+1} - q_{L+1}}{\alpha_{L+1}}\}} J^{(P^* - 1)/2} \| f \|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{s}, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the sparse tensor product space $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})}$ is defined in (4.9) and $P^* := P + 1$ if $(s_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1 = (s_{L+1} - q_{L+1})/\alpha_{L+1}$ and $P^* := P$ otherwise.

Analogously to (4.11), we find the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})} &= \sum_{\alpha^T \mathbf{j} + \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1} \leq J} Q_{j_1}^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes Q_{j_L}^{(L)} \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} \\ &= \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}}^{\alpha} \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & I - \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}}^{\alpha} \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} \\ &= \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} (I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}}^{\alpha}) \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} + I \otimes \left(I - \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} (I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}}^{\alpha}) \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} + I \otimes \sum_{j_{L+1}=J/\alpha_{L+1}+1}^{\infty} Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of (2.6) and (2.7), since $f \in \mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{s}, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})}) f \|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{q}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ & \lesssim \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} \left\| \left((I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{J - \alpha_{L+1} j_{L+1}}^{\alpha}) \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} \right) f \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{q}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ & \quad + \sum_{j_{L+1}=J/\alpha_{L+1}+1}^{\infty} \left\| (I \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)}) f \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{q}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The second norm on the right hand side can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)})f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{a}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 &\lesssim 2^{-2j_{L+1}(s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{a}, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2j_{L+1}(s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The induction hypotheses (4.15) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left((I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{J-\alpha_{L+1}j_{L+1}}^\alpha) \otimes I \right) f \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{a}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2(J-\alpha_{L+1}j_{L+1})(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} (J - \alpha_{L+1}j_{L+1})^{P-1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By employing in addition (2.7), the first norm on the right hand side of the above expression can be estimated according to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \left((I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_{J-\alpha_{L+1}j_{L+1}}^\alpha) \otimes Q_{j_{L+1}}^{(L+1)} \right) f \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{a}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2(J-\alpha_{L+1}j_{L+1})(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} (J - \alpha_{L+1}j_{L+1})^{P-1} 2^{-2j_{L+1}(s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2J(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} J^{P-1} 2^{-2j_{L+1}((s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})-(s_1-q_1)\alpha_{L+1}/\alpha_1)} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether, we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})})f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{a}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim \left[2^{-2J(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} J^{P-1} \sum_{j_{L+1}=0}^{J/\alpha_{L+1}} 2^{-2j_{L+1}((s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})-(s_1-q_1)\alpha_{L+1}/\alpha_1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j_{L+1}=J/\alpha_{L+1}+1}^{\infty} 2^{-2j_{L+1}(s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})} \right] \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $(s_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1 < (s_{L+1} - q_{L+1})/\alpha_{L+1}$, the exponent in the first sum is always negative and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})})f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{a}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim (2^{-2J(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} J^{P-1} + 2^{-2J(s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})/\alpha_{L+1}}) \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2J(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} J^{P-1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $(s_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1 = (s_{L+1} - q_{L+1})/\alpha_{L+1}$, which may only happen if $P = L$, the exponent in the first sum is always 0, which, due to $J/\alpha_{L+1} + 1$ terms in the sum, leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(I - \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}_J^{(\alpha, \alpha_{L+1})})f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(\mathbf{a}, q_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim (2^{2J(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} J^L + 2^{-2J(s_{L+1}-q_{L+1})/\alpha_{L+1}}) \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2 \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2J(s_1-q_1)/\alpha_1} J^L \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{(s, s_{L+1})}(\Omega \times \Omega_{L+1})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

By combining Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 we can express the convergence rate in terms of the number of degrees of freedom $N := \dim \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}$. This gives us the cost complexity of the approximation of functions in the sparse tensor product spaces $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}$.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $-\tilde{\gamma} < \mathbf{q} < \gamma$, $\mathbf{q} < \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{r}$, and $f \in \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, denote by $N := \dim \widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}$ the number of degrees of freedom in the sparse tensor product space $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}$ and set*

$$\beta := \frac{\min\{(s_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1, (s_2 - q_2)/\alpha_2, \dots, (s_L - q_L)/\alpha_L\}}{\max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}}.$$

Assume that the minimum in the numerator is attained P times and the maximum in the denominator is attained R times. Then, the approximation (4.14) in $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}$ possesses the following convergence rate in terms of the degrees of freedom N :

$$(4.16) \quad \|f - \widehat{f}_J\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{q}}(\Omega)} \lesssim N^{-\beta} (\log N)^{(P-1)/2 + \beta(R-1)} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)}.$$

Proof. Assume first $R = 1$. We then have $N \sim 2^{J \max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}}$ due to Theorem 4.1. Hence, it holds

$$N^{-\beta} = N^{-\frac{\min\{(s_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1, (s_2 - q_2)/\alpha_2, \dots, (s_L - q_L)/\alpha_L\}}{\max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}}} \sim 2^{-J \min\{\frac{s_1 - q_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{s_2 - q_2}{\alpha_2}, \dots, \frac{s_L - q_L}{\alpha_L}\}},$$

which, together with (4.15), yields the desired error estimate (4.16) for $R = 1$.

If $R > 1$, then the sparse tensor product space $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}$ contains

$$N \sim 2^{J \max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}} J^{R-1}$$

degrees of freedom. Thus, we now have the relation

$$\left(\frac{N}{J^{R-1}}\right)^{-\beta} \sim 2^{-J \min\{\frac{s_1 - q_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{s_2 - q_2}{\alpha_2}, \dots, \frac{s_L - q_L}{\alpha_L}\}}.$$

Consequently, by taking the logarithm on both sides, we get $\log(N/J^{R-1}) \sim J$, and since $\log(N/J^{R-1}) \leq \log N$, we obtain from (4.15) the estimate

$$\|f - \widehat{f}_J\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \lesssim \left(\frac{N}{(\log N)^{R-1}}\right)^{-\beta} (\log N)^{(P-1)/2} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)},$$

i.e., the desired error estimate (4.16) for $R > 1$. This completes the proof. \square

5. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

We now discuss the best choice of the parameter $\alpha > \mathbf{0}$. To this end, we intend to approximate a function $f \in \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)$ of maximal smoothness, i.e., we shall apply Corollary 4.3 in the case $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r}$. Our first question addresses the highest possible convergence rate β^* which can be achieved in the sparse tensor product spaces $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_{\mathcal{J}}^{\alpha}$.

Lemma 5.1. *It holds*

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \frac{\min\{(r_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1, (r_2 - q_2)/\alpha_2, \dots, (r_L - q_L)/\alpha_L\}}{\max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}} \\ &\leq \beta^* := \min\left\{\frac{r_1 - q_1}{n_1}, \frac{r_2 - q_2}{n_2}, \dots, \frac{r_L - q_L}{n_L}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\alpha} > \mathbf{0}$.

Proof. Choose $\boldsymbol{\alpha} > \mathbf{0}$ arbitrarily but fixed and let $k, \ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ be such that

$$\frac{r_k - q_k}{\alpha_k} = \min\left\{\frac{r_1 - q_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{r_2 - q_2}{\alpha_2}, \dots, \frac{r_L - q_L}{\alpha_L}\right\}$$

and

$$\frac{n_\ell}{\alpha_\ell} = \max\left\{\frac{n_1}{\alpha_1}, \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}, \dots, \frac{n_L}{\alpha_L}\right\}.$$

It thus holds $(r_k - q_k)/\alpha_k \leq (r_i - q_i)/\alpha_i$ and $n_\ell/\alpha_\ell \geq n_i/\alpha_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. In particular, we find

$$\beta = \frac{r_k - q_k}{\alpha_k} \cdot \frac{\alpha_\ell}{n_\ell} \leq \frac{r_i - q_i}{\alpha_i} \cdot \frac{\alpha_i}{n_i} = \frac{r_i - q_i}{n_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$

which immediately implies the assertion

$$\beta \leq \min\left\{\frac{r_1 - q_1}{n_1}, \frac{r_2 - q_2}{n_2}, \dots, \frac{r_L - q_L}{n_L}\right\}.$$

□

This lemma thus gives an upper bound β^* for the convergence rate β in Corollary 4.3. We now show that this rate is essentially achieved for the canonical choices (i.)–(iii.) of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ of Section 3.

(i.) The equilibration of the accuracy in the involved univariate spaces led to the choice $\alpha_i = r_i - q_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. According to Corollary 4.3 and since we consider $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{r}$, we have here

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= \frac{\min\{1, 1, \dots, 1\}}{\max\{n_1/(r_1 - q_1), n_2/(r_2 - q_2), \dots, n_L/(r_L - q_L)\}} \\ &= \min\left\{\frac{r_1 - q_1}{n_1}, \frac{r_2 - q_2}{n_2}, \dots, \frac{r_L - q_L}{n_L}\right\} = \beta^*.\end{aligned}$$

We thus obtain the rate

$$\|f - \hat{f}_J\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{q}}(\Omega)} \lesssim N^{-\beta^*} (\log N)^{(L-1)/2 + \beta^*(R-1)} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{r}}(\Omega)}.$$

(ii.) The equilibration of the degrees of freedom in the involved univariate spaces corresponds to the choice $\alpha_i = n_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. This immediately leads to

$$\beta = \frac{\min\{(r_1 - q_1)/n_1, (r_2 - q_2)/n_2, \dots, (r_L - q_L)/n_L\}}{\max\{1, 1, \dots, 1\}} = \beta^*.$$

Hence, the rate of convergence is

$$\|f - \widehat{f}_J\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{q}}(\Omega)} \lesssim N^{-\beta^*} (\log N)^{(P-1)/2+\beta^*(L-1)} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{r}}(\Omega)}.$$

(iii.) The equilibration of the cost-benefit rate is given by $\alpha_i = r_i - q_i + n_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$. Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ such that

$$\frac{r_k - q_k}{n_k + r_k - q_k} = \min \left\{ \frac{r_1 - q_1}{n_1 + r_1 - q_1}, \dots, \frac{r_L - q_L}{n_L + r_L - q_L} \right\}.$$

Then, it follows

$$(5.17) \quad \frac{r_k - q_k}{n_k + r_k - q_k} \leq \frac{r_i - q_i}{n_i + r_i - q_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{r_k - q_k}{n_k} \leq \frac{r_i - q_i}{n_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

Hence, (5.17) also implies

$$\frac{n_k}{n_k + r_k - q_k} \geq \frac{n_i}{n_i + r_i - q_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

It therefore again holds

$$\beta = \frac{\min\{(r_1 - q_1)/(n_1 + r_1 - q_1), \dots, (r_L - q_L)/(n_L + r_L - q_L)\}}{\max\{n_1/(n_1 + r_1 - q_1), \dots, n_L/(n_L + r_L - q_L)\}} = \beta^*$$

and Corollary 4.3 yields

$$\|f - \widehat{f}_J\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{q}}(\Omega)} \lesssim N^{-\beta^*} (\log N)^{(P-1)/2+\beta^*(R-1)} \|f\|_{\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{r}}(\Omega)}.$$

We can generalize these particular examples as follows. Set

$$(5.18) \quad \alpha_i = \lambda n_i + (1 - \lambda)(r_i - q_i) \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ is an arbitrarily chosen parameter. For $\lambda = 1$ we find $\alpha_i = n_i$, for $\lambda = 0$ we find $\alpha_i = r_i - q_i$, and for $\lambda = 1/2$ we find $\alpha_i = (n_i + r_i - q_i)/2$ which yields the same sparse tensor product spaces as the choice $\alpha_i = n_i + r_i - q_i$. Thus, the above examples are covered by (5.18).

For the choice (5.18), it holds for a specific k that

$$\frac{r_k - q_k}{\lambda n_k + (1 - \lambda)(r_k - q_k)} = \min_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}} \left\{ \frac{r_i - q_i}{\lambda n_i + (1 - \lambda)(r_i - q_i)} \right\}$$

if and only if

$$\frac{n_k}{\lambda n_k + (1 - \lambda)(r_k - q_k)} = \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}} \left\{ \frac{n_i}{\lambda n_i + (1 - \lambda)(r_i - q_i)} \right\}.$$

Consequently, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we find

$$\beta = \frac{\min_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}} \{(r_i - q_i) / (\lambda n_i + (1 - \lambda)(r_i - q_i))\}}{\max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}} \{n_i / (\lambda n_i + (1 - \lambda)(r_i - q_i))\}} = \beta^*.$$

Nevertheless, the logarithmic factors in the convergence rate in (4.16) might differ in the extremal cases $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$.

In the case of $L = 2$, this construction covers all possible sparse tensor product spaces $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_j^\alpha$ which essentially (i.e., except for logarithmic terms) offer the highest possible convergence rate β^* , see [9]. Note however that in the case $L > 2$ there might be other choices which also result in optimal sparse tensor product spaces.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ be such that*

$$\frac{r_k - q_k}{n_k} = \min \left\{ \frac{r_1 - q_1}{n_1}, \frac{r_2 - q_2}{n_2}, \dots, \frac{r_L - q_L}{n_L} \right\} = \beta^*.$$

Then, for all $\alpha > \mathbf{0}$ such that

$$(5.19) \quad \frac{r_k - q_k}{r_i - q_i} \leq \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_i} \leq \frac{n_k}{n_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L,$$

it holds that

$$\beta = \frac{\min\{(r_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1, (r_2 - q_2)/\alpha_2, \dots, (r_L - q_L)/\alpha_L\}}{\max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}} = \beta^*.$$

If (5.19) is not satisfied, then it holds that $\beta < \beta^$.*

Proof. The condition (5.19) immediately implies the equalities

$$\frac{r_k - q_k}{\alpha_k} \leq \frac{r_i - q_i}{\alpha_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{n_i}{\alpha_i} \leq \frac{n_k}{\alpha_k} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

Hence,

$$\beta = \frac{\min\{(r_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1, (r_2 - q_2)/\alpha_2, \dots, (r_L - q_L)/\alpha_L\}}{\max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}} = \frac{r_k - q_k}{n_k} = \beta^*$$

indeed holds. If (5.19) is not satisfied for some specific $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$, then it follows

$$\frac{r_j - q_j}{\alpha_j} < \frac{r_k - q_k}{\alpha_k} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{n_k}{\alpha_k} < \frac{n_j}{\alpha_j}.$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\beta = \frac{\min\{(r_1 - q_1)/\alpha_1, (r_2 - q_2)/\alpha_2, \dots, (r_L - q_L)/\alpha_L\}}{\max\{n_1/\alpha_1, n_2/\alpha_2, \dots, n_L/\alpha_L\}} \neq \beta^*,$$

which, in view of Lemma 5.1, shows $\beta < \beta^*$. \square

6. CONCLUSION

The analysis in Section 5 reveals that all sparse tensor product spaces $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^\alpha$ with α satisfying the criterion (5.19) produce essentially the optimal convergence rate $\beta^* = \min_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}} \{(r_i - q_i)/n_i\}$ when a function $f \in \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{r}}(\Omega)$ of maximal smoothness is to be approximated. However, if the function to be approximated is only in $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{s}}(\Omega)$ with $\mathbf{q} < \mathbf{s} < \mathbf{r}$, then the highest possible convergence rate is

$$\beta = \min_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}} \{(s_i - q_i)/n_i\}.$$

To achieve this rate, the criterion (5.19) for the choice of $\alpha > \mathbf{0}$ has to be modified according to

$$\frac{s_k - q_k}{s_i - q_i} \leq \frac{\alpha_k}{\alpha_i} \leq \frac{n_k}{n_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, L.$$

As a consequence, to obtain for all $\mathbf{q} < \mathbf{s} \leq \mathbf{r}$ the highest possible rate in $\widehat{\mathbf{V}}_J^\alpha$, one has to choose $\alpha_i = n_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, L$, i.e., one has to equilibrate the degrees of freedom in the involved univariate spaces $V_{J/\alpha_i}^{(i)}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Allaire and M. Briane. Multiscale convergence and reiterated homogenisation. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 126(2):297–342, 1996.
- [2] R. Balescu. *Statistical dynamics, matter out of equilibrium*. Imperial College Press, Imperial College, London, 1997.
- [3] J.W. Barrett, D. Knezevic, and E. Süli. Kinetic models of dilute polymers: Analysis, approximation and computation. 11th School on Mathematical Theory in Fluid Mechanics 22–29 May 2009, Kacov, Czech Republic Necas Center for Mathematical Modeling, Prague, 2009.
- [4] H-J. Bungartz and M. Griebel. Sparse grids. *Acta Numer.*, 13:147–269, 2004.
- [5] N. Chegini and R. Stevenson. Adaptive wavelet schemes for parabolic problems. Sparse matrices and numerical results. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 49:182–212, 2011.
- [6] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, and G. Griso. The periodic unfolding method in homogenization. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 40(4):1585–1620, 2008.
- [7] D. Cioranescu, A. Damlamian, P. Donato, G. Griso, and R. Zaki. The periodic unfolding method in domains with holes. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 44:718–760, 2012.
- [8] W. Dahmen. Wavelet and multiscale methods for operator equations. *Acta Numer.*, 6:55–228, 1997.

- [9] M. Griebel and H. Harbrecht. On the construction of sparse tensor product spaces. *Math. Comp.*, to appear.
- [10] M. Griebel and J. Hamaekers. Tensor product multiscale many-particle spaces with finite-order weights for the electronic Schrödinger equation. *Z. Phys. Chem.*, 224:527–543, 2010.
- [11] M. Griebel and S. Knapek. Optimized general sparse grid approximation spaces for operator equations. *Math. Comp.*, 78(268):2223–2257, 2009.
- [12] M. Griebel and D. Oeltz. A sparse grid space-time discretization scheme for parabolic problems. *Computing*, 81(1):1–34, 2007.
- [13] M. Griebel, D. Oeltz, and P. Vassilevski. Space-Time Approximation with Sparse Grids *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* 28:701–727, 2006.
- [14] M. Griebel, P. Oswald, and T. Schiekofer. Sparse grids for boundary integral equations. *Numer. Math.*, 83(2):279–312, 1999.
- [15] H. Harbrecht and J. Li. A fast deterministic method for stochastic elliptic interface problems based on low-rank approximation. *Research Report No. 2011-24*, Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik, ETH Zürich (2011).
- [16] H. Harbrecht, M. Peters and M. Siebenmorgen. Combination technique based k -th moment analysis of elliptic problems with random diffusion. *Preprint No. 2011-2*, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Basel, Switzerland (2011).
- [17] H. Harbrecht, R. Schneider, and C. Schwab. Sparse second moment analysis for elliptic problems in stochastic domains. *Numer. Math.*, 109:167–188, 2008.
- [18] V.H. Hoang and C. Schwab. High-dimensional finite elements for elliptic problems with multiple scales. *SIAM Multiscale Model. Simul.*, 3:168–194, 2005.
- [19] C. Le Bris and T. Lelièvre. Multiscale modelling of complex fluids: A mathematical initiation. In *Multiscale Modeling and Simulation in Science*, Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol. 66, Springer, Berlin, pages 49–138, 2009.
- [20] A. Lozinski, R.G. Owens, and T.N. Phillips. The Langevin and Fokker-Planck equations in polymer rheology. In R. Glowinski (ed.) *Handbook of Numerical Analysis XVI/XVII*, Elsevier North-Holland, 2010.
- [21] C. Schwab and R. Stevenson. Space-time adaptive wavelet methods for parabolic evolution problems. *Math. Comp.*, 78(267):1293–1318, 2009.
- [22] C. Schwab and R.-A. Todor. Sparse finite elements for elliptic problems with stochastic loading. *Numer. Math.*, 95(4):707–734, 2003.
- [23] C. Schwab and R.-A. Todor. Sparse finite elements for stochastic elliptic problems. Higher order moments. *Computing*, 71:43–63, 2003.
- [24] G. Widmer, R. Hiptmair, and C. Schwab. Sparse adaptive finite elements for radiative transfer. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 227(12):6071–6105, 2008.
- [25] H. Yserentant. Sparse grid spaces for the numerical solution of the electronic Schrödinger equation. *Numer. Math.*, 101:381–389, 2005.
- [26] A. Zeiser. Wavelet approximation in weighted Sobolev spaces of mixed order with applications to the electronic Schrödinger equation. *Constr. Approx.*, 35:293–322, 2012.

- [27] C. Zenger. Sparse grids. In *Parallel algorithms for partial differential equations (Kiel, 1990)*, volume 31 of *Notes Numer. Fluid Mech.*, pages 241–251. Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1991.

MICHAEL GRIEBEL, INSTITUT FÜR NUMERISCHE SIMULATION, UNIVERSITÄT BONN,
WEGELERSTR. 6, 53115 BONN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `griebel@ins.uni-bonn.de`

HELMUT HARBRECHT, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, RHEINSPRUNG
21, 4051 BASEL, SWITZERLAND

E-mail address: `helmut.harbrecht@unibas.ch`

Bestellungen nimmt entgegen:

Sonderforschungsbereich 611
der Universität Bonn
Endenicher Allee 60
D - 53115 Bonn

Telefon: 0228/73 4882

Telefax: 0228/73 7864

E-Mail: astrid.avila.aguilera@ins.uni-bonn.de

<http://www.sfb611.iam.uni-bonn.de/>

Verzeichnis der erschienenen Preprints ab No. 511

511. Olischläger, Nadine; Rumpf, Martin: A Nested Variational Time Discretization for Parametric Wollmore Flow
512. Franken, Martina; Rumpf, Martin; Wirth, Benedikt: A Nested Minimization Approach of Willmore Type Functionals Based on Phase Fields
513. Basile, Giada: From a Kinetic Equation to a Diffusion under an Anomalous Scaling
514. Frehse, Jens; Specovius-Neugebauer, Maria: Fractional Interior Differentiability of the Stress Velocities to Elastic Plastic Problems with Hardening
515. Imkeller, Peter; Petrou, Evangelia: The Numéraire Portfolio, Asymmetric Information and Entropy
516. Chen, An; Petrou, Evangelia; Suchanecki, Michael: Rainbow over Paris
517. Petrou, Evangelia: Explicit Hedging Strategies for Lévy Markets via Malliavin Calculus
518. Arguin, Louis-Pierre; Bovier, Anton; Kistler, Nicola: An Ergodic Theorem for the Frontier of Branching Brownian Motion
519. Bovier, Anton; Gayraud, Véronique; Švejda, Adéla: Convergence to Extremal Processes in Random Environments and Extremal Ageing in SK Models
520. Ferrari, Patrik L.; Vető, Bálint: Non-colliding Brownian Bridges and the Asymmetric Tacnode Process
521. Griebel, Michael; Hullmann, Alexander: An Efficient Sparse Grid Galerkin Approach for the Numerical Valuation of Basket Options under Kou's Jump-Diffusion Model; erscheint in: Sparse Grids and its Applications
522. Müller, Werner: The Asymptotics of the Ray-Singer Analytic Torsion of Hyperbolic 3-Manifolds; erscheint in: Metric and Differential Geometry Progress in Mathematics, Birkhäuser
523. Müller, Werner; Vertman, Boris: The Metric Anomaly of Analytic Torsion on Manifolds with Conical Singularities
524. Griebel, Michael; Bohn, Bastian: An Adaptive Sparse Grid Approach for Time Series Prediction; erscheint in: Sparse Grids and its Applications

525. Müller, Werner; Pfaff, Jonathan: On the Asymptotics of the Ray-Singer Analytic Torsion for Compact Hyperbolic Manifolds
526. Kurzke, Matthias; Melcher, Christof; Moser, Roger; Spirn, Daniel: Vortex Dynamics in the Presence of Excess Energy for the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert Equation
527. Borodin, Alexei; Corwin, Ivan; Ferrari, Patrik: Free Energy Fluctuations for Directed Polymers in Random Media in 1+1 Dimension
528. Conti, Sergio; Dolzmann, Georg; Müller, Stefan: Korn's Second Inequality and Geometric Rigidity with Mixed Growth Conditions
529. Bulíček, Miroslav; Frehse, Jens; Steinhauer, Mark: Everywhere C^α – Estimates for a Class of Nonlinear Elliptic Systems with Critical Growth
530. Frehse, Jens; Specovius-Neugebauer, Maria: Addendum to the Preprint 514; Fractional Interior Differentiability of the Stress Velocities to Elastic Problems with Hardening
531. Griebel, Michael; Harbrecht, Helmut: A Note on the Construction of L -Fold Sparse Tensor Product Spaces