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1. Introduction

Del Pezzo surfaces are smooth projective surfaces, isomorphic over the algebraic
closure of the base field to \(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1\) or the blow-up of \(\mathbb{P}^2\) in up to eight points
in general position. In the latter case the del Pezzo surface has degree equal to 9
minus the number of points in the blow-up. The arithmetic of del Pezzo surfaces
over number fields is an active area of investigation. It is known that the Hasse
principle holds for del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least 5.

Counterexamples to the Hasse principle were discovered for del Pezzo surfaces
of degrees 3 and 4 (see \[17\] and \[1\], respectively). A growing body of evidence (for
instance, \[5\]) led to the question of whether the failure of the Hasse principle for
del Pezzo surfaces is always explained by the Brauer–Manin obstruction; this question
is specifically raised by Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc in \[7\]. Computer verifications
for diagonal cubics in \[6\] and theoretical advances, such as \[4, 14, 20\], lend support
to an affirmative answer to this question.

A del Pezzo surface of degree 2 can be realised as a double cover of \(\mathbb{P}^2\) ramified
in a smooth quartic curve. In this note we consider surfaces \(S\) over \(\mathbb{Q}\) of the form
\[
w^2 = Ax^4 + By^4 + Cz^4. \tag{1.1}
\]

We compute the Galois-theoretic invariant \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\) and produce examples of
obstruction to the Hasse principle (see \[6, 13\] for background). We obtain the
following result.

THEOREM 1. Let \(S\) have the form (1.1), where \(A, B\) and \(C\) denote non-zero
integers. Then \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\) is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

\[
(1), \quad \mathbb{Z}/2, \quad \mathbb{Z}/4, \quad (\mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2), \quad (\mathbb{Z}/4) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2), \quad (\mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2).
\]

The simplest of our examples, the case \(p = 3\) of Example 5, is the assertion that
\[
w^2 = -6x^4 - 3y^4 + 2z^4 \quad \tag{1.2}
\]
has no rational solutions aside from the trivial solution. Here, a completely down-to-earth formulation of the proof is that, rewriting (1.2) as
\[
w^2 + (2x^2 - y^2)^2 = 2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)(-x^2 - y^2 + z^2)
\]
and supposing \((w, x, y, z)\) to be an integer solution with no common prime factors, we get a contradiction to the expression on the right being a sum of squares from the factors \(x^2 + y^2 + z^2\) and \(-x^2 - y^2 + z^2\) each being congruent to 3 mod 4, yet having no common prime factor congruent to 3 mod 4. Example 5 shows that (1.2) fits into an infinite sequence of counterexamples to the Hasse principle. A more sophisticated example, Example 8, is of particular interest, since the obstruction comes from a 4-torsion element in the Brauer group. By [18], only 2- and 3-torsion Brauer group elements occur for del Pezzo surfaces of degree greater than or equal to 3.

The tool we use is group cohomology. Let \(F\) be a Galois extension of \(\mathbb{Q}\), and let \(G\) denote the Galois group \(\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})\). If \(\text{Pic}(S_F)\) is equal to the geometric Picard group \(M := \text{Pic}(S/\mathbb{Q})\) then we have

\[
\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) = H^1(G, M).
\]

More generally, the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence gives rise to the following exact sequence:

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(S_F)^G \rightarrow \ker(\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(F))
\]

\[
\rightarrow \ker(\text{Br}(S) \rightarrow \text{Br}(S_F)) \rightarrow H^1(G, \text{Pic}(S_F)) \rightarrow H^3(G, F^*).
\]

In this paper, we compute the group (1.3) and represent lifts of elements to \(\text{Br}(S)\) by Azumaya algebras. By (1.4) and cohomological dimension, such lifts exist after perhaps enlarging \(F\); what happens in practice is that it is often possible to take \([F : \mathbb{Q}]\) quite small and still have \(H^1(G, \text{Pic}(S_F))\) isomorphic to \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\) and the final map in (1.4) trivial. Lastly, we explain the computation of local invariants and obtain the above-mentioned examples.

In an appendix we show that in the case of the diagonal cubic surfaces considered in [6] the present techniques give rise to cyclic Azumaya algebras. This simplifies the construction of cocycle representatives and the local obstruction analysis, as compared with the original consideration of bicyclic group cohomology.

We take a moment to highlight instances where the arithmetic of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 has already been studied. Our examples are new, and this is the first systematic study of a class of degree 2 del Pezzo surfaces. However, some special classes of degree 2 del Pezzo surfaces do fall within the scope of existing results. These include the following.

(i) **Blow-ups of higher degree del Pezzo surfaces.** One can, for instance, start with a degree 4 del Pezzo surface which violates the Hasse principle, such as can be found in [1], and blow up a conjugate pair of points (which, as mentioned in [3], always exist on such a surface) to obtain a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 which is a counterexample to the Hasse principle.

(ii) **Double coverings of Châtelet surfaces.** We are grateful to Colliot-Thélène for providing the following example. We consider the equation

\[
w^2 + x^2 = (y^2 - 2)(3 - y^2).
\]

This defines a (generalised) Châtelet surface which fails to satisfy the Hasse principle. We now replace \(x^2\) by \(x^4\) in the equation; there remain points in all completions of \(\mathbb{Q}\), so we get a degree 2 del Pezzo surface which fails to satisfy the Hasse principle. Note (cf. [5]) that (1.5) belongs to an infinite sequence of counterexamples to the Hasse principle given by Iskovskih [11].
(iii) Birational models of conic bundles with six degenerate fibres. Many del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 fit this description; the referee is credited with suggesting this source of examples. Notably, for surfaces of the form
\[ r^2 + s^2 = f_2(t)f_4(t) \]
with \( f_2(t) \) and \( f_4(t) \) irreducible polynomials of degrees 2 and 4, respectively, Swinnerton-Dyer has shown that the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle [19]. In fact the same is true for weak approximation; see [16]. This means that on any smooth projective model, the rational points are dense in the set of adelic points not obstructed by Brauer classes. As a concrete example, the Brauer–Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to weak approximation for the del Pezzo surface given by
\[
w^2 = 5x^2z^2 - 4y^4 + 26y^2z^2 - 30z^4 - 4x^3z - 16xy^2z + 24xz^3.
\]
This is birational to the surface (1.6) with \( f_2(t) = t^2 + 3 \) and \( f_4(t) = t^4 + t^2 + 2 \):
\[
r = 2\frac{y}{z} + t^3, \quad s = x - t\frac{y}{z} + 2t^2, \quad t = \frac{x^2 + 4y^2 - 6z^2}{w + xy}.
\]
Our examples are not covered by cases (i)–(iii). We discuss this briefly at the end of §7.

The authors would like to thank J.-L. Colliot-Thélène for helpful discussions and correspondence.

2. Geometry

Consider the surface \( S \) given by the equation
\[
w^2 = Ax^4 + By^4 + Cz^4
\]
in the weighted projective space \( \mathbb{P}(2, 1, 1, 1) \), where \( A, B \) and \( C \) are non-zero integers. It is a double cover of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \), branched over the twisted Fermat quartic curve
\[
0 = Ax^4 + By^4 + Cz^4.
\]
Let \( a, b \) and \( c \) denote some chosen 4th roots of \( A, B \) and \( C \), respectively. The 56 exceptional curves on \( S \) are the pre-images of the bitangents to the quartic. These are given by the following equations
\[
\delta ax + by = 0, \quad \delta by + cz = 0, \quad \delta cz + ax = 0, \quad \delta^4 = -1, \quad (2.1)
\]
\[
\alpha ax + \beta by + \gamma cz = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad \alpha^4 = \beta^4 = \gamma^4 = 1. \quad (2.2)
\]
Multiplying the equation (2.2) by a scalar does not change the line it defines, so it is natural to index the line by an element \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mu_4^3/\mu_4 \). Each bitangent lifts to a pair of exceptional curves in \( S \); for example, the pre-image of the line given by \( \delta ax + by = 0 \) is the pair of curves with equations
\[
w = \pm c^2 z^2.
\]
These will be denoted by \( L_{z,\delta,\pm} \). There are 24 exceptional curves lying over the lines in (2.1). The pre-images of the lines in (2.2) are given by
\[
w = \pm \sqrt{2} (\alpha \beta abxy + \beta \gamma bcyz + \alpha \gamma acxz).
\]
The ambiguity $\pm$ is resolved by scaling the tuple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$; we denote by $L_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ the pre-image (2.3) with the sign taken to be $+$, so now $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is considered to be in $\mu^3_3/\mu_2$. We thus have the following description of the exceptional curves on $S$.

**Proposition 1.** The 56 exceptional curves on the del Pezzo surface (1.1) are as follows, where $a, b$ and $c$ denote chosen 4th roots of $A, B$ and $C$:

- $L_{x, \delta, \pm}$ : $\delta ax + by = 0$, $w = \pm c^2 x^2$, with $\delta^4 = -1$,
- $L_{x, \delta, \pm}$ : $\delta by + cz = 0$, $w = \pm a^2 x^2$, with $\delta^4 = -1$,
- $L_{y, \delta, \pm}$ : $\delta cz + ax = 0$, $w = \pm b^2 y^2$, with $\delta^4 = -1$,
- $L_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ : $\alpha ax + \beta by + \gamma cz = 0$, $w = \sqrt{2}(\alpha \beta a x y + \beta \gamma b c y z + \alpha \gamma a c x z)$, with $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mu^3_3/\mu_2$.

Geometrically, the Picard group of $S$ has rank 8. We choose the basis indicated in the following statement.

**Proposition 2.** Let $S$ be the del Pezzo surface (1.1), and set $\zeta = e^{\pi i/4}$. Then the geometric Picard group $\text{Pic}(S_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ is the free abelian group on the generators

- $v_1 = [L_{x, \zeta^4}]$,
- $v_2 = [L_{x, \zeta^3}]$,
- $v_3 = [L_{y, \zeta^4}]$,
- $v_4 = [L_{y, \zeta^3}]$,
- $v_5 = [L_{z, \zeta^4}]$,
- $v_6 = [L_{z, \zeta^3}]$,
- $v_7 = [L_{i, i, i}]$,
- $v_8 = [L_{z, \zeta^4}] + [L_{z, \zeta^3}] + [L_{i, i, i}]$.

The class $v_i$ has self-intersection $-1$ for $i \leq 7$ and self-intersection 1 for $i = 8$. The intersection number of $v_i$ and $v_j$ is 0 for $i \neq j$. The anticanonical class is

$$-K_S = -v_1 - v_2 - v_3 - v_4 - v_5 - v_6 - v_7 + 3v_8. \tag{2.4}$$

The identities displayed in Table 1 hold in $\text{Pic}(S_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$; these, coupled with (2.4), determine the class of any exceptional curve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Classes of the exceptional curves.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$[L_{x, \zeta^4}] = -v_1 - v_2 + v_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[L_{y, \zeta^4}] = -v_3 - v_7 + v_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[L_{z, \zeta^4}] = -v_5 - v_6 + v_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[L_{1, -1, 1}] = -v_6 - v_5 + v_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[L_{-1, 1, 1}] = -v_1 - v_4 + v_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[L_{1, -1, -1}] = -v_3 - v_2 + v_8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[L_{i, -i, -i}] = -v_6 - v_5 + v_8$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proof. Each exceptional curve has self-intersection $-1$. Each pair of curves lying above a bitangent to the Fermat quartic has intersection number 2. Other intersection numbers are 0 or 1 and are readily determined. In particular, the intersection numbers among the $v_i$ are as claimed, and the $v_i$ span $\text{Pic}(S_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$. The anticanonical class is the class of any pair of curves lying above a bitangent to the Fermat quartic. The anticanonical class and the classes listed in Table 1 are determined by computing intersection numbers with the $v_i$. \qed
3. Galois group: generic case

Let $G$ be the Galois group of the extension

$$F := \mathbb{Q}(\zeta, a^2, b/a, c/a)$$

(3.1)

over $\mathbb{Q}$ (where $\zeta = e^{\pi i/4}$). The subextension $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}$ corresponds to a normal subgroup $H$ of index 4. The quotient group is the Klein four-group. In the generic case, we have $|G| = 128$. The Galois group can be described as follows.

**Proposition 3.** Let $A$, $B$ and $C$ be non-zero integers, with chosen 4th roots $a$, $b$ and $c$, respectively. Let $F$ be as in (3.1), and suppose the degree of $F$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is 128. Then the Galois group $G_0 = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ is generated by elements

$$\sigma, \tau, t_a, t_b, t_c$$

which act by

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sigma$</th>
<th>$\tau$</th>
<th>$t_a$</th>
<th>$t_b$</th>
<th>$t_c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a^2$</td>
<td>$a^2$</td>
<td>$a^2$</td>
<td>$-a^2$</td>
<td>$a^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b/a$</td>
<td>$b/a$</td>
<td>$b/a$</td>
<td>$-ib/a$</td>
<td>$ib/a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c/a$</td>
<td>$c/a$</td>
<td>$c/a$</td>
<td>$-ic/a$</td>
<td>$ic/a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
<td>$\zeta^{-1}$</td>
<td>$\zeta^3$</td>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
<td>$\zeta$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The action on the exceptional curves is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L_{z,\delta,s}$</th>
<th>$L_{z,\sigma(\delta),s}$</th>
<th>$L_{z,\tau(\delta),s}$</th>
<th>$L_{z,i\delta,s}$</th>
<th>$L_{z,-i\delta,s}$</th>
<th>$L_{z,\delta,-s}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_{x,\delta,s}$</td>
<td>$L_{x,\sigma(\delta),s}$</td>
<td>$L_{x,\tau(\delta),s}$</td>
<td>$L_{x,i\delta,s}$</td>
<td>$L_{x,-i\delta,s}$</td>
<td>$L_{x,\delta,-s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{y,\delta,s}$</td>
<td>$L_{y,\sigma(\delta),s}$</td>
<td>$L_{y,\tau(\delta),s}$</td>
<td>$L_{y,i\delta,s}$</td>
<td>$L_{y,-i\delta,s}$</td>
<td>$L_{y,\delta,-s}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$</td>
<td>$L_{\alpha^{-1},\beta^{-1},\gamma^{-1}}$</td>
<td>$L_{\alpha,i\beta,\gamma}$</td>
<td>$L_{\alpha,i\beta,\gamma}$</td>
<td>$L_{\alpha,\beta,i\gamma}$</td>
<td>$L_{\alpha,\beta,i\gamma}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Group cohomology

We start with a review. If $G$ is a group, a standard free resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ is

$$C^G_\bullet := \ldots \mathbb{Z}[G \times G \times G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G \times G] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G],$$

(4.1)

where the augmentation map $\mathbb{Z}[G] \to \mathbb{Z}$ is given by $g \mapsto 1$ (for all $g \in G$) and where each map in $C^G_\bullet$ is of the form

$$(g_0, \ldots, g_n) \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (g_0, \ldots, \hat{g}_i, \ldots, g_n).$$

The action of $g \in G$ on any of the terms in (4.1) is the diagonal left multiplication action. We may identify

$$\mathbb{Z}[G \times G] \simeq \bigoplus_{g \in G} \mathbb{Z}[G],$$

(4.2)

$$(g, gg') \mapsto (0, \ldots, g, \ldots, 0),$$
where the unique non-zero entry \( g \) is in the \( g' \)th position. We also identify
\[
\mathbb{Z}[G \times G \times G] \cong \bigoplus_{(g',g'') \in G \times G} \mathbb{Z}[G],
\]  
(4.3)
where the unique non-zero entry \( g \) is in the \( (g',g'') \)th position.

Let \( M \) be a \( G \)-module. Now the complex \( \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}_G^\bullet, M) \) is identified with
\[
\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{G,M} := M \xrightarrow{d^0} \bigoplus_{g' \in G} M \xrightarrow{d^1} \bigoplus_{(g',g'') \in G \times G} M \ldots.
\]  
(4.4)

Here the \( g' \)th coordinate of the map \( d^0 \) is \( m \mapsto g' \cdot m - m \) and the \( (g',g'') \)th coordinate of \( d^1 \) is \( (\ldots, m_g, \ldots) \mapsto g' \cdot m_g - m_{g''}g'' + m_{g'}g'' \). Of course, \( H^i(G, M) \) is identified with the \( i \)th cohomology of (4.4). For instance, the kernel of \( d^0 \) is the module \( M^G \) of \( G \)-invariants of \( M \).

Now let \( H \) be a subgroup of \( G \). Since restriction is an exact functor, \( \mathcal{C}_G^\bullet \) is a resolution of \( \mathbb{Z} \) as an \( H \)-module. We choose a set \( Q \subset G \) of coset representatives, so \( G = \bigcup_{q \in Q} Hq \).

We have an isomorphism of \( H \)-modules
\[
\mathbb{Z}[G] \cong \bigoplus_{q \in Q} \mathbb{Z}[H],
\]  
(4.5)
where \( h \) appears in the \( q \)th position \( (h \in H, q \in Q) \). Also
\[
\mathbb{Z}[G \times G] \cong \bigoplus_{(q,h',q') \in Q \times H \times Q} \mathbb{Z}[H],
\]  
(4.6)
where \( h \) appears in the \( (q,h',q') \) position. We can project the resolution \( \mathcal{C}_G^\bullet \) to the standard resolution \( \mathcal{C}_H^\bullet \). Under the identification (4.5) the map on the degree zero component is the sum of the \( |Q| \) projection maps, and under the identifications (4.2) and (4.6) the map on the degree 1 component sends the element \((0, \ldots, h, \ldots, 0)\) from (4.6) to \((0, \ldots, h, \ldots, 0)\) with \( h \) in the \( h' \)th position. Applying \( \text{Hom}_H(-, M) \) we get an inclusion of complexes \( \mathcal{C}_{H,M}^\bullet \) into \( \text{Hom}_H(\mathcal{C}_G^\bullet, M) \), and via our identifications,
\[
\begin{array}{c}
M \\
\oplus Q^M \\
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\chi^0} \oplus_{Q \times H \times Q} \mathbb{Z}[H] \xrightarrow{\chi^1} \oplus_{Q \times H \times Q} \mathbb{Z}[H] \ldots
\]  
(4.7)
This allows us to take elements of \( H^i(H, M) \), represented as cocycles via the standard resolution, and realise them as cocycles in the complex \( \text{Hom}_H(\mathcal{C}_G^\bullet, M) \).

Now we discuss cohomology of group extensions. Assume that there is an exact sequence of groups
\[
1 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1.
\]  
(4.8)
Then \( Q \) acts on the cohomology \( H^q(H, M) \) for all \( q \), and there is an associated standard spectral sequence
\[
E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Q, H^q(H, M)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(G, M).
\]  
(4.9)
This leads to a 5-term exact sequence

\[ 0 \to H^1(Q, M^H) \to H^1(G, M) \to H^1(H, M)^G \]

\[ d_2^{0,1} \to H^2(Q, M^H) \to H^2(G, M). \]  \hspace{1cm} (4.10)

The following result is standard, but we will later use the formulas that are explicitly given.

**Proposition 4.** Given the exact sequence of finite groups (4.8) and a $G$-module $M$, then

\[ \tilde{q} : (\varphi : \mathbb{Z}[G^n] \to M) \mapsto (\tilde{q}) \circ \varphi \circ (\tilde{q}^{-1}). \]

defines an action of $Q$ on the complex $\text{Hom}_H(C^G, M)$, with invariants $\text{Hom}_G(C^G, M)$. When $G$ is a semi-direct product of $H$ and $Q$, and we use (4.5) and (4.6) to identify $\text{Hom}_H(C^G, M)$ with the bottom row of (4.7), the action of $\tilde{q} \in Q$ is given explicitly by

\[ \tilde{q} \cdot (\ldots, m_q, \ldots) = (\ldots, \tilde{q} \cdot m_q^{-1}q, \ldots), \]

\[ \tilde{q} \cdot (\ldots, m_{q,h',q'}, \ldots) = (\ldots, \tilde{q} \cdot m_q^{-1}q, h'q^{-1}q', \ldots). \]

For many groups $G$ there are more efficient resolutions than the standard resolution. These are well known for finite abelian groups (for instance, the case of bicyclic groups enters the calculations of (4.9); note, in particular, that the essential data needed to compute $H^1$ and express elements there as cocycles for the standard resolution, in the case of abelian groups with up to three generators as well as dihedral groups.

**Notation 1.** Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and $q \in G$ an element of order $n$. Put $N_g := 1 + g + \ldots + g^{n-1}$ and $\Delta_g := 1 - g$ in $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. For $g_1, \ldots, g_{\nu} \in G$ and $i_1, \ldots, i_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ the element in $C_1^G$ which, under the identification (4.2), is the vector $(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ with 1 in the $(g_1^{i_1}g_2^{i_2} \ldots g_{\nu}^{i_{\nu}})$th position is denoted $\alpha_{i_1, \ldots, i_{\nu}}$. Similarly, given $i'_1, \ldots, i'_{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ the element in $C_2^G$ that, under the identification (4.3), is the vector $(0, \ldots, 1, \ldots, 0)$ with 1 in the $(g_1^{i'_1}g_2^{i'_2} \ldots g_{\nu}^{i'_{\nu}})$th position is denoted $\alpha_{i_1', \ldots, i_{\nu}'}$.

**Proposition 5.** For each of the following classes of groups $G$ there exists a resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ by free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-modules as stated. In each case there is a morphism of complexes as indicated to this resolution from the standard resolution.

(i) $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$, generated by $g \in G$:

\[ C_1^{[n]} := \ldots \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{N_g} \mathbb{Z}[G] \xrightarrow{\Delta_g} \mathbb{Z}[G], \]

with $\sigma^{[n]} : C^G \to C_1^{[n]}$ given by

\[ \sigma_1^{[n]}(\alpha_i) = -1 - g - \ldots - g^{i-1}, \]

\[ \sigma_2^{[n]}(\alpha_{i,i'}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i + i' \geq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases} \]
(ii) $G = \mathbb{Z}/n \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m$, with factors generated by $g$ and $h$:
$$
C_{[n,m]}^\bullet := \ldots \mathbb{Z}[G]^3 \xrightarrow{A^{[g,h]}} \mathbb{Z}[G]^2 \xrightarrow{(\Delta_g, \Delta_h)} \mathbb{Z}[G],
$$
where
$$
A^{[g,h]} := \begin{pmatrix}
N_g & \Delta_h & 0 \\
0 & -\Delta_g & N_h \\
0 & 0 & -\Delta_g - \Delta_h & N_h
\end{pmatrix}
$$
with $\sigma^{[n,m]}_\bullet : C_G^\bullet \to C_{[n,m]}^\bullet$ given by
$$
\sigma^{[n,m]}_1(\alpha_{i,j}) = (-1 - g - \ldots - g^{i-1}, g^i(1 + h + \ldots + h^{j-1})).
$$

(iii) $G = \mathbb{Z}/n \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\ell$, with factors generated by $g$, $h$ and $u$:
$$
C_{[n,m,\ell]}^\bullet := \ldots \mathbb{Z}[G]^6 \xrightarrow{A^{[g,h,u]}} \mathbb{Z}[G]^3 \xrightarrow{(\Delta_g, \Delta_h, \Delta_u)} \mathbb{Z}[G],
$$
where
$$
A^{[g,h,u]} := \begin{pmatrix}
N_g & \Delta_h & 0 & \Delta_u & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\Delta_g & N_h & 0 & \Delta_u & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta_g & -\Delta_h & N_u
\end{pmatrix}
$$
with $\sigma^{[n,m,\ell]}_\bullet : C_G^\bullet \to C_{[n,m,\ell]}^\bullet$ given by
$$
\sigma^{[n,m,\ell]}_1(\alpha_{i,j,k}) = (-1 - \ldots - g^{i-1}, -g^i(1 + \ldots + h^{j-1}), -g^i h^j(1 + \ldots + u^{k-1})).
$$

(iv) $G = \mathfrak{D}_n$, the dihedral group generated by $g$ and $h$, with $g^n = h^2 = (gh)^2 = e:
$$
C_{\text{dih}[n]}^\bullet := \ldots \mathbb{Z}[G]^4 \xrightarrow{D_n^3} \mathbb{Z}[G]^3 \xrightarrow{D_n^2} \mathbb{Z}[G]^2 \xrightarrow{D_n^1} \mathbb{Z}[G],
$$
with
$$
D_n^3 = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta_g & 0 & 0 & N_h \\
0 & \Delta_h & 0 & -N_g \\
0 & 0 & \Delta_{gh} & -N_g
\end{pmatrix}, \quad D_n^2 = \begin{pmatrix}
N_g & 0 & N_{gh} \\
0 & N_h & -N_{gh}
\end{pmatrix},
$$
and $D_n^1 = (\Delta_g, \Delta_h)$, and $\sigma^{\text{dih}[n]}_\bullet : C_G^\bullet \to C_{\text{dih}[n]}^\bullet$ given by
$$
\sigma^{\text{dih}[n]}_1(\alpha_i) = (-1 - g - \ldots - g^{i-1}, 0),
$$
$$
\sigma^{\text{dih}[n]}_1(\beta_i) = (-1 - g - \ldots - g^{i-1}, -g^i),
$$
where $\alpha_i$ is as in Notation 1 for the cyclic subgroup generated by $g$, and where $\beta_i$ is the element of $C_1^G$ corresponding to $g^i h \in G$.

Proof. All that is involved is checking, in each case, that we have indeed specified (the tail end of) a resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module, and that the morphism from $C_G^\bullet$ is a morphism of complexes. \hfill \Box

In each case ($G$ abelian or dihedral), if we are given a $G$-module $M$, then applying $\text{Hom}_G(-, M)$ to the complex presented above gives a practical method for computing group cohomology of $G$. For instance, if $M$ is a $G$-module with $G = \mathbb{Z}/n$, generated by $g$, then $H^i(G, M)$ is the $i$th cohomology of
$$
0 \to M \xrightarrow{\Delta_g} M \xrightarrow{N_g} M \to \ldots.
$$

(4.11)
Notation 2. In the complex obtained by applying \( \text{Hom}_G(\cdot, M) \), the maps will be denoted as in Proposition 5, but with the super- and subscripts interchanged. For example, \( A_{[a,b]} : M^2 \to M^3 \) will denote the map that sends the element \((m,0)\) to \((m + g \cdot m + \ldots + g^{n-1} \cdot m, m - h \cdot m, 0)\).

By applying the efficient resolutions of Proposition 5 to the group \( Q \) acting on \( \text{Hom}_H(C^*_G, M) \) in Proposition 4, we can write the spectral sequence (4.9) at the \( E_0 \) level. This is necessary for computing \( d_{0,1} \) in (4.10), and hence for computing \( H^1(G, M) \).

For example, when \( Q \) is bicyclic we have the following.

**Corollary 1.** If we have an extension of finite groups (4.8) with \( Q \) bicyclic, then (4.9) is the spectral sequence of the bicomplex

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hom}_H(\mathbb{Z}[G], M) & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_H(\mathbb{Z}[G^2], M)^2 \longrightarrow \cdots \\
\text{Hom}_H(\mathbb{Z}[G], M) & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_H(\mathbb{Z}[G^2], M)^2 \longrightarrow \cdots \\
\text{Hom}_H(\mathbb{Z}[G], M) & \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_H(\mathbb{Z}[G], M)^2 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_H(\mathbb{Z}[G], M)^3
\end{align*}
\]

5. Computation of \( \text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) \) in the generic case

In this section we explain the computation of \( H^1(G, M) \), where \( M = \text{Pic}(S_F) \), in the generic case \( G = G_0 \). We start by constructing, for each generator of \( G \), the \( 8 \times 8 \) matrix representing its action on \( M \), referring to Propositions 2 and 3. In principle, \( H^1(G, M) \) can be computed using the standard resolution (4.4). In this case the map \( d_1 \) would be given by a \((131072 \times 1024)\)-matrix, which makes direct computations impractical. However, \( G \) fits into a split exact sequence

\[
1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1
\]

with \( H = (\mathbb{Z}/4)^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2) \) generated by \( t_a, t_b \) and \( t_a t_b t_c \), and \( Q = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2 \) generated by \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \). The technique of §4 simplifies the computation considerably.

**Proposition 6.** For the generic Galois group \( G = G_0 \), the cohomology group \( H^1(G, M) \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}/2 \).

**Proof.** We use the 5-term exact sequence (4.10). First we compute \( M^H = M^G = \mathbb{Z} \), spanned by the anticanonical class. In particular, \( H^1(Q, M^H) = 0 \). Thus \( H^1(G, M) \) is equal to the kernel of the map

\[
d_{2,0,1} : H^1(H, M)^Q \longrightarrow H^2(Q, M^H).
\]

We consider the diagram in Figure 1, where the bicomplex \( E^0_{p,q} \) of Corollary 1 is written using the identifications (4.5) and (4.6). The group \( H^1(H, M) \) is computed by the complex on the left side of the diagram. In this diagram the horizontal arrows labelled \( \sigma^i_{[4,4,2]} \) and \( \chi^i \) give quasi-isomorphisms of complexes. The linear algebra required to compute \( \text{Ker}(M^3 \to M^0) \) is quite modest and the cohomology
group is identified as

$$H^1(H, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2.$$  

It remains to take a single cocycle representative of the non-zero element of $H^1(H, M)$ (necessarily $Q$-invariant in this case, though as noted below, $Q$-invariance is tested a bit further on in the diagram chase) and follow it through the diagram to determine whether it lies in the kernel of $d_0^{1,2}$.

We start with a representative in $M^3$ for the non-trivial element $\lambda \in H^1(H, M)$, for instance,

$$u = ((0, 0, 0, 0, -1, -1, -1, 1), (0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 0, 0, -2, 0, -1, 1)).$$

Let $v$ denote the image in $E^{1,1}_0$ of $u$ by the composite of three horizontal maps in Figure 1. Now $v$ will in general lie in the image of $d_0^{1,0}$ if and only if $\lambda$ is $Q$-invariant. In this case, a linear algebra solver produces

$$v_0 = ((0, 0, 0, 0, -1, 1, 0, 0)^*4, (0, 0, 0, 0, -1, -1, -1, 1)^*4)$$

satisfying $d_0^{1,0}(v_0) = v$, where each vector with superscript $*4$ denotes the element in $\bigoplus Q M$ with the vector repeated four times. Applying the coboundary map $E_0^{1,0} \to E_0^{2,0}$ to $v_0$ necessarily produces an element in the image of $i_2$, representing $d_0^{2,0}(\lambda)$ in $H^2(Q, M^H)$. This can be tested for being a coboundary; in the present case we get 0 exactly. So $d_0^{2,0}$ is trivial, and $H^1(G, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2$.  

**COROLLARY 2.** If the del Pezzo surface $S$ given by (1.1) is general, meaning that the hypotheses of Proposition 3 are met, then we have

$$\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}/2.$$  

In Example 6, below, we will see how to construct explicitly an Azumaya algebra representing the non-trivial element of $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$ and use it to test the Brauer-Manin obstruction.
6. The non-generic case

We start by presenting some examples when the Galois group is smaller than in the generic case.

Example 1. Consider the case \((A, B, C) = (-6, -3, 2)\). The Galois group of the field \(F\), defined in (3.1), has order 32; it is an extension of the Klein four-group by \((\mathbb{Z}/4) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)\). It is possible to write \(G\) as a split extension

\[1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 1\]

where \(H = (\mathbb{Z}/4)^2\), generated by \(\iota, \tau\) and \(\sigma\), and \(\mathbb{Z}/2\) is generated by \(\sigma\). In this case, we compute \(H^1(H, M) = 0\). By (4.10), \(H^1(G, M)\) is isomorphic to \(H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, M^H)\). We find that \(M^H\) has rank 2, spanned by

\[-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 3; \quad 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, -2;\]

hence \(M^H\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}'\), where \(\mathbb{Z}'\) is free of rank 1 with non-trivial \(\mathbb{Z}/2\)-action. So, we have

\[H^1(G, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2.\]

As in the generic case, we have \(M^G = \mathbb{Z}\), that is, Pic\((S)\) has rank 1.

Example 2. The case \((A, B, C) = (1, 1, -2)\) is interesting because Pic\((S)\) has rank 2. The Galois group \(G\) fits into an exact sequence

\[1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 1\]

with subgroup \(H = \mathbb{Z}/4\) generated by \(\iota, \sigma\), and \(\mathbb{Z}/2\) generated by \(\tau\). As in Example 1 we have \(H^1(H, M) = 0\). Now \(M^H\) has rank 3, with generators

\[-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 3; \quad 0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0; \quad 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, -1;\]

and the action of \(\tau\) fixes the first two vectors and negates the third. Hence

\[H^1(G, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2,\]

and Pic\((S)\) has rank 2.

Example 3. The case \((A, B, C) = (1, 1, 1)\) yields \(G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})\), the Klein four-group, and we directly compute

\[H^1(G, M) = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3.\]

In this case Pic\((S)\) has rank 1.

The comprehensive treatment proceeds via a case-by-case computer analysis of subgroups of the generic Galois group. We obtain the following, as our main result.

Theorem 2. Let \(S\) have the form (1.1), where \(A, B\) and \(C\) are non-zero integers. Then \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\) is isomorphic to one of the following groups:

\[1, \mathbb{Z}/2, \mathbb{Z}/4, (\mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2), (\mathbb{Z}/4) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2), (\mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2).\]

Also, \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\) is non-trivial in every case where Pic\((S)\) is isomorphic to \(\mathbb{Z}\).
Proof. Given such $S$, a choice of 4th roots $a$, $b$ and $c$ of the coefficients leads to a realisation of $G = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta, a^2, b/a, c/a)/\mathbb{Q})$ as a subgroup of the generic Galois group $G_0$. This will be a subgroup mapping surjectively to $Q$ via the map in (5.1). Computer analysis reveals that every subgroup of $G_0$ which maps surjectively to $Q$ can be expressed as a semi-direct product of abelian groups.

We recognise that the same group cohomology must arise from any two subgroups which differ by conjugation, or by the obvious outer action of $\mathfrak{S}_3$ on $G_0$ corresponding to permutations of the $x$, $y$ and $z$ coordinates. More generally, the full group of automorphisms of $\text{Pic}(S_0^7)$ preserving the intersection pairing and the anticanonical class is the Weyl group $W(E_7)$; see [12]. This is a group of order 2903040, generated by $G_0$ and the group $\mathfrak{S}_7$ of permutations of $v_1$ through $v_7$. Any two subgroups of $G_0$ that are conjugate in $W(E_7)$ must have the same cohomology. There are 194 classes of subgroups of $G_0$, up to conjugation in $W(E_7)$, which contain a group that surjects onto $Q$. When the methods of §4 are applied to a representative of each class of subgroups, the $H^1$ group that results is always one of the groups listed in the statement of the theorem. Moreover, the trivial group arises as $H^1(G, M)$ only in cases with the rank of $M^G$ greater than or equal to 2.

There are too many classes of subgroups to list them all, so we content ourselves with displaying, in Table 2, all the maximal subgroups of $G_0$ that surject onto $Q$, up to the $\mathfrak{S}_3$-action. These are grouped by conjugacy in $W(E_7)$. For each subgroup, we display the cohomological invariants, the condition on $A$, $B$ and $C$ that forces the Galois group to be contained in the subgroup, and a representative $(A, B, C)$ for this subgroup. The complete list of subgroups, together with accompanying MAGMA code, can be found under the computing link at the first author’s web page http://www.maths.warwick.ac.uk/~kresch/.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$</th>
<th>$\text{Pic}(S)$</th>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$-2ABC \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-15, 10, 3)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$-2A \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-2, 3, 5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$-2B \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-6, 3, 5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$2ABC \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(3, 10, 15)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$2A \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(2, 3, 5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$2B \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-6, -3, 5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta, t_\epsilon \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$-ABC \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-15, 3, 5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta, t_\epsilon \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$-A \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-1, 3, 5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta, t_\epsilon \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$-B \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-63, 7, 15)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta, t_\epsilon \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$ABC \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(3, 5, 15)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$A \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(1, 3, 5)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\langle t_\alpha, t_\beta, t_\gamma, t_\delta \rangle$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$AB \in (\mathbb{Q}^*)^2$</td>
<td>$(-63, -7, 5)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Remark 1. The significance of $\text{Pic}(S)$ being isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, according to the Enriques–Manin–Iskovskih classification of surfaces, is that these are the minimal surfaces which are not conic bundles.
7. Examples of Brauer–Manin obstruction

Here we compute the Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle in several representative cases.

Example 4. Consider the case \((A, B, C) = (-25, -5, 45)\). The group \(G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})\) has order 32 and fits into an exact sequence

\[
1 \longrightarrow H \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 1
\]

with \(H = (\mathbb{Z}/4) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2\), generated by \(t_1^2, t_2, \sigma\), and \(\mathbb{Z}/2\) generated by \(\tau\). Computing, as in the previous section, we find that

\[
H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, M^H) \cong H^1(G, M)
\]

in the sequence (4.10), with \(M^H\) equal to the span of \((-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 3)\) and \((1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, -2)\). Hence, as in Example 1, we have

\[
H^1(G, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2.
\]

Because of (7.1), there will exist a class in \(\text{Br}(S)\), not in \(\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\), which is annihilated by the field extension \(\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[i] = F^H\). This makes it convenient to carry out the procedure described in [13, Chapter VI] for constructing a central simple algebra over the function field of \(S\) which is the restriction of a sheaf of Azumaya algebras that is non-trivial in \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\). This works as follows. Let \(\alpha\) be any divisor on \(S_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}\) whose class in \(M = \text{Pic}(S_F)\) is \((1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, -2)\). Since \(\alpha\) and its complex conjugate \(\overline{\alpha}\) sum to 0 in \(M\) there will exist a rational function \(g\) whose divisor is \(\alpha + \overline{\alpha}\); then we consider the quaternion algebra

\[
(-1, g) \in \text{Br}(S).
\]

We can take \(\alpha\) to be the class of a conic minus an anticanonical divisor,

\[
\alpha = D - (z = 0),
\]

where the conic \(D \subset S_{\mathbb{Q}[i]}\) is taken to lie above a conic meeting the Fermat quartic in four tangencies, for example,

\[
-5x^2 - 2y^2 + 9z^2 = 0, \quad w = i(3y^2 - 6z^2).
\]

It is easy to check that \(\alpha\) has the correct class in \(M\). With this choice, we can take

\[
g = -5(x/z)^2 - 2(y/z)^2 + 9.
\]

By the geometry underlying the choice of \(D\), we have \(g > 0\) for any \([x : y : z] \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q})\) that has real points over it in \(S\). It is now only necessary to complete \(p\)-adic analyses at the primes \(p = 2\) and \(p = 3\) (since 5-adically, \(\mathbb{Q}[i]\) is a split extension of \(\mathbb{Q}\)). For the 2-adic analysis, we assume \(x, y\) and \(z\) to be 2-adic integers, not all even, and find by analysis mod 16 that the condition that \(-25x^4 - 5y^4 + 45z^4\) should be a 2-adic square implies that \(x\) and \(z\) are odd and \(y\) is even. So, without loss of generality, we may take \(z = 1\). By mod 32 analysis, the only possible values of \((x, y)\) mod 8 are

\[
(1, 2), \quad (1, 6), \quad (3, 0), \quad (3, 4), \quad (5, 0), \quad (5, 4), \quad (7, 2), \quad (7, 6).
\]

In each case we find \(g = 12 \pmod{16}\); hence \((-1, g)\) is ramified at all 2-adic points.
of $S$. By a similar analysis mod 27 we find that at any 3-adic point $x$ and $y$ are prime to 3; hence so is $g$, and $(-1, g)$ is unramified at all 3-adic points of $S$. Therefore $S$ provides an example of Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle.

**Example 5.** Here we show that Example 1 fits into an infinite family of examples of Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle. Consider 

$$(A, B, C) = (-2p, -p, 2),$$

where $p$ is any prime such that

$$p = 3 \quad (\text{mod } 16).$$

The computation of the group cohomology is exactly as in Example 1. Therefore, $H^1(G, M) = H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, M^H) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. We proceed as in Example 4.

By the condition on $p$ we may write

$$p = u^2 + 2v^2$$

for positive integers $u$ and $v$, necessarily both odd. Define $s = (-1)^{(u-v)/2}$. Solving for the plane conic tangent to the quartic at the points $(\pm \sqrt{su}/p, \pm \sqrt{2v}/p)$, we find that with the curve $D$ given by

$$-sux^2 - vy^2 + z^2 = 0, \quad w = i(-2vx^2 + suy^2),$$

the cycle $D - (z = 0)$ has class $(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, -2)$ in $M$. Set

$$g = -su(x/z)^2 - v(y/z)^2 + 1.$$

Then $(-1, g)$ is

(i) unramified at real points of $S$,

(ii) ramified at all 2-adic points of $S$,

(iii) unramified at all $p$-adic points of $S$,

and there is a Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle.

We leave the verification of (i) and (ii) to the reader. For (iii) we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let $p$ be a prime with $p = 3 \quad (\text{mod } 16)$. Write $p = u^2 + 2v^2$ for positive integers $u$ and $v$. Now, if we let $y$ be a solution to $y^4 = -2 \quad (\text{mod } p)$ then we have $vy^2 = (-1)^{(u-v)/2}u \quad (\text{mod } p)$.

**Proof.** The two square roots of $-2 \mod p$ are $\pm uv^{-1}$. So $y^2 = \pm uv^{-1} \quad (\text{mod } p)$ and the lemma asserts that the correct sign is $(-1)^{(u-v)/2}$, or equivalently, that

$$\left( \frac{uv}{p} \right) = (-1)^{(u-v)/2}. \quad (7.2)$$

By quadratic reciprocity,

$$\left( \frac{u}{p} \right) = (-1)^{(u-1)/2} \left( \frac{p}{u} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{v}{p} \right) = (-1)^{(v-1)/2} \left( \frac{p}{v} \right).$$

If $p'$ is a prime dividing $v$, then $p$ is a quadratic residue mod $p'$. This and a similar
consideration when $p'$ divides $u$ yield
\[
\left(\frac{p}{v}\right) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{2p}{u}\right) = 1.
\]
By mod 16 analysis, $u = \pm 1$ (mod 8); hence $\left(\frac{2}{u}\right) = 1$. So, (7.2) holds.

To establish (iii) we claim that for any $p$-adic integer solution $(w, x, y, z)$ to (1.1), with not all of $w, x, y$ and $z$ divisible by $p$, the $p$-adic integer $z^2g = -sux^2 - vy^2 + z^2$ is not divisible by $p$. Indeed, since 2 is a quadratic residue mod $p$, we must have $p$ dividing $z$; hence $x$ and $y$ are non-zero mod $p$. Without loss of generality we suppose $x = 1$. Now $y$ must be a 4th root of $-2$ mod $p$. The claim follows from Lemma 1.

**Example 6.** Here we give a recipe for testing the presence of Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle in the generic case, that is, when the Galois group has order 128. This occurs precisely when the set
\[
\{ A^\alpha B^\beta C^\gamma (-1)^62^\zeta \mid (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \varepsilon) \in \{0, 1\}^5 \setminus \{(0,0,0,0,0)\} \}
\]
contains no perfect squares (see Table 2).

Let $S$ be such a surface, and assume $S$ has rational points in all completions of $\mathbb{Q}$. By Corollary 2, we have $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) = H^1(G, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2$. We use the fact that $G$ has a subgroup of index 2,
\[
H = \langle \sigma_t, t_a^2, t_at_b, t_at_c, t_at_\sigma \rangle,
\]
with the property that
\[
M^H = \langle (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 1, 1, 0, -2) \rangle,
\]
and hence $H^1(G/H, M^H) \cong H^1(G, M)$. Therefore, we can construct a quaternion algebra as in Example 4. In this case,
\[
F^H = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-ABC}).
\]
Let $\theta = \sqrt{-ABC}$, and let $(r_0 : s_0 : t_0)$ be a $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$-rational point on the conic
\[
Ar^2 + Bs^2 + Ct^2 = 0.
\]
By our assumption on $S$, such a point exists by the Hasse principle: local solutions to (7.3) arise by rewriting (1.1) as $((\theta z^2)^2 + ABw^2)/((By^2)^2 + ABx^4) = A$. Now
\[
Ar_0x^2 + Bs_0y^2 + Ct_0z^2 = 0
\]
defines a conic over $\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$, meeting the quartic curve in tangencies. By the identity
\[
C^2t_0^2(Ar^4 + By^4 + Cz^4) + ABC(s_0x^2 - r_0y^2)^2
+ C(Ar_0x^2 + Bs_0y^2 + Ct_0z^2)(Ar_0x^2 + Bs_0y^2 - Ct_0z^2) = 0,
\]
there is a curve $D$ on $S_{\mathbb{Q}(\theta)}$ defined by
\[
Ar_0x^2 + Bs_0y^2 + Ct_0z^2 = 0, \quad w = \theta(s_0x^2 - r_0y^2)/(Ct_0)
\]
such that the union of $D$ and its conjugate is rationally equivalent to twice the anticanonical class. This rational equivalence is given explicitly by the rational
function
g := (Ar_1 s_1 + A^2 Br_2 s_2) + (B s_1^2 - A^2 Br_2^2) (y/x)^2 + C s_1 t_0 (z/x)^2 + A C r_2 t_0 w/x^2,
where we suppose t_0 \in \mathbb{Q} and write
\[ r_0 = r_1 + r_2 \theta \quad \text{and} \quad s_0 = s_1 + s_2 \theta. \]

To test the Brauer–Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for \( S \), one has to analyse the quaternion algebra
\[ (-ABC, g) \]
at real- and \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-valued points of \( S \) (for \( p \) dividing \( 2ABC \)).

We give an example of non-trivial Brauer–Manin obstruction in this case. Consider \((A, B, C) = (-126, -91, 78)\). Then we may take \( r_0 = -13 \), \( s_0 = -12 \), and \( t_0 = 21 \), and \( g \) is proportional to
\[ 3 + 2(y/x)^2 + 3(z/x)^2. \]
In this case the quaternion algebra \((-ABC, 3 + 2(y/x)^2 + 3(z/x)^2)\) is ramified at all \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \)-points of \( S \) and unramified at all points in all other completions.

**Example 7.** Consider the case \((A, B, C) = (34, 34, 34)\). Here \( G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \) is isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}/2)^3\):
\[ G = \langle t_\alpha t_b t_c \sigma, \tau, \sigma \rangle. \]
We have \( H^1(G, M) = (\mathbb{Z}/2)^3 \). In fact, for the index 2 subgroup
\[ H = \langle t_\alpha t_b t_c \sigma, \tau \rangle \]
we have \( M^H \) spanned by
\[ (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0), \]
\[ (-1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, -1, 3), \quad (7.4) \]
and
\[ H^1(G/H, M^H) \cong H^1(G, M). \]
Here, \( \sigma \) in \( G/H \) acts non-trivially on the first three vectors in (7.4) and trivially on the last. We have
\[ F^H = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17}). \]

Using (4.11) we can identify elements of \( \text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) \) with the image of the \((-1)\)-eigenspace of \( M^H \) (under the \( \sigma \)-action). To produce quaternion algebras representing a given element of \( \text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q}) \) we need to find divisors defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17}) \) representing particular classes in \( M^H \). Notice that the class of any combination of exceptional curves defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17}) \) in \( M^H \) is a coboundary of (4.11). Hence, we need additional cycles defined over \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17}) \). We use descent to produce line bundles on \( S_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17})} \) and obtain the desired cycles as loci of vanishing of rational sections of these line bundles.

Here we explicitly carry out the task of representing the class of the first entry of (7.4) in \( \text{Br}(S) \). Set \( \rho = t_\alpha t_b t_c \sigma \). Over \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17}, \zeta) \) we have
\[ [L_{x, \zeta^+}] - [L_{x, \zeta^{-1}}] = (1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \quad (7.5) \]
in $\text{Pic}(S_F)$. Consider the line bundle $\mathcal{O}([L_{x,\zeta^+}] - [L_{x,\zeta^3}])$ and the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^+} - L_{x,\zeta^3}) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^3} - L_{x,\zeta^+,+})$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^+} - L_{x,\zeta^3}) \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^3} - L_{x,\zeta^-,}).$$

These constitute descent data (for the covering $S_F \to S_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17})}$) provided that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^+} - L_{x,\zeta^3}) & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^3} - L_{x,\zeta^+,+}) & \xrightarrow{\rho(\eta)} & \mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^+} - L_{x,\zeta^3},-) \\
\downarrow{\xi} & & \downarrow{\rho(\xi)} & & \\
\mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^3} - L_{x,\zeta^-}) & \xrightarrow{\tau(\eta)} & \mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^5} - L_{x,\zeta^+,+}) & \xrightarrow{\tau(\xi)} & \mathcal{O}(L_{x,\zeta^+} - L_{x,\zeta^3},-) \\
\end{array}$$

commutes. The isomorphisms given by

$$\eta = \delta \frac{x^2 - iy^2 + z^2 - (1/\sqrt{34})w}{x^2 - iy^2 - z^2 + (1/\sqrt{34})w} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \varepsilon \frac{\zeta y + z}{\zeta^3 y + z}$$

satisfy this condition if and only if $\delta, \eta \in F$ satisfy

$$\delta \rho(\delta) = -1, \quad (7.6)$$
$$\varepsilon \tau(\varepsilon) = 1, \quad (7.7)$$
$$\delta \rho(\varepsilon) = \tau(\delta) \varepsilon. \quad (7.8)$$

One solution to $(7.6)$--$(7.8)$ is

$$\delta = \sqrt{-17} \zeta - 4 \zeta^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = 4 \zeta + \sqrt{-17} \zeta^3.$$

This yields, by effective descent, a line bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $S_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17})}$.

Using $(7.6)$--$(7.8)$ and descent, we see that

$$f := 1 + \rho(\eta) + \tau(\xi) + \rho(\eta \tau(\xi))$$

defines a rational section of $\mathcal{E}$. We write $f$ as a quotient of quartic polynomials and observe that $f$ has (with respect to local trivialisations of $\mathcal{E}$) a simple pole along $L_{x,\zeta^-} \cup L_{x,\zeta^3,-} \cup L_{x,\zeta^3,+} \cup L_{x,\zeta^+,+} \cup L_{x,\zeta^3} \cup L_{x,\zeta^3}$, and a zero of order 1 along some curve $Z$.

Then, by $(7.5)$, we deduce that

$$[Z] = (-3, -1, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, 6)$$

in the Picard group. Therefore, if $h \in \mathbb{Q}(S)$ defines a rational equivalence between $Z \cup \pi(Z)$ and some hyperplane sections, then the quaternion algebra $(-17, h)$ represents an element of $\text{Br}(S)$ of the desired class in $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$.
Denoting by $g$ the numerator of $f$, we have
\[
g = \left( x^2 + iy^2 + z^2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{34}} w \right) [\sqrt{2} y^2 + \sqrt{2} y z + \sqrt{2} y^2 + (4\zeta - \sqrt{-17} \zeta^3)(y^2 + \sqrt{2} y z + z^2)] \\
+ \left( x^2 + iy^2 - z^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{34}} w \right) [\sqrt{2} y z + z^2 + (4\zeta - \sqrt{-17} \zeta^3)(-y^2 + iz^2)].
\]

The simultaneous vanishing of $g$, $\rho(g)$, $\tau(g)$ and $\rho \tau(g)$ defines the curve $Z$. Equivalently, writing
\[
g = p_0 + p_1 \zeta + p_2 \zeta^2 + p_3 \zeta^3
\]
with $p_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17})[w, x, y, z]$ we have $Z$ defined by the vanishing of $p_i$ for $i = 0, \ldots, 3$. A unique (up to scale) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17})$ linear combination of these is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, namely
\[
h_1 := \frac{1}{2} p_0 + \frac{1}{2}(4 - \sqrt{-17}) p_1 + \frac{1}{2} p_2 - \frac{1}{2}(4 + \sqrt{-17}) p_3
\]
\[
= wy^2 + wz^2 + x^2 y^2 + 8x^2 yz + x^2 z^2 + y^4 - z^4.
\]

Then $h = h_1/x^4$ is as desired. Cyclically permuting the variables $x$, $y$ and $z$, we obtain polynomials $h_2$ and $h_3$ such that the classes of $(-17, h_i/x^4)$ generate $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$.

The ramification pattern of an Azumaya algebra is an invariant of its class in $\text{Br}(S)$. However, in practice, the ramification pattern of an algebra $(-17, h_i/x^4)$ is difficult to test on $p$-adic points where $h_i$ vanishes to high order. Hence it is helpful to have multiple rational functions determining the same class in $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$. We can obtain additional functions by repeating the previous construction for different solutions to (7.6)-(7.8). For instance, $(-\delta, \varepsilon)$ is another solution. If we carry out the above procedure with this solution we obtain
\[
h_4 = wy^2 + wz^2 + x^2 y^2 + 8x^2 yz + x^2 z^2 - y^4 + z^4,
\]
with the property that $(-17, h_1/x^4)$ and $(-17, h_4/x^4)$ are equal in $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$.

We obtain $h_5$ and $h_6$ similarly: the effect of the full set of permutations of $x$, $y$ and $z$ is that we now have two representatives of each of the generators of $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$. We let $q_i \in \text{Br}(S)$ denote $(-17, h_i/x^4)$, for each $i$.

To gain full advantage of having these classes, we need to know how $q_i$ and $q_{i+3}$ differ in $\text{Br}(S)$. This is discovered by finding a relationship that makes explicit their equality in $\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})$. Using linear algebra, we have identified a rational equivalence on $S_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-17})}$ between $Z$ and the analogous curve for the function $h_4$; its norm relates $h_1$ and $h_4$ modulo the defining equation of $S$:
\[
h_1 h_4 = \frac{1}{5} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} wy^2 + 4 wyz + \frac{1}{2} w z^2 + 17 x^2 y^2 + 17 x^2 z^2 - 4 y^4 + y^3 z + y^3 z^3 - 4 z^4 \right)^2 \\
+ 17 \left( \frac{1}{33} wy^2 + \frac{4}{17} wyz + \frac{1}{33} w z^2 + x^2 y^2 + 4 y^4 - y^3 z - y^3 z^3 + 4 z^4 \right)^2 ] \\
+ (-33 y^4 + 16 y^3 z - 2 y^2 z^2 + 16 y^3 z - 33 z^4)(x^4 + y^4 + z^4 - \frac{1}{33} w^2).
\]

Similar identities hold under cyclic permutations of $x$, $y$ and $z$, and we thus have
\[
q_i = q_{i+3}
\]
in $\text{Br}(S)$, for each $i$.

Here are the results of the local analysis, confirming the presence of a Brauer–Manin obstruction:

(1) $q_i$ is unramified on points of $S(\mathbb{R})$ with $w > 0$ and ramified on points with $w < 0$, for all $i$;
(2) $S(Q_2)$ is the disjoint union of two non-empty sets, $U$ and $R$, such that each $q_i$ is unramified on $U$, and each $q_i$ is ramified on $R$;

(3) at any point of $S(Q_{17})$, exactly two of $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ are ramified.

Remark 2. We produced the solution to (7.6)–(7.8) by inspection. A more systematic way to proceed would be to solve just (7.6), obtaining by descent a line bundle defined over $Q(\sqrt{-17}, \sqrt{2})$. Descending further to $Q(\sqrt{-17})$ then hinges upon solving a norm equation for the quadratic extension $Q(\sqrt{-17}) \rightarrow Q(\sqrt{-17}, \sqrt{2})$.

Example 8. The case $(A, B, C) = (-9826, -2, 136) = (-2p^3, -2, 8p)$ with $p = 17$ illustrates working with a non-cyclic Azumaya algebra. We have $F = Q(\zeta, \sqrt[p]{\overline{p}})$. The Galois group of $F$ over $Q$ has order 16:

$$G = \langle t_a t_b t_c \sigma \tau, t_a t_c, t_b t_c \sigma \rangle.$$  

In this case, $H^1(G, M) = Z/4$. There is no Brauer–Manin obstruction coming from 2-torsion in $Br(S)$. Indeed, the motivated reader can produce a subgroup $H$ of index 2 in $G$ with $H^1(G/H, M^H) = Z/2$ and show that $(-2, 136 + (y/x)^2 + 18(z/x)^2)$ generates the 2-torsion in $Br(S)/Br(Q)$, yet is unramified at all points $S$ in every completion of $Q$. This means that the obstruction analysis requires a representative of a generator of $Br(S)/Br(Q)$.

The central element $u := t_a t_b t_c \sigma \tau$ of $G$ satisfies

$$F^u = Q(i, \sqrt[p]{\overline{p}}) \quad \text{and} \quad H^1(G/\langle u \rangle, M^u) = Z/4.$$  

We remark that the exceptional curves $L_{0,\beta,\gamma}$ ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mu_4$) are defined over $F^w$. The quotient $G' := G/\langle u \rangle$ is isomorphic to the dihedral group $D_4$; generators $g := i_{a} t_{c}$ and $h := i_{b} t_{c} \sigma$ satisfy $g^4 = h^2 = gh = h g = e$. We use the resolution of Proposition 5 to identify classes in $H^1(G', M^u)$ with pairs $(v, v') \in (M^u)^2$ satisfying

$$N_{gh}v = N_{gh}v' = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad N_{gh}v = N_{gh}v',$$  

modulo those of the form $(\Delta_{g} v, \Delta_{h} v)$. Now a generator of $H^1(G', M^u)$ is the class of $(v_1, 0)$ where

$$v_1 = (-1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = [L_{1,i,i}] - [L_{i,-i,-1}].$$  

Another representative for the same cohomology class is $(v_2, 0)$ where

$$v_2 = (-1, 0, -1, 0, -1, -1, -2, 2) = [L_{1,-i,-i}] - [L_{i,i,i}].$$  

To produce an Azumaya algebra from one of these cocycles $(v_1, 0)$ we must find rational equivalences that reflect the identities (7.9). In fact, for each of the cycle representatives given in (7.10) and (7.11), the result of applying $N_{gh}$ is equal to zero as a cycle. So it remains only to find rational functions whose divisors are $N_{g}$ applied to these cycle representatives. For (7.10), a function that vanishes on

$$L_{1,1,1} \cup L_{i,-i,-i} \cup L_{1,-1,1} \cup L_{i,i,-i}$$  

and has a simple pole along

$$L_{i,-1,1} \cup L_{1,-1,-i} \cup L_{i,-1,-i} \cup L_{1,1,-i}$$  

is

$$f_1 := \frac{p(1 + i)xz + iy^2 - \frac{1}{2}w}{p(-1 + i)xz + iy^2 + \frac{1}{2}w}. $$
The corresponding rational equivalence for (7.11) is

\[ f_2 := \frac{p(1 - i)zx + iy^2 + \frac{1}{2}w}{p(1 + i)zx - iy^2 + \frac{1}{2}w}. \]

For \( i = 1 \) and \( 2 \) we have \( f_i h(f_i) = 1 \), and the cocycle

\[ (f_i, 1, 1) \in (F^u(S)^*)^3 \]

determines an Azumaya algebra \( \mathcal{A}_i \) on \( S \).

We claim \( \mathcal{A}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) are equal in \( \text{Br}(S) \) and are:
(a) unramified at all points of \( S(\mathbb{Q}_2) \);
(b) ramified at all points of \( S(\mathbb{Q}_{17}) \);
(c) unramified at all points of \( S(\mathbb{R}) \).

The last of these claims is clear, since \( (f_i, 1, 1) \in S^1 \times \{1\} \times \{1\} \) at any point of \( S(\mathbb{R}) \) (where \( S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^* \) denotes the unit circle) and this is a connected subgroup of the group of cocycles, and hence trivial in cohomology.

For the claim regarding 2-adic points, we pause to discuss the cohomology group \( H^2(\mathcal{O}_4, \mathbb{Q}_2(i, \sqrt{17})^*) \), where generators act by

\[
g: \begin{cases} i \mapsto i, \\ \sqrt{17} \mapsto i\sqrt{17}, \end{cases} \quad h: \begin{cases} i \mapsto -i, \\ \sqrt{17} \mapsto i\sqrt{17}. \end{cases}
\]

Consider the following diagram of field extensions, where labels indicate fixed fields:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Q}_2(i, \sqrt{17}) & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{Q}_2((1 + i)\sqrt{17}) \\
\downarrow{h} & & \downarrow{gh} \\
\mathbb{Q}_2(i) & \xrightarrow{g} & \mathbb{Q}_2(i\sqrt{17}) \\
\end{array}
\]

Now by the resolution for \( \mathcal{O}_4 \) of § 4, a 2-cocycle is \((r, s, t)\) with

\[ r \in \mathbb{Q}_2(i)^*, \quad s \in \mathbb{Q}_2((1 + i)\sqrt{17})^*, \quad t \in \mathbb{Q}_2(i\sqrt{17})^* \]

satisfying \( Nr =Ns Nt \), where in each instance, \( N \) denotes the norm from the respective field to \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \). Coboundaries are triples

\[ (N_g c, N_h d, N_{gh}(c/d)) \]

for \( c, d \in \mathbb{Q}_2(i, \sqrt{17})^* \).

At every 2-adic point of \( S \), at least one of \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) is defined and takes one of the following values mod 32:

\[
\begin{align*}
1 + 0i, & \quad 1 + 8i, \quad 1 + 16i, \quad 1 + 24i, \quad 25 + 4i, \quad 25 + 12i, \quad 25 + 20i, \quad 25 + 28i, \\
0 + 31i, & \quad 8 + 31i, \quad 16 + 31i, \quad 24 + 31i, \quad 4 + 7i, \quad 12 + 7i, \quad 20 + 7i, \quad 28 + 7i, \\
31 + 0i, & \quad 31 + 24i, \quad 31 + 16i, \quad 31 + 8i, \quad 7 + 28i, \quad 7 + 20i, \quad 7 + 12i, \quad 7 + 4i, \\
0 + i, & \quad 24 + i, \quad 16 + i, \quad 8 + i, \quad 28 + 25i, \quad 20 + 25i, \quad 12 + 25i, \quad 4 + 25i. \\
\end{align*}
\]

(7.12)
We claim that for any cocycle \((f, 1, 1)\) with \(f\) (necessarily in \(\mathbb{Z}_2[i]\)) taking one of the values mod 32 listed in (7.12), there exists \(c \in \mathbb{Q}_2(i, \sqrt[17]{17})^*\) with \(N_{gh}c = 1\) and \(N_{gh}^c = f\), so in particular, \((f, 1, 1)\) is a coboundary. Indeed, the image of \(N_{gh}\) among \(c \in \mathbb{Z}_2[i, \sqrt[17]{17}]\) satisfying \(N_{gh}c = 1\) is the set of \(f \in \mathbb{Q}(i)^*\) with \(Nf = 1\) and \(f\) mod 32 equal to some value in the first row of (7.12). Also, there exists \(c \in \mathbb{Q}_2(i, \sqrt[17]{17})^*\) with \(N_{gh}c = 1\) and \(N_{gh}^c = i\). Since norms are multiplicative, the claim follows.

The equality of \(\mathfrak{X}_1\) and \(\mathfrak{X}_2\) in \(\text{Br}(S)\) follows from having a function \(r \in F^v(S)^*\), whose norm by \(g\) is \(f_2/f_1\) and whose norm by \(gh\) is 1. Recall that \((v_2, 0) = (v_1, 0)\) in cohomology; explicitly this is by \(v_2 - v_1 = \Delta_g([L_{1,1,1}] + [L_{1,1,-1}])\). Now \(r\) can be taken to be a rational function vanishing on \(L_{1,-1,1} \cup L_{-1,1,1} \cup g(L_{1,1,1}) \cup g(L_{1,1,-1})\) with \(L_{1,1,1} \cup L_{1,1,1} \cup L_{1,1,-1}\), scaled appropriately.

The 17-adic analysis is simpler because \(\mathbb{Q}_{17}\) has \(\sqrt{-1}\), and hence we are reduced to analyzing norms for \(\mathbb{Q}_{17} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{17}((\sqrt[17]{17})\). Norms for this extensions are just powers of 17 times 4th powers in \(\mathbb{Z}_{17}\). Evaluating \(f_1\) at points of \(S(\mathbb{Q}_{17})\) and substituting \(\sqrt{-1}\) for \(i\) yields the classes 18 and 15 mod 17, and these are not quartic residues.

**Remark 3.** The analysis we have carried out in the examples could, in principle, be carried out algorithmically in any of the arithmetic classes of surfaces \(S\). We have verified that, except in two uninteresting cases (in which one of \(A, B\) and \(C\) has to be a square), the 2-torsion subgroup of \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\) is generated by the groups \(H^1(\mathbb{Z}/2, M_H)\) as \(H\) ranges over the index 2 subgroups of the Galois group. In the cases with 4-torsion in \(\text{Br}(S)/\text{Br}(\mathbb{Q})\), the analysis can proceed as in Example 8.

**Remark 4.** In Examples 4–8, the surface \(S\) always satisfies \(\text{Pic}(S) = \mathbb{Z}\). So, in considering the cases of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 covered by previous results, described in the introduction, we are consistently avoiding the non-minimal surfaces of case (i). Every surface that we are considering is, in some obvious ways, a double cover of Châtelet surfaces (one can pass to invariants for any projective linear transformation of \(x, y\) and \(z\) which is an involution preserving \(Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^4\)). But in every example, the resulting Châtelet surfaces satisfy the Hasse principle (this can be seen by directly exhibiting rational points, combined with appeal to [8, Theorem B]). A degree 2 del Pezzo surface which is birational to a conic bundle must have Picard group of rank at least 2, so our examples avoid case (iii) as well.

**Appendix. Cyclic Azumaya algebras on diagonal cubics**

In [6], there is an analysis of the Brauer–Manin obstruction on a diagonal cubic surface \(S\), given by

\[
Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^3 + Dz^3 = 0,
\]

with \(A, B, C\) and \(D\) positive integers. Let \(\theta = e^{2\pi i/3}\); first of all, \(S(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset\) if and only if \(S(\mathbb{Q}(\theta)) = \emptyset\), and hence it suffices to work over the field \(k := \mathbb{Q}(\theta)\). The analysis proceeds by constructing Azumaya algebras that are split by a bicyclic extension of \(k\) and computing local invariants.

Here we simplify the algorithm proposed in [6] by constructing cyclic Azumaya algebras on \(S_k\) which generate \(\text{Br}(S_k)/\text{Br}(k)\). We use descent to exhibit the necessary cycles, as in Example 7.
We start by making the following assumption:
\[
\sqrt[3]{A/B} \notin \mathbb{Q}, \quad \sqrt[3]{A/C} \notin \mathbb{Q}, \quad \ldots, \quad \sqrt[3]{C/D} \notin \mathbb{Q},
\]
\[
\sqrt[3]{AB/CD} \notin \mathbb{Q}, \quad \sqrt[3]{AC/BD} \notin \mathbb{Q}, \quad \sqrt[3]{AD/BC} \notin \mathbb{Q}
\]  \quad (A2)
(in all other cases, the Hasse principle is known to hold). Then we define
\[
\alpha = \sqrt[3]{B/A}, \quad \beta = \sqrt[3]{D/C}, \quad \gamma = \sqrt[3]{AD/BC} = \alpha^{-1}\beta,
\]
\[
\alpha' = \sqrt[3]{C/A}, \quad \beta' = \sqrt[3]{D/B}.
\]
We assume, further, that \(S(\mathbb{Q}_p) \neq \emptyset\) for all primes \(p\). Set \(K = k(\gamma, \alpha)\); the assumption (A2) implies that
\[
\]  \quad (A3)
We need notation for the following divisors on \(S_k\):
\[
L(i) : \begin{cases} 
 x + \theta^i \alpha y = 0, \\
 z + \theta^i \beta t = 0,
\end{cases} \quad L'(i) : \begin{cases} 
 x + \theta^i \alpha y = 0, \\
 z + \theta^i \beta t = 0,
\end{cases} \quad L''(i) : \begin{cases} 
 x + \theta^i \alpha y = 0, \\
 z + \theta^{i+1} \beta t = 0,
\end{cases}
\]
and
\[
M(i) : \begin{cases} 
 x + \theta^i \alpha' z = 0, \\
 y + \theta^{i+1} \beta' t = 0.
\end{cases}
\]
Define
\[
L = L(0) + L(1) + L(2) \quad \text{and} \quad M = M(0) + M(1) + M(2).
\]
Now \(L + M\) is comprised of six pairwise disjoint lines; blowing these down we have \(S_k \to \mathbb{P}^2_k\). Take \(\ell\) to be the class of a general line in \(\mathbb{P}^2_k\); so
\[
3\ell = -K_S + L + M.
\]
By results in [6], we have
\[
\mathbb{Z}/3 = H^1(\mathbb{Z}/3, \text{Pic}(S_k(\gamma))) \cong \text{Br}(S_k)/\text{Br}(k),
\]
generated by the class in \(H^1(\mathbb{Z}/3, \text{Pic}(S_k(\gamma)))\) of \(\ell - L\) or \(\ell - M\) (where we use (4.11) to identify elements with cohomology classes). In [6], the following procedure is proposed to obtain a non-trivial Azumaya algebra on \(S_k\):
(i) find a divisor \(D\) defined over \(k(\gamma)\) in the class \(\ell - L\) or \(\ell - M\);
(ii) find a function in \(k(S)\) for which the divisor is the union of \(D\) and its Galois conjugates.
Unfortunately, the classes in \(\text{Pic}(S_k(\gamma))\) of sums of lines defined over \(S_k(\gamma)\) fail to represent any non-zero elements of \(H^1(\mathbb{Z}/3, \text{Pic}(S_k(\gamma)))\), and the further field extension required to find suitable sums of lines accounts for much of the complication of the analysis of [6].
We show that (i) can be carried out by solving a norm equation. Then (ii) reduces to some linear algebra. For (i), we start with the further field extension \(k(\gamma) \to K\) and the divisor \(D := L'(2) - L''(0)\) in class \(\ell - M\) (cf. [6]). Denote by \(\sigma\) the element of \(\text{Gal}(K/k(\gamma))\) which sends \(\alpha\) to \(\theta \alpha\). For the line bundle \(\mathcal{O}_{S_k}(D)\) to descend to \(k(\gamma)\) we must supply an isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{O}_{S_k}(L'(2) - L''(0)) \xrightarrow{\xi} \mathcal{O}_{S_k}(L'(0) - L''(1))
\]
satisfying
\[
\sigma^2(\xi) \circ \sigma(\xi) \circ \xi = 1. \quad (A4)
\]
Looking at the defining equations, we see that $\xi$ must be of the form
\[
\xi = \frac{z + \beta t}{x + \alpha y}
\]
for some $\varepsilon \in k(\gamma)$. Now the condition (A4) is equivalent to
\[
N_{K/k(\gamma)}(\varepsilon) = -C/A. \tag{A5}
\]
Concretely, if $\varepsilon = \lambda + \mu \alpha + \nu \alpha^2$
with $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in k(\gamma)$, then (A5) expands as
\[
\lambda^3 + \frac{B}{A} \mu^3 + \frac{B^2}{A^2} \nu^3 - 3 \frac{B}{A} \lambda \mu \nu = - \frac{C}{A}. \tag{A6}
\]
Equation (A6) has a solution, by the Hasse principle. There is also an a priori bound on the size of some solution [15]. An effective algorithm exists; see for example [10]. Algorithms from [2] and [9] have been implemented in MAGMA.

Define $k' = k(\gamma)$. By descent we have a line bundle $E$ on $S_{k'}$. Also by descent, a rational section of $E$ is given by
\[
f = 1 + \sigma^2(\xi) + \sigma(\xi)\varepsilon^2(\xi)
\]
\[
= \frac{(x + \theta \alpha y)(x + \theta^2 \alpha y) + \sigma^2 \varepsilon(x + \theta \alpha y)(z + \theta^2 \beta t) + \sigma \varepsilon \sigma^2 \varepsilon(z + \theta \beta t)(z + \theta^2 \beta t)}{(x + \theta \alpha y)(x + \theta^2 \alpha y)}.
\]
Then, with respect to local trivialisations of $E$, the section $f$ has a simple pole on $L''(0) + L''(1) + L''(2)$ and vanishes to order 1 along some cubic curve $C$. Hence
\[
C = -2L - M + 4\ell
\]
in $	ext{Pic}(S_{k'})$, and $C + K_S = -L + \ell$ is a divisor as desired.

We compute $C^2 = 1$ and $C \cdot K_S = -3$, which implies that its genus is zero, so $C$ is geometrically a twisted cubic. Denoting by $g$ the numerator of $f$, we note that explicit defining equations of $C \subset S$ over $K$ are $g = \sigma(g) = \sigma^2(g) = 0$. It is possible to express
\[
g = g_0 + g_1 \alpha + g_2 \alpha^2
\]
for $g_0, g_1, g_2 \in k'[x, y, z, t]$, and after a bit of algebra we find that
\[
g_0 = x^2 + \lambda xz + (B/A) \nu xt \gamma + \theta^2 (B/A) \mu yt \gamma + \theta^2 (B/A) \nu y z
\]
\[+ [\lambda^2 - (B/A) \mu \nu] z^2 + (B/A) (\lambda \nu - \mu^2) zt \gamma + (B/A)[(B/A) \nu^2 - \lambda \mu] t^2 \gamma^2,
\]
\[
g_1 = -xy + \theta^2 \mu xz + \theta^2 \lambda xt \gamma + \theta \lambda y z + \theta (B/A) \nu y t \gamma + [(B/A) \nu^2 - \lambda \mu] z^2
\]
\[+ [(B/A) \mu \nu - \lambda^2] zt \gamma + (B/A) (\mu^2 - \lambda \nu) t^2 \gamma^2,
\]
\[
g_2 = \theta \nu x z + \theta \mu x t \gamma + \nu^2 + \mu y z + \lambda y t \gamma
\]
\[+ (\mu^2 - \lambda \nu) z^2 + [\lambda \mu - (B/A) \nu^2] zt \gamma + [\lambda^2 - (B/A) \mu \nu] t^2 \gamma^2.
\]
Now $C$ is defined over $k'$ as a subvariety of $S$ by the equations
\[
g_0 = g_1 = g_2 = 0. \tag{A7}
\]
In fact, we have
\[ g_0(Ax - A\lambda z - Bv\tau t) + g_1(-Bvz - B\mu t) + g_2(By - B\mu z - B\lambda t) = Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^3 + Dt^3; \]
so (A7) defines \( C \) over \( k' \) as a subvariety of \( \mathbb{P}^3 \). We have completed task (i).

For task (ii), we claim there exist linear polynomials \( \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2 \in k'[x, y, z, t] \) such that the polynomial
\[ h = g_0\ell_0 + g_1\ell_1 + g_2\ell_2 \quad (A8) \]
is in \( k[x, y, z, t] \) and is not proportional to \((Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^3 + Dt^3)\). Knowing this, a modern linear algebra solver can effectively produce such \( \ell_0, \ell_1, \ell_2 \). Then the division algebra generated over \( k(S) \) by non-commuting variables \( r \) and \( s \), subject to relations
\[ r^3 = AD/BC, \quad s^3 = h/x^3, \quad sr = \theta rs, \]
is the restriction of an Azumaya algebra over \( S_k \) generating \( Br(S_k)/Br(k) \).

To justify the claim, notice first that there exists a rational function on \( S_k \) whose divisor is \( 3H - C - \rho C - \rho^2 C \), where \( H \) is a hyperplane section and \( \rho \) is a generator of \( \text{Gal}(k'/k) \). Next, by a dimension computation, we have an isomorphism
\[ H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^3, O(3))/\langle Ax^3 + By^3 + Cz^3 + Dt^3 \rangle \rightarrow H^0(S_k, 3H) \]
so this rational function must be of the form \( h/\ell^3 \) (assuming that \( H \) is defined by the vanishing of the linear form \( \ell \)). Finally, a syzygy computation shows that \( h \) can be expressed in the form (A8). Indeed, (A7) defines \( C \) in \( \mathbb{P}^3 \), so we know that \( \ell^d h \) lies in the ideal \((g_0, g_1, g_2)\) of \( k'[x, y, z, t] \), for some \( d \). Suppose \( d \geq 1 \) and
\[ \ell^d h = \sum_{i=0}^{2} g_0 r_i, \]
with \( r_i \in k'[x, y, z, t] \) for \( i = 0, 1, 2 \). Now it suffices to show that there exist \( s_0, s_1, s_2 \in k'[x, y, z, t] \) such that \( \sum_i g_i s_i = 0 \), and \( \ell \) divides \( r_i - s_i \) for each \( i \); then we have \( \ell^{d-1} h = \sum_i g_i (r_i - s_i)/\ell \) and we can proceed inductively. In other words, it suffices to show that the map on Koszul complexes for \((g_0, g_1, g_2)\), induced by the quotient map \( k'[x, y, z, t] \rightarrow k'[x, y, z, t]/(\ell) \), gives rise to a surjection on the first homology modules. It is enough to verify this over the algebraic closure, and we are reduced to the case of \((g_0, g_1, g_2)\) defining the twisted cubic, for which it is a standard computation.

Note added in proof, June 2004. Improved group cohomology support in MAGMA V2.10 (April 2003) has provided a faster, direct means of performing the calculations outlined in \( \S\S5\text{–}6 \). Using this, the authors have succeeded in reproducing the results described herein.
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