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1 License

The DOF standard is licensed under the Apache License, Version 2.0 ("Apache License Version 2.0," n.d.).

2 About DARIAH-DKPro-Wrapper

With the increasing availability of digitized literary texts, computational texts analysis more and more becomes a methodological option in literary studies. Thus, the ability to use script languages such as Python ("The Python Language" 2016) or R ("The R Project" 2016) for quantitative text analysis becomes increasingly widespread among digital literary scholars. Many analytical methods, however, require linguistic information. Various natural language processing (NLP) tools allow to automatically extract different kinds of linguistic information – for example, parts-of-speech, lemmatised forms, and named entities can be automatically derived from a text. However, one would need to string those individual tools together. The DARIAH-DKPro-Wrapper (DDW) combines them into a single command-line program computing word-by-word linguistic annotation, thereby considerably simplifying the generation of such annotations. We present the output format of the DDW. It is designed as a versatile, easy-to-use link between NLP preprocessing and working in scripting languages like Python or R.

The DDW is based on Apache UIMA ("Apache UIMA" 2016) and DKPro Core ("Darmstadt Knowledge Processing Repository" 2016). UIMA is a framework for the management of unstructured information like natural language text. UIMA enables applications to be decomposed into components, for example a component to read a document, components for different linguistic annotations and a component to store the results in a desired format. All components can be plugged together and can then be executed as a pipeline. DKPro Core is based on UIMA and integrates several well known NLP tools, including Stanford CoreNLP (Manning et al. 2014), OpenNLP ("Apache OpenNLP" 2016), and Mate Tools (Bohnet and Nivre 2012). DKPro Core makes it easy for the programmer to choose between different NLP tools and to use the output of different NLP tools for further processing. DDW makes it easy for the user: it allows to read in documents from arbitrary text files, which are then processed in the UIMA pipeline and enriched with linguistic information. For further analysis, the result is written to a tab-separated file format, where each row corresponds to one token in the document and each column corresponds to one layer of analysis (cf. the example data in the appendix). By default, all configurable components in the DDW are enabled. They consist of: segmentation, part-of-speech tagging, lemmatisation, chunking, morphology tagging, hyphenation, named entity recognition, dependency parsing, constituency parsing, semantic role labeling, and coreference resolution. However, the program can be customised using configuration files, which are predefined and readily available for a number of languages. Using these files, components can be swapped, configured – for example to load custom models – and turned off, to deactivate expensive processing steps when they are not needed. As of DKPro Core 1.8.0, more than 40 components and support for over 30 languages is available. For a detailed list of components and the languages they support, see ("DKPro Core Component Reference" 2016) and ("DKPro Core Model Reference" 2016). The DDW source code, alongside a compact user guide and a comprehensive tutorial.
on various use cases, can be found at the official DDW Git Repository (“DARIAH-DE DKPro Wrapper” 2016).

3 Aims of the Output Format

The overall aim of DOF is to provide a file format that is as easy to process as possible, while offering the full range of linguistic information provided by the analysis components used. It has been developed with the following goals in mind:

- To be suitable for the representation of various linguistic annotations and data types;
- To provide auxiliaries for the processing of book-length documents;
- To be human-readable and self-documenting;
- To be easily processable by widely-used scripting languages and data science tools;
- To have as little computational overhead as possible;
- To be extensible.

4 General Characteristics

DOF is based on the CoNLL format (in its 2009 version (“CoNLL 2009 Shared Task Description” 2009)), which is a frequently used format in NLP. Its line-based structure – where each line represents a token – and the concept of annotation layers as columns, stays close to the original CoNLL layout. It has the advantage of leveraging various analysis tools already built around this kind of structure. Additionally, DOF is constructed as a TSV (tab-separated values) (“Definition of Tab-Separated-Values (TSV),” n.d.) file, which increases possibilities for ingestion – one can choose between numerous scripting languages, analysis packages, and it can even be read by standard spreadsheet applications. In contrast to the CoNLL layout, there is no empty line after each sentence. Such empty lines are difficult to process in most existent TSV readers. Instead, each row includes an ascending ID of the sentence.

Primarily, the DDW and its output format were devised for the efficient processing of book-length documents. Thus, various segmentation strategies are built-in, in order to facilitate the traversal of such large text files and to provide means for automatic selection of specific segments, e.g. programmatically by use of GroupBy methods.

- Sentences are counted in the SentenceId column in order to allow for a continuous placement of rows – in contrast to the CoNLL 2009 layout, which introduces empty lines after each sentence.
- In case the input file(s) processed by DDW are XML files, the SectionId field contains the XPath (“The XML Path Language” 2015) for each token.
• In addition to segmentation using sentence identifiers, a pattern-matching based segmentation strategy is introduced: The ParagraphId column holds an identifier describing which paragraph each token belongs to. It can be set to count either single or double line breaks in the original document.

• Another pattern-based feature is the quotation detection which uses the QuoteMarker column to indicate for each token whether it is inside or outside a quotation.

• In order to allow for the lookup of specific tokens, the format includes a TokenId, as well as an offset in the original document corresponding to the token in question, which is indicated in the Begin and End columns.

• Also, the format is able to hold grammatical information represented as trees for each sentence. Not only “flat” dependency trees (DependencyHead, DependencyRelation), but also nested phrase structures generated by a constituency parser. The corresponding SyntaxTree column resembles the “parse bit” of CoNLL 2012 (“CoNLL 2012 Shared Task Data” 2012). Example code for compiling graph objects out of the information provided by dependency and constituency parsers can be found in the DDW’s documentation (“DARIAH-DE DKPro Wrapper Tutorial: NLP Based Analysis of Literary Texts” 2015).

5 Relation to other Approaches

During the development of the DDW, various approaches were discussed and tested before the file format attained its current form. A key problem is bridging the gap between the low-level flexibility of the CAS (“Apache UIMA CAS Interface” 2010) structure (which is the internal format UIMA frameworks such as DKPro are based on) and a format that is straightforward to process using a variety of analysis tools, while retaining all of the information contained in the CAS structure.

In principle, there are a number of possible alternatives to the chosen TSV file format. Tree-based (e.g. GrAF, Ide and Suderman 2007) and XML-based (e.g. TIGER/SALSA XML, Erk and Pado 2004) formats allow for a more native representation of tree structures and nested annotations, while a modern binary format such as docrep (Dawborn and Curran 2014) even adds an improved processing speed to that. Nevertheless, those formats are only really accessible programmatically, can be computationally expensive and are not necessarily human-readable, all of which make them more cumbersome to process for the user primarily interested in data analysis. The proposed DOF format is in many respects similar to the output format of BookNLP (“BookNLP: Natural Language Processing Pipeline for Book-Length Documents” 2014), another natural language processing pipeline for book-length documents, which, however, is based on components specific to the English language.

6 Format Specification

DOF files are UTF-8 encoded text files in which each row represents a single token. The first row contains column headers in form of the field names according to the following table. The columns are separated
by one tab (\t) character. Column values never contain Tab characters, so there is no quoting and no escaping. Columns can be addressed by their index number, which can vary depending on the number of components the pipeline is configured for, as well as by the columns’ field names.

The full column layout is shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SectionId</td>
<td>For XML input files, this column contains the XPath of the token. For text files, this column is empty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParagraphId</td>
<td>Ascending number for the paragraphs in the document. Starting at 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SentenceId</td>
<td>Ascending number for the sentences in the document. Starting at 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TokenId</td>
<td>Ascending number for the tokens in the document. Starting at 0.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begin</td>
<td>Offset in characters for the begin of the token.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End</td>
<td>Offset in characters for the end of the token.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Token</td>
<td>The token itself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemma</td>
<td>The lemma of the token.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPOS</td>
<td>Coarse grained part of speech information. Tagset reproduced in the Appendix.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POS</td>
<td>Part of speech information as assigned by the POS tagger. Content varies according to the tagset used by selected POS tagger component. See the list of models available in DKPro (“DKPro Core Model Reference” 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chunk</td>
<td>Chunking information, BIO (Begin/In/Out) (“CoNLL 2000 Shared Task Description” 2000) encoded. Thus, B_CHUNK marks the first word of the chunk, I_CHUNK marks each other word in the chunk, and O_CHUNK marks words outside the chunk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphology</td>
<td>The Mate Tools Morphology Tagger (Bohnet et al. 2013) is used to derive morphological information for the token.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyphenation</td>
<td>The \TeX hyphenation algorithm is used to detect the syllables of the token. The syllables of the token are separated via hyphens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DependencyHead</td>
<td>Head (token id) of the current token.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DependencyRelation</td>
<td>Dependency relation to the head. Content varies according to the tagset used by selected dependency parser component. See the list of models available in DKPro (“DKPro Core Model Reference” 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NamedEntity</td>
<td>Named Entity information, BIO encoded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QuoteMarker</td>
<td>1 if token is inside quotes, 0 else.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Field Name Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CoreferenceChainIds</td>
<td>If the token is part of a coreference chain, the according Id of the chain is noted in this field. The field uses BIO encoding and may contain multiple chain ids. Chain ids are separated by comma.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SyntaxTree</td>
<td>Bracketed structure broken before the first open parenthesis in the parse, and the word/part_of_speech leaf is replaced with a *. Identical format as for the CoNLL 2012 parse bit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predicate</td>
<td>Predicate information for the current token. Consists of the verb and its mapping to a FrameNet (&quot;The FrameNet Project&quot; 2016) sense.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SemanticArgument0 … N</td>
<td>The labels for the semantic arguments for the detected predicates are written in individual columns. The argument for the n-th predicate is written to the n-th column for semantic arguments. The labels follow the PropBank_Style (A0, A1,…).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SemanticArgument Index</td>
<td>Index of the semantic arguments for this predicate (0 … N)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7 Conclusion

The DDW provides a simple all-in-one tool for many popular linguistic analysis steps. Its output format provides an easy starting point for further analyses, aligning the output of the various tools in a format that can be processed using standard libraries or even spreadsheet software with as little computational overhead as possible, while also being human-readable. Extensive documentation included with the DDW illustrates some practical applications of the wrapper and the format, showing how to read DOF files in R and Python and how to interpret the various fields.

### 8 Appendix

#### 8.1 Coarse grained Part-of-Speech Tagset

All individual tagsets output by different components map onto a restricted, universal part-of-speech tagset ("DKPro Core Part-of-Speech Tagset" 2016):

- **ADJ** - Adjective
- **ADV** - Adverb
- **ART** - Article
- **CARD** - Numeral
• **CONJ** - Conjunction
• **N** - Noun
• **NN** - Common noun
• **NP** - Noun phrase
• **O** - Catch-all for other categories such as abbreviations or foreign words
• **PP** - Prepositions and postpositions
• **PR** - Pronoun
• **PRT** - Particle
• **PUNC** - Punctuation
• **V** - Verb
### Example Output: German Theodor Fontane - LAI Sheet (1894/1895)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Paraphrased Sentiment</th>
<th>Token</th>
<th>Begin</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>Lemma</th>
<th>CRPS</th>
<th>POS</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Hyphation</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>NamedEntity</th>
<th>QuoteMarker</th>
<th>Conference</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Predicate</th>
<th>SemanticArgument</th>
<th>PredicateSemanticArgument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Example Output: English Florence L. Barclay - Through the postern gate a romance in seven days (1912)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Paraphrased Sentiment</th>
<th>Token</th>
<th>Begin</th>
<th>End</th>
<th>Lemma</th>
<th>CRPS</th>
<th>POS</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Hyphation</th>
<th>Dependencies</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
<th>NamedEntity</th>
<th>QuoteMarker</th>
<th>Conference</th>
<th>Speaker</th>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Predicate</th>
<th>SemanticArgument</th>
<th>PredicateSemanticArgument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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