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A FUNCTION IN THE NUMBER THEORY

Summary
In this paper I shall construct a function $\eta$ having the following properties:

(1) \( \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \ , \ n = 0 \ (\eta(n))! = \eta(n) \).

(2) \( \eta(n) \) is the smallest natural number with the property (1).

We consider: \( N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \) and \( N^* = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\} \).

Lemma 1. \( \forall k, p \in N^*, p \neq 1, k \) is uniquely written under the shape: \( k = t_1a_n^{(p)} + \ldots + t_\ell a_n^{(p)} \) where \( a_n^{(p)} = \frac{p^{n_1}-1}{p-1} \), \( i = 1, \ell \), \( n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_\ell > 0 \) and \( 1 \leq t_j \leq p - 1, j = 1, \ell - 1 \), \( 1 \leq t_\ell \leq p \), \( n_i, t_i \in N, i = 1, \ell, \ell \in N^* \).

Proof. The string \( (a_n^{(p)})_{n \in N^*} \) consists of strictly increasing infinite natural numbers and \( a_{n+1}^{(p)} - 1 = p \cdot a_n^{(p)} \), \( \forall n \in N^*, p \) is fixed, \( a_1^{(p)} = 1, a_2^{(p)} = 1 + p, a_3^{(p)} = 1 + p + p^2, \ldots \)

\( -N^* = \cup \left( [a_n^{(p)}, a_{n+1}^{(p)}] \cap N^* \right) \) where \( [a_n^{(p)}, a_{n+1}^{(p)}] \cap N^* \)
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\[ \cap (a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}) = \emptyset \]

because \( a_n^{(p)} < a_{n+1}^{(p)} < a_{n+2}^{(p)} \).

Let \( k \in N^* \), \( N^* = \bigcup \left( \left[ a_n^{(p)}, a_{n+1}^{(p)} \right) \cap N^* \right) = \exists n_1, \in N^*: k \in \varepsilon \left[ a_{n_1}^{(p)}, a_{n_1+1}^{(p)} \right) - k \) is uniquely written under

the shape \( k = \left[ \frac{k}{a_n^{(p)}} \right] a_n^{(p)} + r_1 \) (integer division theorem).

We note \( \left[ \frac{k}{a_n^{(p)}} \right] = t_1 - k = t_1 a_n^{(p)} + r_1, r_1 < a_n^{(p)} \).

If \( r_1 = 0 \), as \( a_n^{(p)} \leq k \leq a_{n+1}^{(p)} - 1 \), \( 1 \leq t_1 \leq p \) and Lemma 1 is proved.

If \( r_1 = 0 \) \( \exists n_2 \in N^*: r_1 \in \varepsilon \left[ a_{n_2}^{(p)}, a_{n_2+1}^{(p)} \right); \)

\( a_{n_1}^{(p)} > r_1 - n_1 > n_2, r_1 = 0 \) and \( a_{n_1}^{(p)} \leq k \leq a_{n_1+1}^{(p)} - 1 \), \( 1 \leq t_1 \leq p - 1 \) because we have \( t_1 \leq (a_{n_1+1}^{(p)} - 1 - r_1) : a_n^{(p)} < p \).

The procedure continues similarly. After a finite number of steps \( \ell \), we achieve \( r_\ell = 0 \), as \( k = \) finite, \( k \in N^* \).
and \( k > r_1 > r_2 > \ldots > r_\ell = 0 \) and between 0 and \( k \) there is only a finite number of distinct natural numbers.

Thus:

\[ k \text{ is uniquely written: } k = t_1 a_{n_1}^{(p)} + r_1, \quad 1 \leq t_1 \leq p - 1, \]

\[ r_1 \text{ is uniquely written: } r_1 = t_2 a_{n_2}^{(p)} + r_2, \quad n_2 < n_1, \]

\[ 1 \leq t_2 \leq p - 1, \]

\[ r_{\ell-1} \text{ is uniquely written: } r_{\ell-1} = t_\ell a_{n_{\ell-1}}^{(p)} + r_\ell \text{ and } r_\ell = 0, \]

\[ n_\ell < n_{\ell-1}, \quad 1 \leq t_\ell \leq p, \]

- \( k \) is uniquely written under the shape \( k = t_1 a_{n_1}^{(p)} + \ldots + \]

\[ + \ldots + t_\ell a_{n_{\ell}}^{(p)} \]

with \( n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_\ell > 0; \ n_\ell > 0 \) because \( n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ 1 \leq t_j \leq \]

\[ \leq p - 1, \ j = 1, \ell-1, \ 1 \leq t_\ell \leq p, \ \ell \geq 1. \]

Let \( k \in \mathbb{N}^* \), \( k = t_1 a_{n_1}^{(p)} + \ldots + t_\ell a_{n_{\ell}}^{(p)} \) with \( a_{n_{\ell}}^{(p)} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} (p-1)_{n_j}}{(p-1)_{n_{\ell}}} \).
i = \overline{1, \ell}, \ell \geq 1, n_1, r_i \in \mathbb{N}^*, i = \overline{1, \ell}, n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_{\ell} >

1 \leq t_i \leq p - 1, j = \overline{1, \ell - 1}, 1 \leq t_j \leq p.

I construct the function \( \eta_p, p = \text{prime} > 0, \eta_p: \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \) thus:

\[ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^* \quad \eta_p(a_n^{(p)}) = p^n, \]

\[ \eta_p(t_1 a_n^{(p)} + \ldots + t_{\ell} a_{n_{\ell}}^{(p)}) = t_1 \eta_p(a_{n_1}^{(p)}) + \ldots + t_{\ell} \eta_p(a_{n_{\ell}}^{(p)}). \]

NOTE 1. The function \( \eta_p \) is well defined for each natural number.

Proof

LEMMA 2. \( \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^* - k \) is uniquely written as \( k = t_1 a_n^{(p)} + \ldots + t_{\ell} a_{n_{\ell}}^{(p)} \) with the conditions from Lemma 1 - \( \exists! t_i p^n_i + \ldots + t_{\ell} p^{n_{\ell}} = \eta_p(t_1 a_n^{(p)} + \ldots + t_{\ell} a_{n_{\ell}}^{(p)}) \) and \( t_1 p^n_1 + \ldots + t_{\ell} p^{n_{\ell}} \in \mathbb{N}^*. \)
Lema 2. \( \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^* \), \( \forall p \in \mathbb{N} \), \( p = \text{prime} - k = t_1a_n^{(2)} + \ldots + t_la_n^{(2)} \) with the conditions from Lemma 2 - \( \eta_p(k) = t_1p^{n_1} + \ldots + t_lp^{n_l} \).

It is known that \( \left[ \frac{a_1 + \ldots + a_n}{b} \right] \geq \left[ \frac{a_1}{b} \right] + \ldots + \left[ \frac{a_n}{b} \right] \) for all \( a_i, b \in \mathbb{N}^* \) where through \( [\alpha] \) we have written the integer side of the number \( \alpha \). I shall prove that \( p \)'s powers sum from the natural numbers which make up the result factors \( (t_1p^{n_1} + \ldots + t_lp^{n_l})! \) is \( \geq k \):

\[
\left[ \frac{t_1p^{n_1}}{p} \right] + \ldots + \left[ \frac{t_lp^{n_l}}{p} \right] = t_1p^{n_1-1} + \ldots + t_lp^{n_l-1}
\]

\[
\left[ \frac{t_1p^{n_1}}{p^n} \right] + \ldots + \left[ \frac{t_lp^{n_l}}{p^n} \right] = t_1p^{n_1-n_l} + \ldots + t LP^0
\]
\[ \frac{t_1^n p - \ldots - t_\zeta^n p}{p^{n_\zeta}} \geq \left[ \frac{t_1 p}{p^{n_1}} \right] + \ldots + \left[ \frac{t_\zeta p}{p^{n_\zeta}} \right] = \frac{t_1 p^3}{p} + \ldots + \left[ \frac{t_\zeta p}{p^{n_\zeta}} \right] . \]

Adding \( p \)'s powers sum is \( \geq t_1 (p^{n_1 - 1} + \ldots + p^0) + \ldots + t_\zeta (p^{n_\zeta - 1} + \ldots + p^0) = t_1 a_1^{(p)} + \ldots + t_\zeta a_\zeta^{(p)} = k . \)

**Theorem 1.** The function \( n_p, p \) prime, defined previously, has the following properties:

1. \( \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*, (n_p(k))! = \mathbb{M}_p^k . \)
2. \( n_p(k) \) is the smallest number with the property (1).

**Proof**

1. Results from Lemma 3.

2. \( \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^*, p \geq 2 - k = t_1 a_1^{(p)} + \ldots + t_\zeta a_\zeta^{(p)} \)

(by Lemma 2) is uniquely written, where:
Let us prove that $z$ is the smallest natural number with the property (1). I suppose by the method of reductio ad absurdum that $\exists \gamma \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma < z$:

\[ \gamma! = \gamma \cdot \gamma^{k}; \]
\[ \gamma < z - \gamma \leq z - 1 = (z - 1)! = \gamma \cdot \gamma^{k}. \]

\[ z - 1 = t_{1}p^{n_{1}} + \ldots + t_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{n_{\ell}} - 1; n_{1} > n_{2} > \ldots > n_{\ell} \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \]
\[ n_{j} \in \mathbb{N}, j = 1, \ell; \]

\[ \frac{z-1}{p} = t_{1}p^{n_{1}-1} + \ldots + t_{\ell} p_{\ell}^{n_{\ell}-1} + t_{\ell} p^{n_{\ell}-1} - 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \left[ \frac{-1}{p} \right] = -1 \]

because $p \geq 2$,
\[
\frac{z-1}{n_t} = t_1 p^{n_1-n_t} + \ldots + t_{\ell-1} p^{n_{\ell-1}-n_t} + t_\ell p^0 - 1 \quad \text{as} \quad \frac{-1}{n_t} = -1
\]
as \( p \geq 2, \ n_t \geq 1 \),

\[
\frac{z-1}{n_{\ell+1}} = t_1 p^{n_1-n_{\ell+1}} + \ldots + t_{\ell-1} p^{n_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell+1}} + \left( \frac{t_\ell p^{n_\ell-1}}{n_{\ell+1}} \right) =
\]

\[
= t_1 p^{n_1-n_{\ell+1}} + \ldots + t_{\ell-1} p^{n_{\ell-1}-n_{\ell+1}} \quad \text{because}
\]

\( 0 < t_\ell p^{n_\ell-1} \leq p \cdot p^{n_\ell-1} - 1 < p^{n_{\ell+1}} \) as \( t_\ell < p \);

\[
\frac{z-1}{n_{\ell+1}} = t_1 p^{n_1-n_{\ell+1}} + \ldots + t_{\ell-1} p^0 + \left( \frac{t_\ell p^{n_\ell-1}}{n_{\ell+1}} \right) = t_1 p^{n_1-n_{\ell+1}} +
\]

\[+ \ldots + t_{\ell-1} p^0 \quad \text{as} \quad n_{\ell+1} > n_\ell ,
\]

\[
\frac{z-1}{n_1} = t_1 p^0 + \left[ \frac{t_2 p^{n_2} + \ldots + t_\ell p^{n_\ell-1}}{n_1} \right] = t_1 p^0 .
\]

Because \( 0 < t_2 p^{n_2} + \ldots + t_\ell p^{n_\ell-1} \leq (p-1) p^{n_2} + \ldots + \)
\[ \begin{align*}
&+ (p-1) p^{n_i-1} + p \cdot p^{n_i} - 1 \leq (p-1) \sum_{i=n_{\ell-1}}^{n_i} p^i + p^{n_{\ell+1}} - 1 \leq \\
&\leq (p-1) \frac{p^{n_{\ell+1}}}{p-1} = p^{n_{\ell+1}} - 1 < p^{n_{\ell}} - 1 < p^{n_i} - 1 < p^{n_i} - 1 \\
&- \left( \frac{t_{\ell} p^{n_{\ell}} + \ldots + t_{n_i} p^{n_i} - 1}{p^{n_i}} \right) = 0
\end{align*} \]

\[
\left[ \frac{z-1}{n_i+1} \right] = \left[ \frac{t_i p^{n_i} + \ldots + t_{\ell} p^{n_{\ell}} - 1}{p^{n_{\ell}}+1} \right] = 0 \text{ because:}
\]

\[0 < t_i p^{n_i} + \ldots + t_{\ell} p^{n_{\ell}} - 1 < p^{n_{\ell+1}} - 1 < p^{n_i} - 1 \] according to a reasoning similar to the previous one.

Adding \(-p\)'s powers sum in the natural numbers which make up the product factors \((z-1)!\) is:

\[t_i \left( p^{n_i-1} + \ldots + p^0 \right) + \ldots + t_{\ell-1} \left( p^{n_{\ell-1}-1} + \ldots + p^0 \right) +
\]

\[t_{\ell} \left( p^{n_{\ell}-1} + \ldots + p^0 \right) - 1 \cdot n_\ell = k - n_\ell < k - 1 < k \text{ because} \]
\[ n_i > 1 - (z-1)! = \cdot p^k, \text{ this contradicts the supposition made.} \]

- \( \eta_p(k) \) is the smallest natural number with the property \( (\eta_p(k))! = \cdot p^k \).

I construct a new function \( \eta: \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \) defined as follows:

\[
\eta(n) =
\begin{cases}
0, & \text{if } n = \pm 1, \\
\max_{i=1}^{s} \{ \eta(\alpha_i) \}, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

\( \forall n = \varepsilon p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s} \text{ with } \varepsilon = \pm 1, p_i \text{ prime}, \)

\( p_i \neq p_j \text{ for } i \neq j, \alpha_i > 1, i = 1, s, \eta(n) = \max_{i=1}^{s} \{ \eta(\alpha_i) \}. \)

NOTE 2. \( \eta \) is well defined and defined overall.

Proof

(a) \( \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0, n \neq \pm 1, n \) is uniquely written, independent of the order of the factors, under the shape of

\( n = \varepsilon p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_s^{\alpha_s} \text{ with } \varepsilon = \pm 1 \text{ where } p_i \text{ is prime, } p_i \neq p_j, \alpha_i \geq 1 \) (decompose into prime factors in \( \mathbb{Z} = \text{factorial ring} \)).

- \( \exists! \eta(n) = \max_{1, s} \{ \eta(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \} \) as \( s \) finite and \( \eta(p_i^{\alpha_i}) \in \mathbb{N}^* \)
and \( \exists \, \max_{i=1,s} (\eta_{p_i}(\alpha_i)) \)

(b) \( n = 1 - 2^k \) \( \Rightarrow \eta(n) = 0. \)

THEOREM 2. The function \( \eta \) previously defined has the following properties:

1. \( (\eta(n)) = \eta(n) \), \( \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \);

2. \( \eta(n) \) is the smallest natural number with this property.

Proof

(a) \( \eta(n) = \max_{i=1,s} (\eta_{p_i}(\alpha_i)), n = \epsilon \cdot p_1 \cdots p_s, \)

(b) \( n = 1 \),

\( (\eta_{p_1}(\alpha_1))! = \eta_{p_1}, \)

\( \vdots \)

\( (\eta_{p_s}(\alpha_s))! = \eta_{p_s}. \)

Supposing \( \max_{i=1,s} (\eta_{p_i}(\alpha_i)) = \eta_{p_1} \cdots (\alpha_i) \cdots (\eta_{p_s}(\alpha_s))! = \)

\( = \eta_{p_1} \cdots \eta_{p_s} \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and because \( (p_i, p_j) = 1, i = j, \)
- \( (\eta_{p_i \alpha_{i_0}})^! = \prod_{j=1}^{s} p_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}, \ j = 1, s \).

- \( (\eta_{p_i \alpha_{i_0}})^! = \prod_{i=1}^{s} p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \).

(b) \( n = \pm 1 - \eta(n) = 0; \ O! = 1, \ 1 = \eta e \cdot 1 = \eta n. \)

(2) (a) \( n = \pm 1 - n = \epsilon p_{i}^{\alpha_{i}} \cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}} - \eta(n) = \max_{i=1}^{s} \frac{\eta}{p_{i}} \).

Let \( \max_{i=1,s} (\eta_{p_{i} \alpha_{i}}) = \eta_{p_{i_0} \alpha_{i_0}} , \ l \leq i \leq s ; \)

\( \eta_{p_{i_0} \alpha_{i_0}} \) is the smallest natural number with the property:

\( (\eta_{p_{i_0} \alpha_{i_0}})^! = \prod_{i_0}^{\alpha_{i_0}} - \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{N} , \gamma < \eta_{p_{i_0} \alpha_{i_0}} - \gamma ! = \prod_{i_0}^{\alpha_{i_0}} - \gamma ! = \prod \epsilon p_{i_0}^{\alpha_{i_0}} \cdots p_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} \cdots p_{s}^{\alpha_{s}} = \eta n \).

\( \eta_{p_{i_0} \alpha_{i_0}} \) is the smallest natural number with the property.

(b) \( n = \pm 1 - \eta(n) = 0 \) and it is the smallest natural number \(- 0 \) is the smallest natural number with the property \( O! = \eta (\pm 1) \).
NOTE 1. The functions $\eta_p$ are increasing, not injective, on $N^* - (p^k \mid k = 1, 2, \ldots)$ they are surjective.

The function $\eta$ is increasing, it is not injective, it is surjective on $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \to N \setminus \{1\}$.

CONSEQUENCE. Let $n \in N^*$, $n > 4$. Then
\[ n = \text{prime} \Rightarrow \eta(n) = n. \]

Proof
"−" \[ n = \text{prime and } n \geq 5 \Rightarrow \eta(n) = \eta_n(1) = n. \]
"−" \[ \Rightarrow \]

Let $\eta(n) = n$ and suppose by absurd that $n \neq \text{prime} -$

(a) or $n = p_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots p_s^{\alpha_s}$ with $s \geq 2, \alpha_i \in N^*, i = 1, s$,

\[ \eta(n) = \max_{i=1,s} (\eta_{p_i}(\alpha_i)) = \eta_{p_{i_0}}(\alpha_{i_0}) < \alpha_{i_0} p_{i_0} < n \]

contradicts the assumption; or

(b) $n = p_1^{\alpha_1}$ with $\alpha_1 \geq 2 \Rightarrow \eta(n) = \eta_{p_1}(\alpha_1) \leq p_1 \cdot \alpha_1 < p_1 = n$

because $\alpha_1 \geq 2$ and $n > 4$ and it contradicts the hypothesis.

Application

1. Find the smallest natural number with the property:

\[ n! = \mathbb{M} (\pm 2^{31} \cdot 3^{27} \cdot 7^{13}). \]
Solution

\[ \eta(\pm 2^{3^2} \cdot 3^{27} \cdot 7^{13}) = \max (\eta_2(31), \eta_3(27), \eta_7(13)) \].

Let us calculate \( \eta_2(31) \); we make the string \((a_n^{(2)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \)

= 1, 3, 7, 15, 31, 63, ...

\[ 31 = 1 \cdot 31 - \eta_2(31) = \eta_2(1 \cdot 31) = 1 \cdot 2^5 = 32. \]

Let's calculate \( \eta_3(27) \) making the string \((a_n^{(3)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \)

= 1, 4, 13, 40, ...; \[ 27 = 2 \cdot 13 + 1 - \eta_3(27) = \eta_3(2 \cdot 13 - 1 \cdot 1) = \]

= 2 \cdot \eta_3(13) + 1 \cdot \eta_3(1) = 2 \cdot 3^3 + 1 \cdot 3^1 = 54 + 3 = 57.

Let's calculate \( \eta_7(13) \) making the string \((a_n^{(7)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \)

= 1, 8, 57, ...; \[ 13 = 1 \cdot 8 + 5 \cdot 1 - \eta_7(13) = 1 \cdot \eta_7(8) + 5 \cdot \eta_7(1) = \]

= 1 \cdot 7^2 + 5 \cdot 7^1 = 49 + 35 = 84 - \eta(\pm 2^{3^2} \cdot 3^{27} \cdot 7^{13}) = \max (32, 57, 84) = 84 - 84! = \frac{1}{1} + (\pm 2^{3^2} \cdot 3^{27} \cdot 7^{13}) \text{ and } 84 \text{ is the smallest number with this property.}

2. Which are the numbers with the factorial ending in 1000 zeros?

Solution

\[ n = 10^{1000} \), \eta(n)! = \mathcal{M}10^{1000} \text{ and it is the smallest number with this property.} \]

\[ \eta(10^{1000}) = \eta(2^{1000} \cdot 5^{1000}) = \max \cdot (\eta_2(1000), \eta_5(1000)) = \]

= \eta_3(1000) = \eta_3(1.781 + 1.156 + 2 \cdot 31 + 1) = 1 \cdot 5^5 + 1 \cdot 5^4 +
+ 2 \cdot 5^3 + 1 \cdot 3^7 = 4005, 4005 is the smallest number with this property. 4006, 4007, 4008, 4009 verify the property but 4010 does not because 4010! = 4009! 4010 has 1001 zeros.

Florentin Smarandache
University of Craiova

Nature Science Faculty

AN INFINITY OF UNSOLVED PROBLEMS CONCERNING
A FUNCTION IN THE NUMBER THEORY

§1. Abstract

W. Sierpiński has asserted to an international conference that if mankind lasted for ever and numbered the unsolved problems, then in the long run all these unsolved problems would be solved.

The purpose of our paper is that making an infinite number of unsolved problems to prove his supposition is not true. Moreover, the author considers the unsolved problems proposed in this paper can never be all solved.

Every period of time has its unsolved problems which were not previously recommended until recent progress. Number of new unsolved problems are exponentially increasing in comparison with ancient unsolved ones which are solved at present. Research into one unsolved problem may produce many new interesting problems. The reader is invited to exhibit his works about them.

§2. Introduction

We have constructed (*) a function \( \eta \) which associates to each non-null integer \( n \) the smallest positive integer \( m \) such that \( m! \) is a multiple of \( n \). Thus, if \( n \) has the standard form:
\[ n = \prod_{i=1}^{r} a_i, \] with all \( p_i \) distinct primes, all \( a_i \in \mathbb{N}^* \), and \( \varepsilon = \pm 1 \), then \( \eta(n) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq r} (\eta_p(a_i)) \), and \( \eta(\pm 1) = 0 \).

Now, we define the \( \eta_p \) functions: let \( p \) be a prime and \( a \in \mathbb{N}^* \); then \( \eta_p(a) \) is the smallest positive integer \( b \) such that \( b! \) is a multiple of \( p^a \). Constructing the sequence:

\[ \alpha_k^{(p)} = \frac{p^k - 1}{p - 1}, k = 1, 2, \ldots \]

we have \( \eta_p(\alpha_k^{(p)}) = p^k \), for all prime \( p \), and all \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \). Because any \( a \in \mathbb{N}^* \) is uniquely written in the form:

\[ a = t_1\alpha_1^{(p)} + \ldots + t_e\alpha_e^{(p)} \]

where \( n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_e > 0 \), and \( 1 \leq t_j \leq p - 1 \) for \( j = 0, 1, \ldots, e - 1 \), and \( 1 \leq t_e \leq p \), with all \( n_i, t_i \) from \( \mathbb{N} \), the author proved that

\[ \eta_p(a) = \sum_{i=1}^{e} t_i \eta_p(\alpha_i^{(p)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{e} t_i p^{n_i}. \]

§3. Some Properties of the Function \( \eta \)

Clearly, the function \( \eta \) is even: \( \eta(-n) = \eta(n) \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^* \). If \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \) we have:
\[
\frac{-1}{(n-1)!} \leq \frac{\eta(n)}{n} \leq 1 ,
\]

and: \( \frac{\eta(n)}{n} \) is maximum if and only if \( n \) is prime or \( n = 4 \);

\( \frac{\eta(n)}{n} \) is minimum if and only if \( n = k! \).

Clearly \( \eta \) is not a periodical function. For \( p \) prime, the functions \( \eta_p \) are increasing, not injective but on \( N^* = \{ p^k | k = 1, 2, \ldots \} \) they are surjective. From (1) we find that \( \eta = o(n^{1-\epsilon}) \), \( \epsilon > 0 \), and \( \eta = O(n) \).

The function \( \eta \) is generally increasing on \( N^* \), that is:

\((\forall) n \in N^*, (\exists) m_0 \in N^*, m_0 = m_0(n), \text{ such that for all } m \geq m_0 \text{ we have } \eta(m) \geq \eta(n) \) (and generally decreasing on \( Z^* \)); it is not injective, but it is surjective on \( Z^*(0) = N^*(1) \).

The number \( n \) is called a barrier for a number-theoretic function \( f(m) \) if, for all \( m < n \), \( m + f(m) \leq n \) (P. Erdős and J. L. Selfridge). Does \( \epsilon \eta(m) \) have infinitely many barriers, with \( 0 < \epsilon \leq 1 \)? [No, because there is a \( m_0 \in N \) such that for all \( n - 1 \geq m_0 \) we have \( \eta(n-1) \geq 2 \geq \eta \) (\( \eta \) is generally increasing), whence \( n - 1 + \epsilon \eta(n-1) \geq \frac{\sum_{n \geq 2} 1/\eta(n)}{n} \) is divergent, because \( 1/\eta(n) \geq 1/n \).
\[ a_m^{(2)} = 2^m - 1, \text{ where } m = 2 \]

\[ \eta \left( \frac{2^m}{k} \right) = \eta \left( \frac{2^m}{k} \right) = \eta \left( 1 + a_m^{(2)} \right) = \eta_2 (1) + \eta_2 (a_m^{(2)}) = \]

\[ = 2 + 2^m. \]

§4. **Glossary of Symbols and Notions**

A-sequence: an integer sequence \( 1 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \ldots \) so that no \( a_i \) is the sum of distinct members of the sequence other than \( a_i \) (R. K. Guy);

Average Order: if \( f(n) \) is an arithmetical function and \( g(n) \) is any simple function of \( n \) such that

\[ f(1) + \ldots + f(n) - g(1) + \ldots + g(n) \]

we say that \( f(n) \) is of the average order of \( g(n) \);

\[ d(x): \] number of positive divisors of \( x \);

\[ d_x: \] difference between two consecutive primes:

\[ p_{x+1} - p_x \]

Dirichlet Series: a series of the form

\[ F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s}, \quad s \]

may be real or complex;
Generating Function:

Any function $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n u_n(s)$ is considered as a generating function of $\alpha_n$; the most usual form of $u_n(s)$ is:

$$u_n(s) = e^{-\lambda_n s},$$

where $\lambda_n$ is a sequence of positive numbers which increases steadily to infinity;

Log $x$:

Napierian logarithm of $x$, to base $e$;

Normal Order:

$f(n)$ has the normal order $F(n)$ if $f(n)$ is approximately $F(n)$ for almost all values of $n$, i.e. $(\exists), (\forall) \varepsilon > 0, (1 - \varepsilon)$.

$Lipschitz-Condition$:

A function $f$ verifies the Lipschitz-condition of order $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ if

$$(\exists) k > 0: |f(x) - f(y)| \leq k |x - y|^\alpha;$$

if $\alpha = 1$, $f$ is called a $k$ Lipschitz-function;

if $k < 1$, $f$ is called a contractant function;

Multiplicative Function:

A function $f: N^* \rightarrow C$ for which $f(1) = 1$, and $f(m \cdot n) = f(m) \cdot f(n)$ when $(m, n) = 1$;

$p(x)$:

Largest prime factor of $x$;
Uniformly Distributed: a set of points in \((a, b)\) is uniformly distributed if every sub-interval of \((a, b)\) contains its proper quota of points;

Incongruent Roots: two integers \(x, y\) which satisfy the congruence \(f(x) \equiv f(y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}\) and so that \(x \equiv y \pmod{m}\);

s-additive sequence: a sequence of the form: \(a_1 = \ldots = a_s = 1\) and \(a_{n+1} = a_{n+1} + \ldots + a_{n+s}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\) (R. Queneau);

\(s(n)\): sum of aliquot parts (divisors of \(n\) other than \(n\)) of \(n\); \(\sigma(n) - n\);

\(s^k(n)\): \(k^{th}\) iterate of \(s(n)\);

\(s^*(n)\): sum of unitary aliquot parts of \(n\);

\(r_k(n)\): least number of numbers not exceeding \(n\), which must contain a \(k\)-term arithmetic progression;

\(\pi(x)\): number of primes not exceeding \(x\);

\(\pi(x; a, b)\): number of primes not exceeding \(x\) and congruent to \(a, \text{modulo } b\);

\(\sigma(n)\): sum of divisors of \(n\); \(\sigma_1(n)\);

\(\sigma_k(n)\): sum of \(k^{th}\) powers of divisors of \(n\);

\(\sigma^k(n)\): \(k^{th}\) iterate of \(\sigma(n)\);

\(\sigma^*(n)\): sum of unitary divisors of \(n\);
\( \phi(n) : \) Euler's totient function; number of numbers not exceeding \( n \) and prime to \( n \);

\( \phi^k(n) : \) \( k \)-th iterate of \( \phi(n) \);

\( \omega(n) : \) \( n \prod (1 - p^{-1}) \), where the product is taken over the distinct prime divisors of \( n \);

\( \Omega(n) : \) number of prime factors of \( n \), counting repetitions;

\( \omega(n) : \) number of distinct prime factors of \( n \);

\( \lfloor a \rfloor : \) floor of \( a \); greatest integer not greater than \( a \);

\( (m, n) : \) g.c.d. (greatest common divisor) of \( m \) and \( n \);

\( [m, n] : \) l.c.d. (least common multiple) of \( m \) and \( n \);

\( |f| : \) modulus or absolute value of \( f \);

\( f(x) - g(x) : \) \( f(x)/g(x) \to 1 \) as \( x \to \infty \); \( f \) is asymptotic to \( g \);

\( f(x) = o\left(g(x)\right) : \) \( f(x)/g(x) \to 0 \) as \( x \to \infty \);

\( f(x) = O\left(g(x)\right) : \) \( f(x) \leq cg(x) \) for any \( x \);

\( f(x) \ll g(x) : \) there is a constant \( c \) such that \( |f(x)| < cg(x) \), for any \( x \);

\( \Gamma(x) : \) Euler's function of first case (gamma function); \( \Gamma : \mathbb{R}^* \to \mathbb{R}, \Gamma(x) = \int e^{-t} t^{x-1} dt \). We have \( \Gamma(x+1) = x \Gamma(x) \). If \( x \in \mathbb{N}^* \), \( \Gamma(x) = (x - 1)! \).
$\beta(x)$: Euler's function of second degree (beta function); $\beta : \mathbb{R}^* \times \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$

$$\beta(u, v) = \frac{\Gamma(u) \Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(u + v)} = \int_0^1 t^{u-1} (1 - t)^{v-1} \, dt;$$

$\mu(x)$: Möbius' function; $\mu : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ $\mu(1) = 1;\mu(n) = (-1)^k$ if $n$ is the product of $k > 1$ distinct primes; $\mu(n) = 0$ in all other cases;

$\theta(x)$: Tchebycheff $\theta$-function; $\theta : \mathbb{R}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$

$$\theta(x) = \sum \log p$$

where the summation is taken over all primes $p$ not exceeding $x$;

$\pi(x)$: Tchebycheff's $\pi$-function; $\pi(x) =$

$$= \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n), \text{ with}$$

$$\Lambda(n) = \begin{cases} \log p, & \text{if } n \text{ is an integer power of the prime } p; \\ 0, & \text{in all other cases.} \end{cases}$$

This glossary can be continued with OTHER (ARITHMETICAL) FUNCTIONS.

§5. General Unsolved Problems Concerning the Function $\eta$

(1) Is there a closed expression for $\eta(n)$?

(2) Is there a good asymptotic expression for $\eta(n)$? (If yes, find it.)
(3) For a fixed non-null integer \( m \), does \( \eta(n) \) divide \( n-m \)? (Particularly when \( m = 1 \).) Of course, for \( m = 0 \) it is trivial: we find \( n = k! \), or \( n \) is a squarefree, etc.

(4) Is \( \eta \) an algebraic function? (If no, is there the max Card \( (n \in \mathbb{Z}^* \mid (\exists) \ p \in R \ [x, y], \ p \) non-null polynomial, with \( p(n, \eta(n)) = 0 \) for all these \( n \)?) More generally we introduce the notion: \( g \) is a \( f \)-function if \( f(x, g(x)) = 0 \) for all \( x \), and \( f \in R \ [x, y], \ f \) non-null. Is \( \eta \) a \( f \)-function? (If no, is there the max Card \( (n \in \mathbb{Z}^* \mid (\exists) \ f \in R \ [x, y], \ f \) non-null, \( f(n, \eta(n)) = 0 \) for all these \( n \)?)

(5) Let \( A \) be a set of consecutive integers from \( \mathbb{N}^* \). Find max Card \( A \) for which \( \eta \) is monotonous. For example, Card \( A \geq 5 \), because for \( A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \) \( \eta \) is 0, 2, 3, 4, 5, respectively.

(6) A number is called an \( n \)-algebraic number of degree \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \) if it is a root of the polynomial

\[
p_n(x) = \eta(n) x^n + \eta(n-1) x^{n-1} + \ldots + \eta(1) x^1 = 0.
\]

An \( n \)-algebraic field \( \mathbb{M} \) is the aggregate of all numbers

\[
R_n(u) = \frac{A(u)}{B(u)},
\]

where \( u \) is a given \( n \)-algebraic number, and \( A(u), B(u) \) are polynomials in \( u \) of the form (p) with \( B(u) \neq 0 \). Study \( \mathbb{M} \).

(7) Are the points \( p_n = \eta(n)/n \) uniformly distributed in the interval \( (0, 1) \)?

(8) Is \( 0.0234537465114\ldots \), where the sequence of digits is \( \eta(n) \), \( n \geq 1 \), an irrational number?
Is it possible to represent all integer $n$ under the form:

(9) $n = \pm \eta(a_1) \pm \eta(a_2) \pm \ldots \pm \eta(a_k)$, where the integers $k$, $a_1$, ..., $a_k$, and the signs are conveniently chosen?

(10) But as $n = \pm a_1 \pm \ldots \pm a_k$?

(11) But as $n = \pm a_1 \pm a_2 \pm \ldots \pm a_k$?

* Find the smallest $k$ for which: $(\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ at least one of the numbers $\eta(n)$, $\eta(n + 1)$, ..., $\eta(n + k - 1)$ is:

(12) A perfect square.

(13) A divisor of $k^n$.

(14) A multiple of a fixed nonzero integer $p$.

(15) A factorial of a positive integer.

* Find a general form of the continued fraction expansion of $\eta(n)/n$, for all $n \geq 2$.

(17) Are there integers $m$, $n$, $p$, $q$, with $m \neq n$ or $p \neq q$, for which: $\eta(m) + \eta(m + 1) + \ldots + \eta(m + p) = \eta(n) + \eta(n + 1) + \ldots + \eta(n + q)$?

(18) Are there integers $m$, $n$, $p$, $k$ with $m \neq n$ and $p > 0$, such that:

$$\frac{\eta(m)^2 + \eta(m + 1)^2 + \ldots + \eta(m + p)^2}{\eta(n)^2 + \eta(n + 1)^2 + \ldots + \eta(n + p)^2} = k$$

(19) How many primes have the form:
for a fixed integer k? For example:

\[ \eta(2) \eta(3) = 23, \eta(5) \eta(6) = 53 \text{ are primes.} \]

(20) Prove that \( \eta(x^n) + \eta(y^n) = \eta(z^n) \) has an infinity of integer solutions, for any \( n \geq 1 \). Look, for example, at the solution \((5, 7, 2048)\) when \( n = 3 \). (On Fermat's last theorem.) More generally: the diophantine equation \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta(x_i^s) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \eta(y_j^s) \) has an infinite number of solutions.

(21) Are there \( m, n, k \) non-null positive integers, \( m = 1 \times n \), for which \( \eta(m \cdot n) = m^k \cdot \eta(n) \)? Clearly, \( \eta \) is not homogenous to degree \( k \).

(22) Is it possible to find two distinct numbers \( k, n \) for which \( \log \frac{\eta(n^k)}{\eta(k^n)} \) be an integer? (The base is \( \eta(k^n) \).)

(23) Let the congruence be: \( h_\eta(x) = c_n x^{\eta(n)} + \ldots + c_1 \cdot x^{\eta(1)} \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \). How many incongruent roots has \( h_\eta \), for some given constant integers \( n, c_1, \ldots, c_n \)?

(24) We know that \( e^s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \). Calculate 
\[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{\eta(n)!} \text{ and eventually some of their properties.} \]

(25) Find the average order of \( \eta(n) \).

(26) Find some \( u_n(s) \) for which \( F(s) \) be a generating function of \( \eta(n) \), and \( F(s) \) have at all a simple form.
Particularly, calculate Dirichlet series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \eta(n)/n^s$, with $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (or $s \in \mathbb{C}$).

(27) Does $\eta(n)$ have a normal order?

(28) We know that Euler's constant is

$$\gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \ldots + \frac{1}{n} - \log n \right).$$

Is $\lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{\eta(k)} - \log \eta(n) \right]$ a constant? If yes, find it.

(29) Is there an $m$ for which $\eta'(m) = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\infty)$ such that the numbers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_\infty$ can constitute a matrix of $p$ rows and $q$ columns with the sum of elements on each row and each column is constant? Particularly when the matrix is square.

* 

(30) Let $(x_n^{(s)})_{n \geq 1}$ be a $s$-additive sequence. Is it possible to have $\eta(x_n^{(s)}) = x_n^{(s)}$, $n = m$? But $x_{\eta(n)}^{(s)} = \eta(x_n^{(s)})$?

(31) Does $\eta$ verify a Lipschitz Condition?

(32) Is $\eta$ a $k$-Lipschitz Condition?

(33) Is $\eta$ a contractant function?
(34) Is it possible to construct an $A$-sequence $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ such that $\eta(a_1), \ldots, \eta(a_n)$ be an $A$-sequence, too? Yes, for example 2, 3, 7, 31, \ldots Find such an infinite sequence.

* Find the greatest $n$ such that: if $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ constitute a $p$-sequence then $\eta(a_1), \ldots, \eta(a_n)$ constitute a $p$-sequence, too; where a $p$-sequence means:

(35) Arithmetical progression.

(36) Geometrical progression.

(37) A complete system of modulo $n$ residues.

Remark: let $p$ be a prime, and $p, p^2, \ldots, p^p$ a geometrical progression, then $\eta(p^i) = ip$, $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$, constitute an arithmetical progression of length $p$. In this case $n = \infty$.

(38) Let's use the sequence $a_n = \eta(n)$, $n \geq 1$. Is there a recurring relation of the form $a_n = f(a_{n-1}, a_{n-2}, \ldots)$ for any $n$?

(39) Are there blocks of consecutive composite numbers $m + 1, \ldots, m + n$ such that $\eta(m + 1), \ldots, \eta(m + n)$ be composite numbers, too? Find the greatest $n$.

(40) Find the number of partitions of $n$ as sum of $\eta(m)$, $2 < m \leq n$.

MORE UNSOLVED GENERAL PROBLEMS CONCERNING THE FUNCTION $\eta$
§6. Unsolved Problems Concerning the Function $\eta$ and Using the Number Sequences

41-2065) Are there non-null and non-prime integers $a_1$, $a_2$, ..., $a_n$ in the relation R, so that $\eta(a_1)$, $\eta(a_2)$, ..., $\eta(a_n)$ be in the relation R? Find the greatest $n$ with this property. (Of course, all $a_i$ are distinct.) Where each P, R can represent one of the following number sequences:

1. Abundant numbers; $a \in N$ is abundant if $\sigma(a) > 2a$.
2. Almost perfect numbers; $a \in N$, $\sigma(a) = 2a - 1$.
3. Amicable numbers; in this case we take $n = 2$; a, b are called amicable if $a \cdot b$ and $\sigma(a) = \sigma(b) = a + b$.
4. Augmented amicable numbers; in this case $n = 2$; a, b are called augmented amicable if $\sigma(a) = \sigma(b) = a + b - 1$ (Walter E. Beck and Rudolph M. Najar).

5. Bell numbers: $b_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} S(n, k)$, where $S(n, k)$ are
stirling numbers of second case.

6. Bernoulli numbers (Jacques 1st): $B_n$, the coefficients of the development in integer sequence of

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = 1 - \frac{t}{2} + \frac{B_1}{2}t^2 - \frac{B_2}{4}t^4 + ... + (-1)^{n-1} \frac{B_n}{(2n)!}t^{2n} + ...,$$

for $0 < |t| < 2\pi$; (here we always take \(1/B_n\)).
(7) Catalan numbers: \( C_1 = 1, C_n = \frac{1}{n} \binom{2n-2}{n-1} \) for \( n \geq 2 \).

(8) Carmichael numbers: an odd composite number \( a \), which is a pseudoprime to base \( b \) for every \( b \) relatively prime to \( a \), is called a Carmichael number.

(9) Congruent numbers: let \( n = 3 \), and the numbers \( a, b, c \); we must have \( a \equiv b \pmod{c} \).

(10) Cullen numbers: \( C_n = n \cdot 2^n + 1, n \geq 0 \).

(11) \( C_1 \)-sequence of integers: the author introduced a sequence \( a_1, a_2, \ldots \) so that:

\[
\forall i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \exists j, k \in \mathbb{N}^*, j = i \cdot k = j, \quad a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{a_k}
\]

(12) \( C_2 \)-sequence of integers: the author defined other sequence \( a_1, a_2, \ldots \) so that:

\[
\forall i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \exists j, k \in \mathbb{N}^*, i = j \cdot k = i, \quad a_j \equiv a_k \pmod{a_i}
\]

(13) Deficient numbers: \( a \in \mathbb{N}^*, \sigma(a) < 2a \).

(14) Euler numbers: the coefficients \( E_n \) in the expansion of \( \sec x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \); here we will take \( |E_n| \).

(15) Fermat numbers: \( F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1, n \geq 0 \).

(16) Fibonacci numbers: \( f_1 = f_2 = 1, f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \), \( n \geq 3 \).

(17) Genocchi numbers: \( G_n = 2(2^{2^n} - 1) B_n \), where \( B_n \) are Bernoulli numbers; always \( G_n \in \mathbb{Z} \).
(18) Harmonic mean: in this case every member of the sequence is the harmonic mean of the preceding members.

(19) Harmonic numbers: a number \( n \) is called harmonic if the harmonic mean of all divisors of \( n \) is an integer (C. Pomerance).

(20) Heteromeous numbers: \( h_n = n(n+1), n \in \mathbb{N}^* \).

(21) \( k \)-hyperperfect numbers: \( a \) is \( k \)-hyperperfect if \( a = 1 + \sum d_i \), where the numeration is taken over all proper divisors, \( 1 < d_i < a \), or \( k \sigma(a) = (k+1) a + k - 1 \) (Daniel Minoli and Robert Bear).

(22) Kurepa numbers: \( !n = 0! + 1! + 2! + ... + + (n - 1)! \)

(23) Lucas numbers: \( L_1 = 1, L_2 = 3, L_n = L_{n-1} + L_{n-2}, n \geq 3 \).

(24) Lucky numbers: from the natural numbers strike out all even numbers, leaving the odd numbers; apart from 1, the first remaining number is 3; strike out every third member in the new sequence; the next member remaining is 7; strike out every seventh member in this sequence; next 9 remains; etc. (V. Gardiner, R: Lazarus, N. Metropolis, S. Ulam).

(25) Mersenne numbers: \( M_n = 2^n - 1 \).

(26) \( m \)-perfect numbers: \( a \) is \( m \)-perfect if \( \sigma^m(a) = 2a \) (D. Bode).

(27) Multiply perfect (or \( k \)-fold perfect) numbers: \( a \) is \( k \)-fold perfect if \( \sigma(a) = k a \).
(28) Perfect numbers: a is perfect if \( \sigma(a) = 2a \).

(29) Polygonal numbers (represented on the perimeter of a polygon): \( p_n^k = k(n - 1) \).

(30) Polygonal numbers (represented on the closed surface of a polygon): \( p_n^k = \frac{(k-2)n^2 - (k-4)n}{2} \).

(31) Primitive abundant numbers: a is primitive abundant if it is abundant, but none of its proper divisors are.

(32) Primitive pseudoperfect numbers: a is primitive pseudoperfect if it is pseudoperfect, but none of its proper divisors are.

(33) Pseudoperfect numbers: a is pseudoperfect if it is equal to the sum of some of its proper divisors (N. Sierpiński).

(34) Pseudoprime numbers to base b: a is pseudoprime to base b if a is an odd composite number for which \( b^{a-1} \equiv 1 \) (mod a) (C. Pomerance, J. L. Selfridge, S. Wagstaff).

(35) Pyramidal numbers: \( \pi_n = \frac{1}{6} n(n + 1)(n + 2) \), \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \).

(36) Pythagorean numbers: let \( n = 3 \) and \( a, b, c \) be integers; then it must have the relation: \( a^2 = b^2 + c^2 \).
(37) Quadratic residues of a fixed prime \( p \): the nonzero numbers \( r \) for which the congruence \( r \equiv x^2 \pmod{p} \) has solutions.

(38) Quasi perfect numbers; a is quasi perfect if \( \sigma(a) = 2a + 1 \).

(39) Reduced amicable numbers; we take \( n = 2 \); two integers \( a, b \) for which \( \sigma(a) = \sigma(b) = a + b + 1 \) are called reduced amicable numbers (Walter E. Beck and Rudolph M. Najar).

(40) Stirling numbers of first case: \( s(0, 0) = 1 \), and \( s(n, k) \) is the coefficient of \( x^k \) from the development \( x (x - 1) \ldots (x - n + 1) \).

(41) Stirling numbers of second case: \( S(0, 0) = 1 \), and \( S(n, k) \) is the coefficient of the polynom \( x^{(k)} = x (x - 1) \ldots (x - k + 1) \), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \), from the development (which is uniquely written):

\[
x^n = \sum_{k=1}^{n} S(n, k) x^{(k)}.
\]

(42) Superperfect numbers; a is superperfect if \( \sigma^2(a) = 2a \) (D. Suryanarayana).

(43) Untouchable numbers; a is untouchable if \( s(x) = 1 \) has no solution (Jack Alanen).

(44) \( U \)-numbers: starting from arbitrary \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) continues with those numbers which can be expressed in just
one way as the sum of two distinct earlier members of the sequence (S. M. Ulam).

(45) Weird numbers: a is called weird if it is abundant but not pseudoperfect (S. J. Benkoski).

MORE NUMBER SEQUENCES

* 

The unsolved problem No. 41 is obtained by taking \( P = (1) \) and \( R = (1) \).

The unsolved problem No. 42 is obtained by taking \( P = (1) \), \( R = (2) \).

The unsolved problem No. 2065 is obtained by taking \( P = (45) \) and \( R = (45) \).

OTHER UNSOLVED PROBLEMS CONCERNING THE FUNCTION \( \eta \) AND USING NUMBER SEQUENCES

* 

§7. **Unsolved Diophantine Equations Concerning the Function \( \eta \)**

2066) Let \( 0 < k \leq 1 \) be a rational number. Does the diophantine equation \( \eta(n)/n = k \) always have solutions? Find all \( k \) so that this equation has an infinite number of solutions. (For example, if \( k = 1/r \), \( r \in \mathbb{N}^* \), then \( n = rp_{a+h} \), \( h = 1, 2, \ldots \), all \( p_{a+h} \) are primes, and \( a \) is a chosen index such that \( p_{a+1} > r \).)
Let \((a_n)_{n=0}^\infty\) be a sequence, \(a_0 = 1, a_1 = 2,\) and \(a_{n+1} = a_{n(n)} + \eta(a_n).\) Are there infinitely many pairs \((m, n), m \neq n,\) for which \(a_m = a_n?\) (For example: \(a_9 = a_{13} = 16.)\)

Conjecture: the equation \(\eta(x) = \eta(x + 1)\) has no solution.

Let \(m, n\) be fixed integers. Solve the diophantine equations:

2069) \(\eta(mx + n) = x.\)
2070) \(\eta(mx + n) = m + nx.\)
2071) \(\eta(mx + n) = x!\)
2072) \(\eta(x^n) = x^n.\)
2073) \(\eta(x)^m = \eta(x^n).\)
2074) \(\eta(mx + n) = \eta(x)^y.\)
2075) \(\eta(x) + y = x + \eta(y), x \text{ and } y \text{ are not primes.}\)
2076) \(\eta(x) + \eta(y) = \eta(x + y), x \text{ and } y \text{ are not twin primes.}\) (Generally, \(\eta\) is not additive.)
2077) \(\eta(x + y) = \eta(x) \cdot \eta(y).\) (Generally, \(\eta\) is not an exponential function.)
2078) \(\eta(xy) = \eta(x) \eta(y).\) (Generally, \(\eta\) is not a multiplicative function.)
2079) \(\eta(mx + n) = x^y.\)
2080) \(\eta(x) \ y = x \ \eta(y), x \text{ and } y \text{ are not primes.}\)
2081) \(\eta(x)/y = x/\eta(y), x \text{ and } y \text{ are not primes.}\) (Particularly when \(y = 2^k, k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{i.e., } \eta(x)/2^k \text{ is a dyadic rational number.}\)
2082) $\eta(x)^y = x^{\eta(y)}$, $x$ and $y$ are not primes.
2083) $\eta(x)^{\eta(y)} = \eta(x^y)$.
2084) $\eta(x^y) - \eta(z^w) = 1$, with $y = 1 = w$. (On Catalan's problem.)
2085) $\eta(x^y) = m$, $y \geq 2$.
2086) $\eta(x^y) = y$. (A trivial solution: $x = y = 2$.)
2087) $\eta(x^y) = y^x$. (A trivial solution: $x = y = 2$.)
2088) $\eta(x) = y!$ (An example: $x = 9$, $y = 3$.)
2089) $\eta(mx) = m \eta(x)$, $m \geq 2$.
2090) $m^{\eta(x)} + \eta(x)^n = m^n$.
2091) $\eta(x^2)/m \pm \eta(y^2)/n = 1$.
2092) $\eta(x_1^1 + \ldots + x_r^r) = \eta(x_1)^{y_1} + \ldots + \eta(x_r)^{y_r}$.
2093) $\eta(x_1! + \ldots + x_r!) = \eta(x_1)! + \ldots + \eta(x_r)!$.
2094) $(x, y) = (\eta(x), \eta(y))$, $x$ and $y$ are not primes.
2095) $[x, y] = [\eta(x), \eta(y)]$, $x$ and $y$ are not primes.

OTHER UNSOLVED DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS CONCERNING THE FUNCTION $\eta$ ONLY

* §8. Unsolved Diophantine Equations Concerning the Function $\eta$ in Correlation with Other Functions
Let $m$, $n$ be fixed integers. Solve the diophantine equations:
2096-2102) $\eta(x) = d(mx + n)$
\[ \eta(x)^m = d(x^n) \]
\[ \eta(x) + y = x + d(y) \]
\[ \eta(x) \cdot y = x \cdot d(y) \]
\[ \eta(x)/y = d(y)/x \]
\[ \eta(x)^y = x^{d(y)} \]
\[ \eta(x)^y = d(y)^x \]

2103-2221) Same equations as before, but we substitute the function \( d(x) \) with \( d_x, p(x), s(x), s^k(x), s^*(x), \tau_k(x), \pi(x), \pi(x; m, n), \sigma_k(x), \sigma^k(x), \sigma^*(x), \phi(x), \phi^k(x), \sigma^*(x) \), \( \Omega(x), \omega(x) \) respectively.

2222) \[ \eta(s(x, y)) = s(\eta(x), \eta(y)). \]
2223) \[ \eta(S(x, y)) = S(\eta(x), \eta(y)). \]
2224) \[ \eta(\lceil x \rceil) = \lceil \eta(x) \rceil. \]
2225) \[ \eta(\lceil x - y \rceil) = \lceil \eta(x), \eta(y) \rceil. \]
2226) \[ \beta(\eta(...)) = \beta(\eta(\eta(x), \eta(y))), \]
2227) \[ \eta(\lfloor \beta(x, y) \rfloor) = \lfloor \beta(\eta(x), \eta(y)) \rfloor. \]
2228) \[ \mu(\eta(x)) = \mu(\phi(x)). \]
2229) \[ \eta(x) = \lfloor \theta(x) \rfloor. \]
2230) \[ \eta(x) = \lfloor \psi(x) \rfloor. \]
2231) \[ \eta(m \cdot x + n) = \Lambda^n_x = x(x-1) \ldots (x-n+1). \]
2232) \[ \eta(m \cdot x + n) = \Lambda^n_x. \]
2233) \[ \eta(m \cdot x + n) = \binom{x}{n} = \frac{x!}{n!(x-n)!}. \]
2234) \[ \eta(m \cdot x + n) = \binom{x}{m}. \]
2235) \[ \eta(m \cdot x + n) = p_x = \text{the } x\text{-th prime}. \]
2236) \[ \eta(m \cdot x + n) = \lfloor 1/B_x \rfloor. \]
2237) \[ \eta(m \cdot x + n) = G_x. \]
2238) \( \eta(m + n) = k_x = \begin{pmatrix} \ \ n \\ \ \end{pmatrix} \).
2239) \( \eta(m + n) = k^m_x. \)
2240) \( \eta(m + n) = s(m, x). \)
2241) \( \eta(m + n) = s(x, n). \)
2242) \( \eta(m + n) = S(m, x). \)
2243) \( \eta(m + n) = S(x, n). \)
2244) \( \eta(m + n) = \pi_x. \)
2245) \( \eta(m + n) = b_x. \)
2246) \( \eta(m + n) = |E_x|. \)
2247) \( \eta(m + n) = !x. \)
2248) \( \eta(x) = \eta(y) \pmod{m}. \)
2249) \( \eta(xy) = x \pmod{y}. \)
2250) \( \eta(x)(x + m) + \eta(y)(y + m) = \eta(z)(z + m). \)
2251) \( \eta(m + n) = f_x. \)
2252) \( \eta(m + n) = F_x. \)
2253) \( \eta(m + n) = M_x. \)
2254) \( \eta(m + n) = C_x. \)
2255) \( \eta(m + n) = C_x. \)
2256) \( \eta(m + n) = h_x. \)
2257) \( \eta(m + n) = L_x. \)

More unsolved diophantine equations concerning the function \( \eta \) in correlation with other functions.

*
§9. Unsolved Diophantine Equations Concerning the Function $n$ in Composition with Other Functions

2258) $\eta(d(x)) = d(\eta(x))$, $x$ is not prime.

2259-2275) Same equations as this, but we substitute the function $d(x)$ with $d_\eta, p(x), \ldots, \omega(x)$ respectively.

More unsolved diophantine equations concerning the function $\eta$ in composition with other functions. (For example: $\eta(\pi(4(x))) = \varphi(\eta(\pi(3)))$, etc.)

* 

§10. Unsolved Diophantine Inequations Concerning the Function $n$

Let $m, n$ be fixed integers. Solve the following diophantine inequalities:

2276) $\eta(x) \geq \eta(y)$.

2277) is $0 < (x/\eta(x)) < (\eta(x)/x)$ infinitely often?

where $(a)$ is the fractional part of $a$.

2278) $\eta(mx + n) < d(x)$.

2279-2300) Same (or similar) inequations as this, but we substitute the function $d(x)$ with $d_\eta, p(x), \ldots, \omega(x), \Gamma(x), \beta(x, x), \mu(x), \theta(x), \varphi(x)$, respectively.

More unsolved diophantine inequations concerning the function $\eta$ in correlation (or composition, etc.) with other functions. (For example: $\theta(\eta(\lfloor x \rfloor)) < \eta(\lfloor \theta(x) \rfloor)$, etc.)

*
§11. Arithmetic Functions Constructed by Means of the Function $\eta$

UNSOLVED PROBLEMS CONCERNING THESE NEW FUNCTIONS

I. The function $S_\eta : \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathbb{N}$, $S_\eta (x) = \sum_{0<n\leq x} \eta(n)$.

2301) Is $\sum_{x \geq 2} S_\eta(x)^{-1}$ a convergent series?

2302) Find the smallest $k$ for which $\underbrace{(S_\eta \circ \cdots \circ S_\eta)}_{k \text{ times}}(m) \geq n$, for $m$, $n$ fixed integers.

2303-4602) Study $S_\eta$. The same (or similar questions for $S_{\eta}$ as for $\eta$.

II. The function $C_\eta : \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathbb{Q}$, $C_\eta(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left( \eta(1) + \eta(2) + \cdots + \eta(x) \right)$ (sum of Cesaro concerning the function $\eta$).

4603) Is $\sum_{x \geq 1} C_\eta(x)^{-1}$ a convergent series?

4604) Find the smallest $k$ for which $\underbrace{(C_\eta \circ \cdots \circ C_\eta)}_{k \text{ times}}(m) \geq n$, for $m$, $n$ fixed integers.

4605-6904) Study $C_\eta$. The same (or similar) questions for $C_\eta$ as for $\eta$. 
III. The function $E_\eta : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $E_\eta (x) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \eta^{(k)} (x)$, where $\eta^{(1)} = \eta$ and $\eta^{(k)} = \eta \circ \ldots \circ \eta$ of $k$ times, and $k_0$ is the smallest integer $k$ for which $\eta^{(k+1)} (x) = \eta^{(k)} (x)$.

6905) Is $\sum_{x \geq 2} E_\eta (x)$ a convergent series?

6906) Find the smallest $x$ for which $E_\eta (x) > m$, where $m$ is a fixed integer.

6907-9206) Study $E_\eta$. The same (or similar) questions for $S_\eta$ as for $\eta$.

IV. The function $F_\eta : \mathbb{N} \setminus (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $F_\eta (x) = \sum_{0 < p \leq x} \eta_p (x)$.

9207) Is $\sum_{x \geq 2} F_\eta (x)$ a convergent series?

9208-11507) Study the function $F_\eta$. The same (or similar questions for $F_\eta$ as for $\eta$.

V. The function $\alpha_\eta : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_\eta (x) = \sum_{n=1}^{x} \beta(n)$, where

$$\beta(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \eta(n) \text{ is even;} \\ 1, & \text{if } \eta(n) \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

11508) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Find the smallest $k$ for which $(\alpha_\eta \circ \ldots \circ \alpha_\eta) (n) = 0$.

11509-13808) Study $\alpha_\eta$. The same (or similar) questions for $\alpha_\eta$ as for $\eta$. 
VI. The function $m_n : \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathbb{N}$, $m_n(j) = a_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, fixed integers, and $m_n(n + 1) = \min_i \{ \eta(a_i + a_{n,i}) \}$, etc.

13809) Is $\sum_{x \geq 1} m_n(x)^{-1}$ a convergent series?  

13810-16109) Study $m_n$. The same (or similar) questions for $m_n$ as for $\eta$.

VII. The function $\mathcal{M}_n : \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathbb{N}$. A given finite positive integer sequence $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ is successively extended by:

$\mathcal{M}_n(n + 1) = \max_i \{ \eta(a_i + a_{n,i}) \}$, etc.

$\mathcal{M}_n(j) = a_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.

16110) Is $\sum_{x \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_n(x)^{-1}$ a convergent series?  

16111-18410) Study $\mathcal{M}_n$. The same (or similar) questions for $\mathcal{M}_n$ as for $\eta$.

VIII. The function $\eta_{\text{min}}^{-1} : \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\} \to \mathbb{N}$, $\eta_{\text{min}}^{-1}(x) = \min \{ \eta^{-1}(x) \}$, where $\eta^{-1}(x) = \{ a \in \mathbb{N} \mid \eta(a) = x \}$. For example

$\eta^{-1}(6) = \{ 2^4, 2^4 \cdot 3, 2^4 \cdot 3^2, 3^2, 3^2 \cdot 2, 3^2 \cdot 2^2, 3^2 \cdot 3^2 \}$, whence $\eta_{\text{min}}^{-1}(6) = 9$.

18411) Find the smallest $k$ for which $\underbrace{\eta_{\text{min}}^{-1} \circ \ldots \circ \eta_{\text{min}}^{-1}}_k$.

18412-20711) Study $\eta_{\text{min}}$. The same (or similar) questions for $\eta_{\text{min}}^{-1}$ as for $\eta$. 
IX. The function $\eta_{\text{card}}^{-1} : N \to N$, $\eta_{\text{card}}^{-1}(x) = \text{Card} \left( \eta^{-1}(x) \right)$, where Card $A$ means the number of elements of the set $A$.

20712) Find the smallest $k$ for which

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} \eta_{\text{card}}^{-1} \\
\eta_{\text{card}}^{-1} & \cdots & \eta_{\text{card}}^{-1} \\
\hline \\
k \text{ times} \\
\end{array} \right)(m) \geq n, \text{ for } m, n \text{ fixed integers.}$$

20713-23012) Study $\eta_{\text{card}}^{-1}$. The same (or similar) questions for $\eta_{\text{card}}^{-1}$ as for $\eta$.

X. The function $d_{\eta} : N^* \to N$, $d_{\eta}(x) = |\eta(x + 1) - \eta(x)|$.

Let $d_{\eta}^{(k+1)}(x) = |d_{\eta}^{(k)}(x + 1) - d_{\eta}^{(k)}(x)|$, for all $k \in N^*$, where $d_{\eta}^{(1)}(x) = d_{\eta}(x)$.

23013) Conjecture: $d_{\eta}^{(k)}(1) = 1$ or 0, for all $k \geq 2$.

(This reminds us of Gillreath's conjecture on primes.) For example:
\[ \eta(1) = 0 \\
\eta(2) = 2 \quad 1 \\
\eta(3) = 3 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\
\eta(4) = 4 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\
\eta(5) = 5 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\
\eta(6) = 3 \quad 2 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\
\eta(7) = 7 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\
\eta(8) = 4 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 3 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \\
\eta(9) = 6 \quad 1 \quad 4 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\
\eta(10) = 5 \quad 5 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\
\eta(11) = 11 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\
\eta(12) = 4 \quad 2 \quad 0 \quad 3 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\
\eta(13) = 13 \quad 3 \quad 0 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
\eta(14) = 7 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 2 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \\
\eta(15) = 5 \quad 1 \quad 6 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
\eta(16) = 6 \quad 10 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\
\eta(17) = 17 \quad 0 \quad 8 \quad 0 \\
\eta(18) = 6 \quad 2 \quad 1 \\
\eta(19) = 19 \quad 1 \\
\eta(20) = 5 \\
\ldots \\
23014-25313) \text{ Study } d^{(k)}_n. \text{ The same (or similar) } \\
\text{questions for } d^{(k)}_n \text{ as for } \eta. \\

XI. \text{ The function } \omega_n : N^* \rightarrow N, \omega_n(x) \text{ is the number of } m, \\
\text{with } 0 < m \leq x, \text{ so that } \eta(m) \text{ divide } x. \text{ Hence, } \omega_n(x) \geq \omega(x), \text{ and we have equality if } x = 1 \text{ or } x \text{ is a prime.}
25314) Find the smallest $k$ for which $(\omega_n \circ \ldots \circ \omega_n) (x) = k$ times $= 0$, for a fixed integer $x$.

25315-27614) Study $\omega_n$. The same (or similar) questions for $\omega_n$ as for $\eta$.

XII. The function $M_n : N^* \to N$, $M_n (x)$ is the number of $m$, with $0 < m \leq x^4$, so that $n(m)$ is a multiple of $x$. For example $M_n (3) = \text{Card} \{1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 27\} = 6$. If $p$ is a prime, $M_n (p) = \text{Card} \{1, a_2, \ldots, a_r\}$, then all $a_i$, $2 \leq i \leq r$, are multiples of $p$.

27615) Let $m, n$ be integer numbers. Find the smallest $k$ for which $(M_n \circ \ldots \circ M_n)(m) \geq n$.

$\quad k$ times

27616-29915) Study $M_n$. The same (or similar questions for $M_n$ as for $\eta$.

XIII. The function $\sigma_n : N^* \to N$, $\sigma_n (x) = \sum_{d | x} n(d)$. For example $\sigma_n (18) = n(1) + n(2) + n(3) + n(6) + n(9) + n(18) = 20$, $\sigma_n (9) = 9$.

29916) Are there an infinity of nonprimes $n$ so that $\sigma_n (n) = n$?

29917-32216) Study $\sigma_n$. The same (or similar) questions for $\sigma_n$ as for $\eta$.\)
XIV. The function \( \pi_n : N \to N \), \( \pi_n(x) \) is the number of numbers \( n \) so that \( \eta(n) \leq x \). If \( p_1 < p_2 < \ldots < p_k \leq n < p_{k+1} \) is the primes sequence, and for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) we have \( p_i \) divides \( n! \) but \( p_i \) does not divide \( n! \), then:

\[
\pi_n(n) = (a_1 + 1) \ldots (a_k + 1).
\]

32217-34516) Study \( \pi_n \). The same (or similar) question for \( \pi_n \) as for \( \eta \).

XV. The function \( \phi_n : N^* \to N \), \( \phi_n(x) \) is the number of \( m \), with \( 0 < m \leq x \), having the property \( (\eta(m), x) = 1 \).

34517) Is it always true that \( \phi_n(x) < \phi(x) \)?

34518) Find \( x \) for which \( \phi_n(x) \geq \phi(x) \).

34519) Find the smallest \( k \) so that \( \underbrace{\phi_n \circ \ldots \circ \phi_n}_{k \text{ times}}(x) = 1 \), for a fixed integer \( x \).

34520-36819) Study \( \phi_n \). The same (or similar) questions for \( \phi_n \) as for \( \eta \).

More unsolved problems concerning these 15 functions.

More new (arithmetic) functions constructed by means of the function \( \eta \), and new unsolved problems concerning them.
36820 - \omega. We can continue these recurring sequences of unsolved problems in number theory to infinity. Thus, we construct an infinity of more new functions: Using the functions $S_n, C_n, \ldots, \varphi_n$ construct the functions $f_{11}, f_{12}, \ldots, f_{1_{n_1}}$ (by varied combinations between $S_n, C_n, \ldots, \varphi_n$); for example: $S_n^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{0 < n \leq x} S_n^{(i)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

$S_n^{(i)}: \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathbb{N}$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, where $S_n^{(0)} = S_n$. Or:

$$SC_n(x) = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=1}^{x} S_n(n), SC_n: \mathbb{N}^* \to \mathbb{Q}, SC_n \text{ being a combination between } S_n \text{ and } C_n; \text{ etc.}$$

Analogously by means of the functions $f_{11}, f_{12}, \ldots, f_{1_{n_1}}$, we construct the functions $f_{21}, f_{22}, \ldots, f_{2_{n_2}}$ etc. The method to obtain new functions continues to infinity. For each function we have at least 2300 unsolved problems, and we have an infinity of thus functions. The method can be represented in the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>produces</th>
<th>$\eta_{-1}$</th>
<th>$\eta_{0}$, $\eta_{1}$, $\ldots$, $\eta_{n}$</th>
<th>$f_{11}, f_{12}, \ldots, f_{1_{n_1}}$</th>
<th>$f_{21}, f_{22}, \ldots, f_{2_{n_2}}$</th>
<th>$f_{31}, f_{32}, \ldots, f_{3_{n_3}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*
Other recurring methods to make new unsolved problems.

§12. Conclusion

With this paper the author wants to prove that we can construct infinitely many unsolved problems, especially in number theory: you "rock and roll" the numbers until you create interesting scenarios! Some problems in this paper could effect the subsequent development of mathematics.

The world is in a general crisis. Do the unsolved problems really constitute a mathematical crisis, or contrary to that, do their absence lead to an intellectual stagnation? Mankind will always have problems to solve; they even must again solve previously solved problems(!) For example, this paper shows that people will be more and more overwhelmed by (open) unsolved problems. [It is easier to ask than to answer.]

Here, there are proposed (un)solved problems which are enough for ever!! Suppose you solve an infinite number of problems, there will always be an infinity of problems remaining. Do not assume those proposals are trivial and non-important, rather, they are very substantial.
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SOLVING PROBLEMS BY USING A FUNCTION IN
THE NUMBER THEORY

Let \( n \geq 1, \ h \geq 1, \) and \( a \geq 2 \) be integers. For which
values of \( a \) and \( n \) is \((n + h)!\) a multiple of \( a^n \) ?

(A generalization of the problem \( n^2 = 1270, \) Mathematics
Magazine, Vol. 60, No. 3, June 1987, p. 179, proposed by
Roger B. Eggleton, The University of Newcastle, Australia.)

Solution

(For \( h = 1 \) the problem \( n^2 = 1270 \) is obtained.)

§1. Introduction

We have constructed a function \( \eta \) (see [1]) having the
following properties:

(a) For each non-null integer \( n, \) \( \eta(n)! \) is a multiple
of \( n;\)

(b) \( \eta(n) \) is the smallest natural number with the
property (a).

It is easy to prove:

Lemma 1. \( (\forall) \ k, \ p \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ p = 1, \ k \) is uniquely written
in the form:
\[ k = t_1 a_{n_1}^{(p)} + \ldots + t_\ell a_{n_\ell}^{(p)}, \]

where \[ a_{n_i}^{(p)} = \frac{n_i}{(p-1)}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell, \]
\[ n_1 > n_2 > \ldots > n_\ell > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq t_j \leq p - 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell - 1, \quad 1 \leq t_\ell \leq p, \quad n_i, t_i \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, \ell, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}^*. \]

We have constructed the function \( \eta_p, p \text{ prime } > 0, \eta_p : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^* \), thus:

\[
(\forall) \ n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ \eta_p \left( a_n^{(p)} \right) = p^n, \ \text{and} \\
\eta_p \left( t_1 a_{n_1}^{(p)} + \ldots + t_\ell a_{n_\ell}^{(p)} \right) = \\
= t_1 \eta_p \left( a_{n_1}^{(p)} \right) + \ldots + t_\ell \eta_p \left( a_{n_\ell}^{(p)} \right).
\]

Of course:

Lemma 2.

(a) \( (\forall) \ k \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ \eta_p (k) = \lfloor pk \rfloor. \)

(b) \( \eta_p (k) \) is the smallest number with the property.

(a). Now, we construct another function:

\[ \eta : \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ defined as follows:} \]
\[ \eta(\pm 1) = 0, \]
\[
\begin{cases} 
\forall n = \epsilon p_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots p_s^{\alpha_s} \text{ with } \epsilon = \pm 1, \text{ } p_i \text{ prime and } \\
p_i = p_j \text{ for } i = j, \text{ all } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \eta(n) = \\
\max_{1 \leq i \leq s} (\eta_p (\alpha_i)). \end{cases}
\]

It is not difficult to prove \( \eta \) has the demanded properties of \( \S \).\( \S \) 2. Now, let \( a = p_1^{\alpha_1} \ldots p_s^{\alpha_s} \), with all \( \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and all \( p_i \) distinct primes. By the previous theory we have:

\[ \eta(a) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} (\eta_{p_i} (\alpha_i)) = \eta_p (\alpha) \text{ (by notation)}. \]

Hence \( \eta(a) = \eta(p^s), \eta(p^s) ! = \Phi p^s. \)

We know:

\[ (t_1 p_{n_1} + \ldots + t_\ell p_{n_\ell} !) = \frac{n_1}{p-1} + \ldots + \frac{n_\ell}{p-1}. \]

We put:

\[ t_1 p^{n_1} + \ldots + t_\ell p^{n_\ell} = n + h \]
\[ \frac{n_1}{p-1} - \ldots - \frac{n_t}{p-1} = \alpha n. \]

Whence

\[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{n_1}{p-1} - \ldots - \frac{n_t}{p-1} \right) \geq t_1 \frac{n_1}{p} + \ldots + t_t \frac{n_t}{p} - h \]

or

\[ (1) \quad \alpha (p-1) h \geq (\alpha p - \alpha - 1) \left( t_1 \frac{n_1}{p} + \ldots + t_t \frac{n_t}{p} \right) - \]

\[ + (t_1 + \ldots + t_t). \]

On this condition we take \( n_0 = t_1 \frac{n_1}{p} + \ldots + t_t \frac{n_t}{p} - h \)

(see Lemma 1), hence \( n = \{ n_0, n_0 > 0; \}

\[ \text{Consider giving } a = 2, \text{ we have a finite number of } n. \]

There are an infinite number of \( n \) if and only if \( \alpha p - \alpha - 1 = 0, \text{ i.e., } \alpha = 1 \text{ and } p = 2, \text{ i.e., } a = 2. \)

§3. **Particular Case**

If \( h = 1 \) and \( a = 2, \text{ because} \)
\[ t_1 p^1 + \ldots + t_k p^k \geq p^l > 1 \]

and \( t_1 - \ldots - t_k > 1 \), it follows from (1) that:

\[(1') (\alpha p - \alpha) > (\alpha p - \alpha - 1) \cdot 1 + 1 = \alpha p - \alpha,\]

which is impossible. If \( h = 1 \) and \( a = 2 \) then \( \alpha = 1, p = 2, \)
or

\[(1'') l > t_1 + \ldots + t_k,\]

hence \( l = 1, t_1 = 1 \) whence \( n = t_1 p^1 + \ldots + t_k p^k - h =

= 2^{n_1} - 1, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}^* \) (the solution to problem 1270).

Example 1. Let \( h = 16 \) and \( a = 3^1 \cdot 5^2 \). Find all \( n \)
such that

\[(n + 16)! = M 2025^n.\]

Solution

\[ n (2025) = \max (n_3 (4), n_5 (2)) = \max (9, 10) = 10 =

= n_5 (2) = \eta (5^2). \]

Whence \( \alpha = 2, p = 5 \). From (1) we have:

\[128 \geq 7 [t_1 5^{n_1} + \ldots + t_k 5^{n_k}] + t_1 + \ldots + t_k.\]

Because \( 5^2 > 128 \) and \( 7 [t_1 5^{n_1} + \ldots + t_k 5^{n_k}] < 128 \) we find

\( l = 1, \)
128 \geq 7 \cdot 5^{n_1} + t_1^n,

whence \( n_1 \leq 1 \), i.e., \( n_1 = 1 \), and \( t_1 = 1, 2, 3 \). Then \( n_0 = t_1 \cdot 5 - 16 < 0 \), hence we take \( n = 1 \).

**Example 2**

\((n + 7)! = M \cdot 3^n \) when \( n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \).

\((n + 7)! = M \cdot 5^n \) when \( n = 1 \).

\((n + 7)! = M \cdot 7^n \) when \( n = 1 \).

But \((n + 7)! = M \cdot p^n\), for \( p \) prime > 7, \( (\forall) n \in \mathbb{N}^* \).

\((n + 7)! = M \cdot 2^n \) when

\[ n_0 = t_1 \cdot 2^{n_1} + \ldots + t_{n_2} \cdot 2^{n_2} - 7, \]

\[ t_1, \ldots, t_{n_2 - 1} = 1, \]

\[ 1 \leq t_{n_2} \leq 2, t_1 + \ldots + t_{n_2} \leq 7 \]

and

\[ n = \begin{cases} n_0, & n_0 > 0; \\ 1, & n_0 \leq 0. \end{cases} \]

etc.

**Exercise for Readers**

If \( n \in \mathbb{N}^* \), \( a \in \mathbb{N}^* \setminus \{1\} \), find all values of \( a \) and \( n \) such that:

\((n + 7)! \) be a multiple of \( a^n \).
Some Unsolved Problems (see [2])

Solve the diophantine equations:

(1) \( \eta(x) \cdot \eta(y) = \eta(x + y) \).

(2) \( \eta(x) = y! \) (A solution: \( x = 9, y = 3 \)).

(3) Conjecture: the equation \( \eta(x) = \eta(x + 1) \) has no solution.

References


Florentin Smarandache

[A comment about this generalization was published in "Mathematics Magazine", Vol. 61, No. 3, June 1988, p. 202: "Smarandache considered the general problem of finding positive integers \( n, a, \) and \( k \), so that \( (n + k)! \) should be a multiple of \( a^k \). Also, for positive integers \( p \) and \( k \), with \( p \) prime, he found a formula for determining the smallest integer \( f(k) \) with the property that \( (f(k))^p \) is a multiple of \( p^k \)."]
SOME LINEAR EQUATIONS INVOLVING A FUNCTION IN THE NUMBER THEORY

We have constructed a function $\eta$ which associates to each non-null integer $m$ the smallest positive $n$ such that $n!$ is a multiple of $m$.

(a) Solve the equation $\eta(x) = n$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

*(b) Solve the equation $\eta(mx) = x$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Discussion.

(c) Let $\eta^{(i)}$ note $\eta \circ \eta \circ \ldots \circ \eta$ of $i$ times. Prove that there is a $k$ for which

$$\eta^{(k)}(m) = \eta^{(k+1)}(m) = n_a,$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}$.

**Find $n_a$ and the smallest $k$ with this property.

Solution

(a) The cases $n = 0, 1$ are trivial.

We note the increasing sequence of primes less or equal than $n$ by $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$, and

$$\beta_t = \sum_{h \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p_t^h} \right\rfloor, \quad t = 1, 2, \ldots, k;$$

where $\lfloor y \rfloor$ is the greatest integer less or equal than $y$. 
Let \( n = \prod_{j=1}^{s} p_j^{\alpha_j} \), where all \( p_j \) are distinct primes and all \( \alpha_j \) are from \( \mathbb{N} \).

Of course we have \( n \leq x \leq n! \).

Thus \( x = \prod_{t=1}^{k} p_t^{\sigma_t} \), where \( 0 \leq \sigma_t \leq \beta_t \) for all \( t = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) and there exists at least a \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, s\} \) for which

\[
\sigma_{j} \in (\beta_{j}, \beta_{j} + 1, \ldots, \beta_{j} - \alpha_{j} + 1).
\]

Clearly \( n! \) is a multiple of \( x \), and is the smallest one.

(b) See [1] too. We consider \( m \in \mathbb{N}^* \).

**Lemma 1.** \( \eta(m) \leq m \), and \( \eta(m) = m \) if and only if \( m = 4 \) or \( m \) is a prime.

Of course \( m! \) is a multiple of \( m \).

If \( m = 4 \) and \( m \) is not a prime, the Lemma is equivalent to there are \( m_1, m_2 \) such that \( m = m_1 \cdot m_2 \) with \( 1 < m_1 \leq m_2 \) and \((2 \ m_2 < m \text{ or } 2 \ m_1 < m)\). Whence \( \eta(m) \leq 2 \ m_2 < m \), respectively \( \eta(m) \leq \max\{m_2, 2m\} < m \).

**Lemma 2.** Let \( p \) be a prime \( > 5 \). Then \( \eta(p \ x) = x \) if and only if \( x \) is a prime \( > p \), or \( x = 2p \).

**Proof:** \( \eta(p) = p \). Hence \( x > p \).

Analogously: \( x \) is not a prime and \( x = 2p - x = x_1 \ x_2 \), \( 1 < x_1 \leq x_2 \) and \((2 \ x_2 < x_1, \ x_2 = p_1, \text{and } 2 \ x_1 < 2 \ x) = \eta(p \ x) \leq \)
≤ \max \{p, 2 x_1\} < x \text{ respectively } η(p x) ≤ \max \{p, 2 x, x_2\} < x.

**Observations**

η(2 x) = x - x = 4 or x is an odd prime.

η(3 x) = x - x = 4, 6, 9 or x is a prime > 3.

Lemma 3. If \((m, x) = 1\) then \(x\) is a prime > η\((m)\).

Of course, \(η(m x) = \max \{η(m), η(x)\} = η(x) = x\).

And \(x > η(m)\), because if \(x = η(m)\) then \(m \cdot η(m)\) divides \(η(m)!\) that is \(m\) divides \((η(m) - 1)!\) whence \(η(m) ≤ η(m) - 1\).

Lemma 4. If \(x\) is not a prime then \(η(m) < x ≤ 2 η(m)\) and \(x = 2 η(m)\) if and only if \(η(m)\) is a prime.

Proof: If \(x > 2 η(m)\) there are \(x_1, x_2\) with \(1 < x_1 ≤ x_2, x = x_1 x_2\). For \(x_1 < η(m)\) we have \((x - 1)!\) is a multiple of \(m x\). Same proof for other cases.

Let \(x = 2 η(m)\); if \(η(m)\) is not a prime, then \(x = 2 a b, 1 < a ≤ b\), but the product \((η(m) + 1)(η(m) + 2) ... (2η(m) - 1)\) is divided by \(x\).

If \(η(m)\) is a prime, \(η(m)\) divides \(m\), whence \(m \cdot 2 η(m)\) is divided by \(η(m)^2\), it results in \(η(m \cdot 2 η(m)) \geq 2 \cdot η(m), \text{ but } (η(m) + 1)(η(m) + 2) ... (2 η(m))\) is a multiple of \(2 η(m)\), that is \(η(m \cdot 2 η(m)) = 2 η(m)\).
Conclusion

All $x$, prime number $> n(m)$, are solutions.

If $n(m)$ is prime, then $x = 2n(m)$ is a solution.

*If $x$ is not a prime, $n(m) < x < 2n(m)$, and $x$ does not divide $(x - 1)!/m$ then $x$ is a solution (semi-open question). If $m = 3$ it adds $x = 9$ too. (No other solution exists yet.)

(c)

Lemma 5. $n(a b) \leq n(a) + n(b)$.

Of course, $n(a) = a'$ and $n(b) = b'$ involves $(a' + b')! = b'! (b' + 1) \ldots (b' + a')$. Let $a' \leq b'$. Then $n(ab) \leq a' + b'$, because the product of $a'$ consecutive positive integers is a multiple of $a'$!

Clearly, if $m$ is a prime then $k = 1$ and $n_a = m$.

If $m$ is not a prime then $n(m) < m$, whence there is a $k$ for which $n^{(k)} (m) = n^{(k-1)} (m)$.

If $m = 1$ then $2 \leq n_a \leq m$.

Lemma 6. $n_a = 4$ or $n_a$ is a prime.

If $n_a = n_1 n_2$, $1 < n_1 \leq n_2$, then $n(n_a) < n_a$. Absurd.

$n_a = 4$.

(**) This question remains open.

Reference

[Published on "Gamma" Journal, "Steagul Rosu" College, Brasov, 1987.]
## CONTENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. Muller</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Smarandache</td>
<td>A Function in the Number Theory</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idem</strong></td>
<td>An Infinity of Unsolved Problems Concerning</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a Function in the Number Theory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idem</strong></td>
<td>Solving Problems by Using a Function in the Number Theory</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Idem</strong></td>
<td>Some Linear Equations Involving a Function in the Number Theory</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A collection of papers concerning Smarandache type functions, numbers, sequences, integer algorithms, paradoxes, experimental geometries, algebraic structures, neutrosophic probability, set, and logic, etc.